

FIBA Game Stats

MIN vs FLA FP101 2023 BCLA FINAL 4



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		20	23 BCLA FINAL	. 4	
Game	MIN				E FLA
Score	75	22-15 /	18-26 / 20-22	/ 15-17	80
Duration	00:19:25	00:21:28	00:24:11	00:33:55	01:38:59
Fouls (IRS)	17 (0)				20 (0)
	(SAME INFOR	MATION		
Stage/Round		FINAL	4		FP101
Date		17	7:30 14/04/202	23	
Place			BCLA		
		REFEREEING	STAFF		
ANAYA FREILE, (Panam	Julio Cesar GA na)	RCIA NIEVES, Da (Venezue		CHICOI (NATO, Diego (Brazil)
Referee Inst	tructor S	tand-by Referee	Instructor	Video	o Operator
MARANHO,Cris (BRA)		N/A		VELASCO	Fabricio (BRA)




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams			MINA	S 75					FLAMEN	NGO 80					TOT	ALS		
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
cc	ANAYA FREILE, Julio Cesar (Panama)	9	0	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	2	0	0	16	0	0	4	0	0
U1	GARCIA NIEVES, Daniel Alberto (Venezuela)	6	0	0	1	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	15	0	0	2	0	0
U2	CHICONATO, Diego (Brazil)	4	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	2	0	0	13	0	0	2	0	0
	TOTAL			19 (43	.18%)			25 (56.82%)						44 (100%)					
	CORRECT			19 (43	.18%)			25 (56.82%)					44 (100%)						
	INCORRECT			0				0						0					
	INCONCLUSIVE			0						0)			0					
	L2M C			3 (6.8	2%)					5 (11.	36%)			8 (18.18%)					
	L2M I			0						0)			0					
	L2M?			0						0)			0					








Plays Situation Breakdown





			Ρ	lay	Situ	atio	ns '	Tab	le								
Community	Totals					ANAYA FREILE, Julio Cesar (Panama)				GARCIA NIEVES, Daniel Alberto (Venezuela)				CHICONATO, Diego (Brazil)			
Concepts	Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		
	MIN	FLA	MIN	FLA	MIN	FLA	MIN	FLA	MIN	FLA	MIN	FLA	MIN	FLA	MIN	FLA	
Continuous Movement/Drive	2	5	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	
Continuous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Shot	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Penetration	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Perimeter play	7	7	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	2	2	0	0	
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transition	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Rebound	3	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Post Play	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Key area action	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Screen Action	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dead Ball	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Throw-in	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	19	25	0	0	9	7	0	0	6	9	0	0	4	9	0	0	





Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

















Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal
Duration	00:1	9:25	00:2	1:28	00:2	4:11	00:3	3:55	01:3	8:59
Calls	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	3 (43%) 4 (57%)		5 (33%)	10 (67%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	19 (43%)	25 (57%)
Calls	11 (2	25%)	7 (16%)		15 (34%)	11 (2	25%)	44 (100%)	
Fouls	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	4 (31%)	9 (69%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	17 (46%)	20 (54%)
rouis	8 (22%)		6 (16%)		13 (35%)		10 (2	27%)	37 (84%)	
Travelling	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0
Traveiling	()	(0	1 (1	00%)	()	1 (2	2%)
Other Violations	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (17%)	5 (83%)
Other violations	3 (5	0%)	1 (1	7%)	1 (1	7%)	1 (1	7%)	6 (14%)	
Inc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IRS	()	(0	()	0		()
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	()	(0		Ó	()	0	













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Quai	rter 3				Qua	rter 4			TOTAL	
3 Referees	Į	5'	10	D'	Į	5'		0'		5"	1	0'	Ę	;"	10¹		Last 2'		TOTAL	
ANAYA FREILE Julio Cesar	5 83%	1 17%	0	0	0	1 100%	1 33%	2 67%	0	1 100%	1 100%	0	2 50%	2 50%	2 50%	2 50%	0	0	11 55%	9 45%
(Panama)		5)%	()	5	1 %		3 5%	5	1 %	5	1 %		‡)%		4)%	()	2 38	- 1
GARCIA NIEVES Daniel	1 33%	2 67%	2 100%	0	0	1 100%	1 20%	4 80%	0	1 100%	0	0	2 67%	1 33%	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	7 41%	10 59%
Alberto (Venezuela)	3 2 18% 12%		1 6%		5 29%		6	1 %	(0		3 3%		2 2%	0		17 33%			
CHICONATO Diego	0	2 100%	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	1 50%	1 50%	2 67%	1 33%	0	1 100%	0	2 100%	0	2 100%	0	0	4 27%	11 73%
(Brazil)	_	2 3%	13	<u>2</u> 3%	7	1 %		2 3%		3)%	7	1 %	1 -	2 3%		2 3%	()	1 29	5 %
TOTAL	6 55%	5 45%	3 75%	1 25%	0	3 100%	3 30%	7 70%	2 40%	3 60%	1 50%	1 50%	4 44%	5 56%	3 38%	5 63%	0	0	22 42%	30 58%
TOTAL	1 21	1 %	8'	-		3 %		0		5)%	_	2 %	17) '%		3 5%	()	5	2









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	1 (14%)	5 (71%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
T1	3 (33%)	3 (33%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	2 (22%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
Т3	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	4 (67%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9 (90%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
Ct	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	2
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	4	5	6	2	22	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	44







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees		LE Julio Cesar ama)		S Daniel Alberto ezuela)	CHICONATO	Diego (Brazil)	TOTAL		
	CALLS	9 (56%)	7 (44%)	6 (40%)	9 (60%)	4 (31%)	9 (69%)	19 (43%)	25 (57%)	
	CALLS	16 (36%)	15 (34%)	13 (3	30%)	4	4	
	FOULS	8 (62%)	5 (38%)	6 (43%)	8 (57%)	3 (30%)	7 (70%)	17 (46%)	20 (54%)	
	10013	13 (35%)	14 (38%)	10 (27%)	3	7	
	DEFENSIVE	7 (70%)	3 (30%)	6 (50%) 6 (50%)		3 (30%) 7 (70%)		16 (50%)	16 (50%)	
	DEI ENSIVE	10 (31%)	12 (38%)	10 (3	31%)	3	2	
	OFFENSIVE	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0	2 (100%)	0	0	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	
	OFFENSIVE	3 (6	0%)	2 (4	10%)	()	Į.	5	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	2 (100%)	
	DOOBLETOOL	1 (50%)		1 (5	50%)	Ō		2	2	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
E0	UNSFORTSWANLIKE	0			0	()	()	
	TECHNICAL	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	2 (100%)	
	TECHNICAL	1 (50%)		1 (5	50%)	()	2	2	
	DISQUALIFYING	8 (67%)	4 (33%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	3 (30%)	7 (70%)	17 (50%)	17 (50%)	
	DISCOALII TING	12 (35%)	12 (35%)	10 (29%)	34		
	OTHER	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	0	0	3 (100%)	
	OTTER	1 (3	3%)	2 (6	57%)	()	:	3	
	VIOLATIONS	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	
	VIOLATIONS	3 (4	3%)	1 (1	4%)	3 (4	3%)		7	
NS	TRAVELING	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	
110	TIONVEELING	()		0	1 (10	00%)	•	1	
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	1 (17%)	5 (83%)	
>	OTTLK	3 (5	0%)	1 (1	7%)	2 (3	3%)	(5	
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	illo-	()		0	()	0		

