

FIBA Game Stats

BONN vs MNM GP101 2023 FIBA ICC SGP



Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		202	3 FIBA ICC SO)P	
Game	BONN				ම MNM
Score	105	26-16 /	24-6 / 34-11 /	21-17	50
Duration	00:14:56	00:19:35	00:22:59	00:20:05	01:17:35
Fouls (IRS)	16 (0)				17 (0)
		GAME INFORM	IATION		
Stage/Round		Group Phas	e GP		GP101
Date		15	i:00 21/09/202	3	
Place		SINGA	PORE SPORT	S HUB	
		REFEREEING	staff		
CONDE RUIZ, Ant (ESP)		BONNER, A (USA)	ımy	MOSTAFA, Wa	ael Ibrahim Mohamed (EGY)
Referee Inst	tructor St	and-by Referee	Instructor	Vide	o Operator
JOSEPH, Albo (AUS)	ert John)	N/A		SHAFA	GHI, Shahrad (AUS)




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams		Telek	com Bask	ets Bonn	105				Al Mana	ima 50					TOT	ALS		
Hers	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	inconci.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	incorrect	inconci.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrect	inconci.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?
CC	CONDE RUIZ, Antonio Rafael (ESP)	0	1	10	0	0	0	1	0	11	0	0	0	1	1	21	0	0	0
U1	BONNER, Amy (USA)	0	0	10	0	0	2	0	0	14	0	0	1	0	0	24	0	0	3
U2	MOSTAFA, Wael Ibrahim Mohamed (EGY)	0	2	10	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	16	0	0	1
	TOTAL			33 (50	.8%)					32 (49	9.2%)				0 2 16 0 0 1 65 (100%) 1 (1.5%) 3 (4.6%) 61 (93.8%) 0				
	CORRECT			0				1 (1.5%) 1 (1.					1 (1.	.5%)					
	INCORRECT			3 (4.0	3%)			0						3 (4.6%)					
	INCONCLUSIVE			30 (46	.2%)			31 (47.7%)						61 (93.8%)					
	L2M C			0						0)			0					
	L2M I			0						0)					0			
	L2M ?			3 (4.6	3%)					1 (1.	5%)					4 (6.:	2%)		








Plays Situation Breakdown




Play Situations Table																	
		Tol	tals		GO	IDE RU Rafae	1 2, A nto ((E8P)	onio	BO	NHER, I	Amy (U	SA)	MOSTRFA, Wael Ibr Mohamed (E8)				
Concepts	Correct		inco	Incorrect		Correct		incorrect		Correct		rrect	Correct		Incorrect		
			2011		30.11		3000		3011		-1011		30) N		30,0		
Continuous Movement/Drive to	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	
Continuous Movement/Moving	0	0	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	
Shot	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landing	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Penetration	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	
Perimeter play	0	1	2	8	0	1	1	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transition	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
Rebound	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Post Play	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	
Key area action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Screen Action	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	
Double Team	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Help Defense	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totais	0	1.	77	19	0	1_	4	9	0	0	5	8	0	0	8	2	






Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q u	varter	2nd Q	varter	3rd Q	varter	4th Q	varter	To	tal	
Duration	00:1	4:56	00:1	9:35	00:2	2:59	00:2	0:05	01:17:35		
Calls	6 (75%)	2 (25%)	10 (56%)	8 (44%)	11 (52%)	10 (48%)	6 (33%)	12 (67%)	33 (51%)	32 (49%)	
Cails	8 (1	2%)	18 (2	28%)	21 (3	32%)	18 (2	28%)	65 (1	00%)	
Paula	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	16 (48%)	17 (52%)	
Fouls	4 (12%)		7 (2	.1%)	12 (36%)	10 (3	30%)	33 (51%)		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Traveiling	()	(0)	(0	0		
	4 (100%)	0	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	2 (25%)	6 (75%)	17 (53%)	15 (47%)	
Other Violations	4 (1	3%)	11 (;	34%)	9 (2	8%)	8 (2	5%)	32 (49%)		
100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	()		0)		0	0		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	(Ď		0		Ď		Ď	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Qva	ter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO	TAL
3 Referees		,	1	0"		5'	1	0"	l.	5'	1	0"		5	1	0"	Las	Last 2'		
CONDE RUIZ, Antonio Rafael	1 100%	0	2 100%	0	2 50%	2 50%	1 33%	2 67%	2 50%	2 50%	1 50%	1 50%	2 40%	3 60%	0	2 100%	0	0	11 48%	12 52%
(ESP)	1 4%		2 9%		4 17%			3 13%		4 17%		2 9%		5 22%		2 9%)		3 i%
BONNER, Amy	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	2 33%	4 67%	3 50%	3 50%	0	1 100%	2 40%	3 60%	2 67%	1 33%	10 42%	14 58%
(USÀ) É	2 8% 0		D	2 2 8% 8%		6 6 25% 25%		4	1 %	5 21%		3 13%		24 37%						
MOSTAFA, Waei Ibrahim	2 67%	1 33%	0	0	4 80%	1 20%	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	2 100%	0	1 25%	3 75%	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	12 67%	6 33%
Ibrahîm Mohamed (EGY)	3 17%		0		5 28%		2 11%		1 6%		2 11%		4 22%		6	1 6%		1 %	-	8 %
TOTAL	4 67%	2 33%	2 100%	0	7 64%	4 36%	3 43%	4 57%	5 45%	6 55%	6 60%	4 40%	3 30%	7 70%	3 38%	5 63%	3 75%	1 25%	33 51%	32 49%
TOTAL	(9'			2 %	1 17	1 '%	11	7 %		1 %	-	0 5%	10 15%		8 12%		4 6%		65	







Page 9 of 11



Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	4 (50%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (25%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (20%)	3 (30%)	5 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
п	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5
T3	0 (0%)	4 (33%)	3 (25%)	4 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	10 (83%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (23%)	7 (54%)	3 (23%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13
Gt	1 (25%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
R	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	7	7	5	11	25	7	1	0	1	0	1	0	65

Calls by Zone and Position






Page 10 of 11



Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	CONDE RUIZ, Anto	onio Rafael (ESP)	BONNER, A	imy (USA)	MOSTAFA, Wael II (El		то	TAL	
	CALLS	11 (48%)	12 (52%)	10 (42%)	14 (58%)	12 (67%)	6 (33%)	33 (51%)	32 (49%)	
	GALLO	23 (3	35%)	24 (3	7%)	18 (2	28%)	E	5	
	FOULS	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	5 (38%)	8 (62%)	7 (78%)	2 (22%)	16 (48%)	17 (52%)	
	10010		33%)	13 (3			7%)	-	3	
	DEFENSIVE	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	13 (48%)	14 (52%)	
	DEI ENGIVE	9 (3	3%)	12 (4	4%)	6 (2	2%)	2	7	
	OFFENSIVE	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	
	OTTENSIVE	2 (3	3%)	1 (1)	7%)	3 (5	0%)		6	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DODLETOOL		D	C)		0	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
FOI	ONOTONIANLIKE	1 (100%)		(1	1)		1	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	LOUNIOAL	(0	(1	1)		0	
	DISQUALIFYING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DIOQUALITINO	((,,,)		0	
	OTHER	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	5 (38%)	8 (62%)	7 (78%)	2 (22%)	16 (50%)	16 (50%)	
	UTILIT		31%)	13 (4		9 (2		-	2	
	VIOLATIONS	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	17 (53%)	15 (47%)	
			38%)	11 (3			8%)	-	2	
NS	TRAVELING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TIO	TO VELING			C					0	
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	17 (53%)	15 (47%)	
N	0Elt		38%)	11 (3	· ·		8%)	32		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			D)	Ó		



TOTALCALLSBYREF_PIE

Total Calls by Ref

