

# FIBA Game Stats

SPFC vs LNTF FP202 2023 FIBA INTERCONTINENTAL CUP



# **Contents**

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



## Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

### **Global Evaluation:**

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



# **Quality and Consistency:**

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






# Game – General Information:


Competition		2023 FIBA IN	ITERCONTINE	NTAL CUP	)
Game	SPFC				LNTF
Score	68	19-25 / 1	6-18 / 18-22 /	/ 15-24	89
Duration	00:14:14	00:27:34	00:29:01	00:20:53	3 01:31:42
Fouls (IRS)	23 (0)				20 (0)
	GA	ME INFORM	ATION		
Stage/Round		FP			FP202
Date		2023/02/1	2 19:00:00.00	0 +01:00	
Place			ARENA		
	R	EFEREEING S	TAFF		
ANAYA, Juli (PAN)	io	BEKER, Scot (AUS)	t	S	ALINS, Gatis (LAT)
			FIBR	SA	LLINS XTIS [LAT]
Referee Instru	ictor Stai	nd-by Referee Ir	structor	Vio	deo Operator
CHIARI, Robe (ITA)	erto	N/A			ROGER




#### **General Calls Evaluation**


Refs	Teams			São Pa	ulo 68				Le	enovo Te	nerlfe 8	9		TOTALS					
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
сс	ANAYA, Julio (PAN)	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0
U1	BEKER, Scott (AUS)	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0
U2	SALINS, Gatis (LAT)	0	0	16	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	1
	TOTAL			28 (5	6%)			22 (44%)						50 (100%)					
	CORRECT			0				0					0						
	INCORRECT			0				0						0					
	INCONCLUSIVE			28 (5	6%)					22 (4	4%)			50 (100%)					
	L2M C			0						C				0					
	L2M I			0	1			0						0					
	L2M?			1 (2	%)					0				1 (2%)					








### **Plays Situation Breakdown**




	Play Situations Table															
0	Totals					AYA, J	ullo (P	AN)	BEI	KER, Sc	ott (A	US)	SALINS, Gatis (LAT)			
Concepts	Cor	rect	Inco	тест	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Сог	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	тест
	SPFC	LNTF	SPFC	LNTF	SPFC	LNTF	SPFC	LNTF	SPFC	LNTF	SPFC	LNTF	SPFC	LNTF	SPFC	LNT
Continuous Movement/Drive	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0
Continuous	0	0	3	6	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
Shot	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	5	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	0
Perimeter play	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	7
Rebound	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Post Play	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
Key area action	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
Screen Action	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	20	19	0	0	4	8	0	0	6	6	0	0	10	5




#### Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



#### **Accuracy by Quarter**











#### **Calls Summary**

Quarters	1st Qu	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:1	4:14	00:2	7:34	00:2	9:01	00:2	0:53	01:3	1:42	
Calls	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	9 (64%)	5 (36%)	10 (53%)	9 (47%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	28 (56%)	22 (44%)	
Calls	7 (1	4%)	14 (28%)		19 (	38%)	10 (2	20%)	50 (100%)		
Fouls	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	8 (67%)	4 (33%)	8 (50%)	8 (50%)	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	23 (53%)	20 (47%)	
rouis	6 (1	4%)	12 (2	28%)	16 (	37%)	9 (2	1%)	43 (8	36%)	
Travelling	0	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
Traveiling	(	)	(	0	2 (10	00%)	(	)	2 (4	1%)	
Other Violations	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	
Other violations	1 (2	0%)	2 (4	0%)	1 (2	.0%)	1 (2	0%)	5 (10%)		
IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IKS	(	)	(	0	(	)	0		(	)	
No Coll CNC /ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	(	)		0	(	Ó	(	)	0		













### **Calls by Referee**

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Quar	ter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO	TAI
3 Referees	Ę	5'	1	0'	5	5"	10	0'	Į	5"	1	0'	5	3"	10'		Last 2'		TOTAL	
ANAYA Julio	0	1 100%	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	1 33%	2 67%	0	0	0	2 100%	0	0	6 43%	8 57%
(PAN)	1 7%		0		2 14%		3 21%		3 21%		3 21% 0		)		2 1%	0		14 28%		
BEKER Scott	0	1 100%	0	0	3 75%	1 25%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	1 33%	2 67%	2 67%	1 33%	0	1 100%	0	0	6 43%	8 57%
(AUS)	1 7% 0			4 1 29% 7%		1 7%			3  %	21	3  %	1 7%		0		14 28%				
SALINS Gatis (LAT)	1 100%	0	3 75%	1 25%	2 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	5 71%	2 29%	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%	0	1 100%	0	16 73%	6 27%
(LAT)	5	1 %	18	4 3%	2 9'		_	2 %		2 %	32	7 2%	2 9'	2 %		2 %	5	l %	2 44	2  %
TOTAL	1 33%	2 67%	3 75%	1 25%	6 75%	2 25%	3 50%	3 50%	3 50%	3 50%	7 54%	6 46%	3 60%	2 40%	2 40%	3 60%	1 100%	0	28 56%	22 44%
TOTAL		3 %	8	4 %	16	3		5 2%		5 2%		3	10	5 )%		5 0%	2'	I %	5	0









### **Calls by Zone and Position**

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
C3	0 (0%)	6 (43%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	6 (43%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14
T1	1 (13%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (50%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
Т3	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	7 (78%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (86%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	2	11	2	2	28	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	50







#### **Call Types by Referee**

	Types/Referees	ANAYA Ju	ılio (PAN)	BEKER Sc	ott (AUS)	SALINS G	atis (LAT)	TO'	ΓAL	
	CALLS	6 (43%)	8 (57%)	6 (43%)	8 (57%)	16 (73%)	6 (27%)	28 (56%)	22 (44%)	
	CALLS	14 (2	28%)	14 (2	28%)	22 (	44%)	5	0	
	FOULS	4 (33%)	8 (67%)	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	13 (72%)	5 (28%)	23 (53%)	20 (47%)	
	1000	12 (2	28%)	13 (	30%)	18 (4	42%)	4	3	
	DEFENSIVE	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	11 (69%)	5 (31%)	18 (53%)	16 (47%)	
	DEI ENSIVE		1%)		32%)	16 (4	47%)	3		
	OFFENSIVE	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	0	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	
	OI I ENSIVE		6%)	2 (2	2%)	2 (2	2%)	(	)	
	DOUBLE FOUL	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	
	DOOBLETOOL	1 (5	0%)	(	0	1 (5	0%)	2	2	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
Ю	ONSI OKTSIVIANEIKE	1 (10	00%)	(	0		<u>,                                    </u>	•		
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	
	TECHNICAL	(	)	(	0	1 (10	00%)			
	DISQUALIFYING	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	11 (69%)	5 (31%)	19 (54%)	16 (46%)	
	DISCOALII TING	8 (2			31%)	16 (4	46%)	3	5	
	OTHER	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	0	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	
	OTTER		0%)	<del>,</del>	25%)	<del>,</del>	5%)	1	3	
	VIOLATIONS	2 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	
	VIOLATIONS	2 (2	9%)	1 (1	4%)	4 (5	7%)			
NS	TRAVELING	0	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
110	HOAVEEING	(	)	(	0	2 (10		2	2	
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	2 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	2 (100%)	0	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	
>	OTTIEN	2 (4			20%)		0%)	Į.		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	110	(	)	(	0	(	)	Ö		

