

FIBA Game Stats

PAN vs JAM GP502 2023 CENTROBASKET U17



Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023 CE	NTROBASK	ET U17	
Game	* * PAN				JAM
Score	83	21-25 / 1	19-22 / 17-26	/ 26-6	79
Duration	00:19:16	00:19:52	00:21:37	00:30:35	01:31:20
Fouls (IRS)	16 (0)				27 (1)
		GAME INFOR	MATION		
Stage/Round		GROUF	2		GP502
Date		16:	00 30/07/202	23	
Place			BELIZE		
		REFEREEING	STAFF		
WILMORE, Christ (BAH)		GRANADOS SAN (ESA)	TOS, Mario	PÉREZ GARCÍ (DO	A, Victor Alfonso OM)
			86		Real Provide P
Referee Ins	tructor	Stand-by Referee	Instructor	Video C	Operator
LASUIK,K (CAN)	(aren)	N/A		CACERES, (Al	Maximiliano RG)




General Calls Evaluation


Defe	Teams			PANA	MA 83					JAMAI	CA 79					TOT	ALS		
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?
сс	WILMORE, Christian Cameron (BAH)	7	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	o	1	0	o	18	1	0	1	0	0
U1	U1 GRANADOS SANTOS, Mario (ESA)		2	0	0	0	0	13	3	0	2	0	0	21	5	0	2	0	0
U2	PÉREZ GARCÍA, Victor Alfonso (DOM)	13	0	1	1	0	o	8	0	o	1	o	0	21	0	1	2	0	o
	TOTAL			31 (46	6,3%)					36 (53	3,7%)					67 (10	00%)		
	CORRECT			28 (41	,8%)						60 (89	39,6%)							
	INCORRECT			2 (3	%)			4 (6%)						6 (9%)					
	INCONCLUSIVE			1 (1,	5%)			0						1 (1,5%)					
	L2M C			1 (1,	5%)					4 (6	%)			5 (7,5%)					
	L2M I			0						C)					0)		
	L2M ?			0						0)					0)		








Plays Situation Breakdown




Play Situations Table																
0		То	tals		WILI	MORE	E, Chri on (BA	istian .H)			S, Ma		PÉ Victo	REZ (r Alfo	GARC nso ([ХÍА, DOM
Concepts	Co	Tect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrec
	PAN	JAM	PAN	JAM	PAN	JAM	PAN	JAM	PAN	JAM	PAN	JAM	PAN	JAM	PAN	JAN
Continuous Movement/Drive	3	3	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	0
Continuous	1	6	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	1	0
Shot	1	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Manufacturing	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Perimeter play	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Key area action	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	9	21	3	4	2	6	0	1_	3	8	2	3	4	7	1_	0






Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd Q	luarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	Το	tal	
Duration	00:1	9:16	00:1	9:52	00:2	:1:37	00:3	0:35	01:3	1:20	
Calls	8 (62%)	5 (38%)	7 (47%)	8 (53%)	9 (53%)	8 (47%)	7 (32%)	15 (68%)	31 (46%)	36 (54%)	
Calls	13 (1	19%)	15 (22%)		17 (2	25%)	22 (33%)	67 (100%)		
Fouls	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	2 (22%) 7 (78%)		8 (67%)	4 (33%)	3 (19%)	13 (81%)	16 (37%)	27 (63%)	
rouis	6 (14%)		9 (2	.1%)	12 (2	28%)	16 (;	37%)	43 (64%)		
Trevelling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Travelling	()	(0	(C	(0	0		
Other Violations	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	15 (63%)	9 (38%)	
Other violations	7 (2	9%)	6 (2	.5%)	5 (21%)		6 (25%)		24 (36%)		
IDO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	
IRS	()	(0	0		1 (100%)		1 ('	1%)	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	()	0) C	(0	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Qua	rter 2			Qua	ter 3				Qua	rter 4			то	
3 Referees		5"	1	0"	5	9	1	0"	Ę	<u>y</u>	1	0"	ę	5"		10 ¹	La	Last 2'		IAL
WILMORE Christian	0	1 100%	1 100%	0	1 33%	2 67%	2 67%	1 33%	2 40%	3 60%	1 20%	4 80%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	0	7 35%	13 65%
Cameron (BAH)	1 5%		1 5%		3 15%		3 15%		5 25%		5 25%		1 5%		ŧ	1 5%	(0	20 28%	
GRANADOS SANTOS	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	2 40%	3 60%	1 50%	1 50%	2 33%	4 67%	1 25%	3 75%	3 50%	3 50%	0	2 100%	0	0	10 36%	18 64%
SANTOS Mario (ESA)	2 7%		1 4%		5 18%		2 7%		6 21%		4 14%		6 21%		2 7%		0		28 39%	
PÉREZ GARCIA Victor Alfonso (DOM)	1 50%	1 50%	5 83%	1 17%	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	5 83%	1 17%	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	15 63%	9 38%
Alfonso (DOM)	-	2 %		6 5%	1 4'	1 %	4	1 %	(25	3 %		3 3%		3 3%	ε	2 3%	(0		4 8%
TOTAL	2 40%	3 60%	6 75%	2 25%	4 44%	5 56%	3 50%	3 50%	9 53%	8 47%	3 25%	9 75%	4 40%	6 60%	1 20%	4 80%	0	0	32 44%	40 56%
TOTAL		5 %		3 %) %	(8'	5 %	1 24	7 .%	-	2 %		0 %	7	5 %		0	7	2









Pos/Zone	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (60%)	3 (30%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
СЗ	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (22%)	13 (72%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	18
T1	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
T3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	4 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	6 (86%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	14 (78%)	3 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	18
Ct	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	2	2	1	7	43	8	2	1	1	0	0	0	67

Calls by Zone and Position





Page 10 of 11



Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees		E Christian on (BAH)		SANTOS Mario SA)	PÉREZ GAR Alfonso		то	TAL		
	CALLS	7 (37%)	12 (63%)	10 (38%)	16 (62%)	14 (64%)	8 (36%)	31 (46%)	36 (54%)		
	UALLO	19 (28%)	26 (39%)	22 (3	3%)	6	7		
	FOULS	3 (27%)	8 (73%)	6 (33%)	12 (67%)	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	16 (37%)	27 (63%)		
			26%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	42%)	14 (3			3		
	DEFENSIVE	2 (25%)	6 (75%)	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	11 (35%)	20 (65%)		
	DEI ENGIVE	8 (2	:6%)	11 (;	35%)	12 (3	9%)	3			
	OFFENSIVE	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	2 (100%)	0	5 (42%)	7 (58%)		
	OFFERGIVE	3 (25%)		7 (5	8%)	2 (1	7%)	1	2		
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<i>(</i> 0	DOODEETODE		0))		0		
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)		
FO		1 (1	00%))				1		
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	2 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0		
			0)	2 (10		-	2		
	DISQUALIFYING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
			0)		•		0		
	OTHER	3 (30%)	7 (70%)	6 (33%)	12 (67%)	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	14 (35%)	26 (65%)		
	OTTIER		25%)		45%)	12 (3			0		
	VIOLATIONS	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	7 (88%)	1 (13%)	15 (63%)	9 (38%)		
			3%)	· · · · ·	3%)	8 (3			4		
VIOLATION S	TRAVELING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
A TI	HUITELIIIO		0		2	C		0			
OL	OTHER	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	7 (88%)	1 (13%)	15 (63%) 9 (38%)			
N	ÖTTLEIK		3%)	· · · · · ·	3%)	8 (3			4		
	IRS	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)		
		1 (1	00%)))	1			

