

FIBA Game Stats

BRA vs CUB GP101 2022 FIBA WOMEN AMERICUP



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2022 FIBA WON	MEN AMERICUP									
Game	S BRA			CUB								
Score	92	29-14 / 20-17	/ 19-16 / 24-6	53								
Duration	00:19:53	00:20:54 00:1	5:13 00:22:52	01:18:52								
Fouls (IRS)	18 (0)			20 (0)								
	GAME INFORMATION											
Stage/Round		GROUP		GP101								
Date		12:10 01	/07/2023									
Place		LE	ON									
		REFEREEING STA	\FF									
FERNANDEZ DI José (CRC	!	DE LA ROSA ALVAR Carmelo (PUR)	VIOILE.	VICH, Kelsey (CAN)								
				FBI PBI								
Referee Ins	structor S	stand-by Referee Instru	uctor Video	o Operator								
MOORE, (USA	Terry)	N/A	BOELAE (ERT, Federico (ARG)								




General Calls Evaluation


Dofo	Teams	Brazil 92					Cuba 53					TOTALS							
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
СС	FERNANDEZ DIAZ, Roberto José (CRC)	8	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0
U1	DE LA ROSA ALVAREZ, Carmelo (PUR)	10	1	0	1	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	0	22	2	0	1	0	0
U2	KISILEVICH, Kelsey (CAN)	7	1	0	0	0	0	9	2	0	1	0	0	16	3	0	1	0	0
	TOTAL			27 (45	.8%)			32 (54.2%)					59 (100%)						
	CORRECT			25 (42	.4%)			29 (49.2%)					54 (91.5%)						
	INCORRECT			2 (3.4	4%)					3 (5.	1%)					5 (8.	5%)		
	INCONCLUSIVE			0						C)			0					
	L2M C			1 (1.	7%)				1 (1.7%)					2 (3.4%)					
	L2M I 0					0					0								
	L2M?			0						С)			0					








Plays Situation Breakdown




Play Situations Table																	
0						FERNANDEZ DIAZ, Roberto José (CRC)				DE LA ROSA ALVAREZ, Carmelo (PUR)				KISILEVICH, Kelsey (CAN)			
Concepts	Cor	теct	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		
				CUB		CUB		CUB						CUB	BRA	CUB	
Continuous Movement/Drive		3	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	
Continuous	5	6	0	1	3	2	0	0	2	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	
Shot	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Penetration	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	
Perimeter play	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transition	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Rebound	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Post Play	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Key area action	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Screen Action	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Double Team	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Free Throws	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	19	22	0	3	8	6	0	0	6	10	0	1	5	6	0	2	




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Quarter		2nd C	Quarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	To	ital
Duration	00:19:53		00:2	20:54	00:1	5:13	00:2	2:52	01:18:52	
Calls	7 (44%)	9 (56%)	8 (50%)	8 (50%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	8 (47%)	9 (53%)	27 (46%)	32 (54%)
Calls	16 (27%)		16 (27%)		10 (17%)	17 (2	29%)	59 (100%)	
Fouls	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	18 (47%)	20 (53%)
rouis	12 (32%)		8 (21%)		6 (1	6%)	12 (3	32%)	38 (64%)	
Travalling	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	3 (100%)
Travelling	1 (3	33%)	(0	1 (3	3%)	1 (3	3%)	3 (5%)	
Other Violations	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	9 (50%)	9 (50%)
Other violations	3 (1	7%)	8 (4	4%)	3 (1	7%)	4 (2	2%)	18 (31%)	
IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IKS	0		0		()	(0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO CHI CNG/ICNG								Ó	(













Calls by Referee

Quarters	Qua	rter 1	Quai	rter 2	Qua	rter 3		Quarter 4		TOTAL	
3 Referees	5'	10'	5'	10'	5'	10'	5'	10'	Last 2'	TOTAL	
FERNANDEZ DIAZ Roberto José (Costa Rica)	2 1 67% 33%	1 2 33% 67%	0 2 100%	0 1 100%	1 100% 0	0 0	1 1 50% 50%	3 1 75% 25%	0 0	8 8 50% 50%	
(Costa Rica)	3 19%	3 19%	2 13%	1 6%	1 6%	0	2 13%	4 25%	0	16 27%	
DE LA ROSA ALVAREZ	0 2 100%	2 40% 60%	2 100% 0	2 1 67% 33%	1 2 33% 67%	0 1 100%	1 3 25% 75%	3 1 75% 25%	1 100% 0	11 13 46% 54%	
Carmelo (PUR)	2 8%			3 13%	3 13%			4 17%	1 4%	24 41%	
KISILEVICH	1 100% 0	1 1 50% 50%	3 100% 0	1 4 20% 80%	1 2 33% 67%	1 1 50% 50%	0 2 100%	0 1 100%	0 1 100%	8 11 42% 58%	
Kelsey (CAN)	1 5%	2 11%	3 16%	5 26%	3 16%	2 11%	2 11%	1 5%	1 5%	19 32%	
TOTAL	3 3 50% 50%	4 40% 60%	5 2 71% 29%	3 6 33% 67%	3 4 43% 57%	1 2 33% 67%	2 25% 75%	6 3 67% 33%	1 1 50% 50%	27 46% 54%	
TOTAL	6 10%	10 17%	7 12%	9 15%	7 12%	3 5%	8 14%	9 15%	2 3%	59	









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	1 (9%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)	0 (0%)	6 (55%)	3 (27%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)	5 (45%)	5 (45%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11
T1	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
Т3	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	19 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	19
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	3	1	5	5	37	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	59







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	FERNANDEZ José (Co	DIAZ Roberto sta Rica)		A ALVAREZ o (PUR)	KISILEVICH	Kelsey (CAN)	TOTAL		
	CALLS	8 (50%)	8 (50%)	11 (46%)	13 (54%)	8 (42%)	11 (58%)	27 (46%)	32 (54%)	
	CALLS	16 (2	27%)	24 (4	41%)	19 (3	32%)	5	9	
	FOULS	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	6 (38%)	10 (63%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	18 (47%)	20 (53%)	
	1 0023	13 (34%)			12%)	9 (2	4%)		8	
	DEFENSIVE	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	6 (40%)	9 (60%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	17 (47%)	19 (53%)	
	DELENSIVE	13 (3	36%)	15 (4	12%)	8 (2	2%)	3	6	
	OFFENSIVE	0	0	0	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
	OFFENSIVE	()	1 (5	0%)	1 (5	0%)	2		
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DOUBLE FOUL	()	()	()	(0	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Ō.	UNSFORTSWANLIKE	()	()	()	(0	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		()	()	()	(0	
	DISQUALIFYING	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	6 (40%)	9 (60%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	17 (47%)	19 (53%)	
	DISCOALII TIIVO	13 (3	36%)	15 (4	12%)	8 (2	2%)	36		
	OTHER	0	0	0	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
	OTTER	()		0%)		0%)		2	
	VIOLATIONS	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	3 (30%)	7 (70%)	9 (43%)	12 (57%)	
	VIOLATIONS	3 (1	4%)	8 (3	8%)	10 (4	18%)	2	.1	
NC	TRAVELING	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	3 (100%)	
E S	TICAVELING	()	1 (3	3%)	2 (6	7%)		3	
VIOLATION	OTHER	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	9 (50%)	9 (50%)	
>	OTTLK	3 (1	7%)	7 (3	9%)	8 (4	4%)	18		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	IIV3	()	()	()	(0	

Total Calls by Ref

TOTALCALLSBYREF_PIE

