

FIBA Game Stats

PEN vs FCA FP103 2023 BCLA QF



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition			2023 BCLA QF		
Game	PEN				FCA
Score	84	19-25 /	19-23 / 20-26	/ 26-17	91
Duration	00:19:58	00:23:02	00:19:11	00:30:49	01:33:00
Fouls (IRS)	20 (0)				17 (0)
	(GAME INFOR	MATION		
Stage/Round		QUARTER I	FINAL		FP103
Date		20	0:00 06/03/202	23	
Place			BCLA		
		REFEREEING	STAFF		
ZALAZAR, Le (ARG)	eonardo E)	BATISTA PALERN (Puerto R	10, Johnny ico)	IBARRA BUR	GOS, Felipe Andre (Chile)
	FBA		□ TBB		FROM
Referee Ins	tructor S	tand-by Referee	Instructor	Video	o Operator
MARANHO,Cris (BRA)		N/A			FEDE




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams			Penar	ol 84					SESI FRA	NCA 91			TOTALS					
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconci.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
СС	ZALAZAR, Leonardo (ARG)	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	14	0	0	2
U1	BATISTA PALERMO, Johnny (Puerto Rico)	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0
U2	IBARRA BURGOS, Felipe Andre (Chile)	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	0	18	0	0	1
	TOTAL			23 (52	,27%)				21 (47,73%)					44 (100%)					
	CORRECT		0				0						0						
	INCORRECT			0				0						0					
	INCONCLUSIVE			23 (52	,27%)			21 (47,73%)						44 (100%)					
	L2M C			0						C)			0					
	L2M I			0				0						0					
	L2M?			1 (2,2	?7%)					2 (4,5	55%)					3 (6,8	32%)		








Plays Situation Breakdown





			Ρ	lay	Situ	atic	ns '	Tab	le							
Community		To	tals		ZAL	AZAR, (Al	Leona RG)	rdo			PALERI Jerto F		IBARRA BURGOS, Felipe Andre (Chile)			
Concepts	Cor	rect	Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect	
	PEN	FCA	PEN	FCA	PEN	FCA	PEN	FCA	PEN	FCA	PEN	FCA	PEN	FCA	PEN	
Continuous Movement/Drive	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Continuous	0	0	4	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
Shot	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
Manufacturing	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Perimeter play	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Fast break	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Transition	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Post Play	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Key area action	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Screen Action	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-in	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	23	21	0	0	9	5	0	0	7	5	0	0	7	11





Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

















Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Qu	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	To	tal	
Duration	00:1	9:58	00:2	3:02	00:1	9:11	00:3	0:49	01:3	3:00	
Calls	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	9 (53%)	8 (47%)	23 (52%)	21 (48%)	
Calls	11 (2	25%)	9 (20%)		7 (1	6%)	17 (3	39%)	44 (100%)		
Fouls	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	4 (57%) 3 (43%)		7 (54%)	6 (46%)	20 (54%)	17 (46%)	
rouis	9 (24%)	8 (2	2%)	7 (1	9%)	13 (3	35%)	37 (84%)			
Travelling	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
Traveiling	1 (100%)		(0	()	()	1 (2%)	
Other Violations	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	
Other violations	1 (1	7%)	1 (1	7%)	()	4 (67%)		6 (14%)		
ine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	()	(0	()	()	(0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NO CAIL CINC/ICINC	()	(0	()	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Quai	rter 3				Quai	rter 4			TO'	TAL
3 Referees	Ę		1	0'		5'	10	0'		,	10	0'	5		1	0'	Las	t 2'	2	AL
ZALAZAR Leonardo	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	2 100%	0	0	0	2 100%	0	4 67%	2 33%	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	9 64%	5 36%
(ARG)	1 7%		1 7%		2 14%		0		2 14%		6 43%		0		2 14%		2 14%		14 32%	
BATISTA PALERMO	2 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	1 33%	2 67%	1 100%	0	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	7 58%	5 42%
Johnny (Puerto Rico)		2 7%		2 7%	8	1 %	8	1 %		} i%	8	ا %	C)	_	2 7%	(0	1 27	2 '%
IBARRA BURGOS	0	2 100%	2 67%	1 33%	0	1 100%	2 50%	2 50%	1 50%	1 50%	2 40%	3 60%	0	0	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	7 39%	11 61%
Felipe Andre (Chile)		2 1%		3 7%	6	1 %	22	4 2%	_	<u>2</u> %	28 28	5 3%	C)	6	1 %	6	1 %	1 41	-
TOTAL	2 40%	3 60%	3 50%	3 50%	3 75%	1 25%	2 40%	3 60%	4 57%	3 43%	7 58%	5 42%	0	0	2 40%	3 60%	1 33%	2 67%	23 52%	21 48%
IOIAL		5 %		5 I%	9	4 %	11	5 %		7 5%	1 27		C)		5 1%		3 %	4	4









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	3 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	2 (50%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
T1	1 (14%)	2 (29%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (43%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
Т3	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5
L4	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (80%)	2 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	2 (33%)	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	3	7	3	6	16	6	1	1	0	1	0	0	44







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	ZALAZAR Led	onardo (ARG)		ERMO Johnny o Rico)		OS Felipe Andre nile)	то	TAL	
	CALLS	9 (64%)	5 (36%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	7 (39%)	11 (61%)	23 (52%)	21 (48%)	
	CALLS	14 (32%)	12 (27%)	18 (41%)	4	4	
	FOULS	8 (73%)	3 (27%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	6 (40%)	9 (60%)	20 (54%)	17 (46%)	
	FOOLS	11 (30%)	11 (30%)	15 (41%)	3	37	
	DEFENSIVE	8 (73%)	3 (27%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	17 (50%)	17 (50%)	
	DEFENSIVE	11 (32%)	11 (32%)	12 (35%)	3	34	
	OFFENSIVE	0	0	0	0	3 (100%)	0	3 (100%)	0	
	OFFEINSIVE		כ		0	3 (1	00%)		3	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DOUBLE FOOL	0		0		0			0	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
EQ.	UNSFORTSWANLIKE)		0	(0	(0	
	TECHNICAL	CHNICAL 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
	TECHNICAL)		0	(0	(0	
	DISQUALIFYING	8 (73%)	3 (27%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	4 (31%)	9 (69%)	18 (51%)	17 (49%)	
	DISCOALII TING	11 (31%)	11 (31%)		13 (37%)	35		
	OTHER	0	0	0	0	2 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	
	OTTER)		<u> </u>		00%)		2	
	VIOLATIONS	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	1 (100%)	0	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	
	VIOLATIONS	3 (4	3%)	1 (1	4%)	3 (4	3%)		7	
NS	TRAVELING	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0 0		0	1 (100%)	
OII)	TIOTVEEING	·	00%)		0		0	,	1	
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (100%)	0	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	
>	OTHER		3%)	- 1	7%)	- \	0%)	6		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	110	(כ		0	(0	Ö		

