

FIBA POST GAME REPORT

LNTF vs JLME FP101 2023 BCL F4 EU



Contents

Note about the data	
Global Evaluation	3
Quality and Consistency	4
Game Information	
Calls Summary vs Referee	6
, Calls vs Teams	7
Calls vs Referee	8
Play Situations Break-down	9
Call Average vs Game	
Calls vs Zone and Position	
IRS vs Game	



Note about the data

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicized. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams; Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other estates

Global Evaluation

To obtain a final global assessment of referee performance during a game or competition, some non- tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the context of an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.


Most importantly, data can be used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality & consistency

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:





Game Information


			2023 BCL F4 EU			
	17:30 12/05/2023	1	MALAGA			
		Total	Calls	Total		
Iberostar Tenerife		0	Fouls	0		Hapoel Bank
		0	Violations	0		
LNTF		0	OOB	0		JLME
68		0	Fake	0		69
		0	DOG	0		
		0	Total	0		

	REFEREEING STAFF	
MAZZONI, Manuel (ITA)	ZURAPOVIC, Ademir (BIH)	KOZLOVSKIS, Martins (LAT)
MAZZONI MANUEL (TA)	ZURAPOVIC ADEMIR (BH)	KOZLOVSKIS MARTINS LAT
Referee Instructor	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video Operator
LICINA,Miodrag (SRB)	N/A	LOPEZ, Txus (ESP)




Calls Summary vs Referee


	Types/Referees	MAZZONI M	anuel (ITA)	ZURAPOVIC	Ademir (BIH)	KOZLOVSKIS	Martins (LAT)	TO	TAL	
	CALLS	7 (39%)	11 (61%)	15 (58%)	11 (42%)	11 (58%)	8 (42%)	33 (52%)	30 (48%)	
	GALLS	18 (2	29%)	26 (*	41%)	19 (3		e	63	
	FOULS	3 (27%)	8 (73%)	9 (64%)	5 (36%)	8 (53%)	7 (47%)	20 (50%)	20 (50%)	
	10013	11 (2	28%)	14 (3	35%)	15 (3		4	0	
	DEFENSIVE	1 (11%)	8 (89%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	8 (53%)	7 (47%)	16 (46%)	19 (54%)	
	DEFENSIVE	9 (2	6%)	11 (3	31%)	15 (4	3%)	3	5	
	OFFENSIVE	2 (100%)	0	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0	0	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	
	OTENSIVE	2 (4	0%)	3 (6	0%))		5	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DOUBLETOUE)		0	()		0	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FOI	UNSFORTSWANEIRE	0			0)		D	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	TECHNICAL)		0	()	0		
	DISQUALIFYING	1 (11%)	8 (89%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	8 (53%)	7 (47%)	16 (46%)	19 (54%)	
	DISQUALITING	9 (2	6%)		31%)	15 (4	3%)	35		
	OTHER	2 (100%)	0	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0	0	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	
	OTTIER	2 (4	0%)	3 (6	0%)	()		5	
	VIOLATIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	VICEATIONS				0				0	
NS	TRAVELING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TIO	INVICEING				0			0		
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
N	Officia				0	(0	
	IRS	0	0	0	1 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	
	into)	1 (3	3%)	2 (6	7%)		3	



Total Violations by Ref

0 Total Violations









Calls vs Team

Defe	Teams		Iberostar Tenerife 68						Hapoel Bank Yahav Jerusalem 69						TOTALS				
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M1	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
сс	MAZZONI, Manuel (ITA)	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	0	18	0	0	1
U1	ZURAPOVIC, Ademir (BIH)	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0
U2	KOZLOVSKIS, Martins (LAT)	0	0	11	0	0	2	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	2
	TOTAL			33 (52,	38%)			30 (47,62%)						63 (100%)					
	CORRECT			0					0 0										
	INCORRECT			0						0	1					0			
	INCONCLUSIVE			33 (52,	38%)					30 (47	.62%)					63 (10	0%)		
	L2M C 0								0						0				
	L2M I		0					0						0					
	L2M?			2 (3,1	7%)				1 (1,59%)					3 (4,76%)					









Calls vs Referee


Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Quar	ter 3		Quarter 4						TO	TAI	
3 Referees	5' 10')'	5'		1	10'			1	0"	5'		10'		Last 2'		TOTAL			
MAZZONI	0	0	1 20%	4 80%	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	2 100%	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%	0	2 40%	3 60%	0	1 100%	7 39%	11 61%	
Manuel (ITA)	0) 5 28%		()		<u>2</u> %	-	2 11%		2 11%		2 11%		5 1%	1 6%		18 29%		
ZURAPOVIC	0	2 100%	1 50%	1 50%	6 75%	2 25%	3 75%	1 25%	2 67%	1 33%	2 50%	2 50%	1 33%	2 67%	0	0	0	0	15 58%	11 42%	
Ademir (BIH)	2 8%		2 8 8% 31%		4 15%		3 12%		4 15%		3 12%		0		0		26 41%				
KOZLOVSKIS Martins	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 33%	2 67%	4 100%	0	0	0	0	1 100%	2 50%	2 50%	3 60%	2 40%	2 100%	0	11 58%	8 42%	
Martins (LAT)	0 2 11%		-		3 4 16% 21%		T I	0		0 1 59		0 1 5%		1 4 5% 21%		4 5 21% 26%		2 11%		19 30%	
TOTAL	0	2 100%	3 33%	6 67%	7 64%	4 36%	8 80%	2 20%	2 40%	3 60%	3 43%	4 57%	5 56%	4 44%	5 50%	5 50%	2 67%	1 33%	33 52%	30 48%	
TOTAL		2 %) .%	1 17	1 %	-	0 %	ب 8'	; %	11	7 %		9 10 14% 16%		3 5%		6	3		







Play Situations Break-down






Call Average vs Game






Calls vs Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (56%)	3 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
C3	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	4 (44%)	1 (11%)	3 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
п	3 (21%)	4 (29%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (21%)	4 (29%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14
T3	0 (0%)	4 (44%)	2 (22%)	3 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	5 (50%)	2 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	10
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9 (82%)	1 (9%)	1 (9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	4	9	6	5	25	10	1	0	0	1	2	0	63







IRS vs Game

