

FIBA Game Stats

CHI vs URU GP202 2023 FIBA OPQT ARG



Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard




Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:







General Calls Evaluation


Defe	Teams			Chile	78					Urugu	ay 70				TOTALS					
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	
сс	DIAZ BLONCOURT, Jesed (PUR)	11	4	1	0	0	0	10	0	0	1	0	o	21	4	1	1	0	0	
U1	I1 SAUNDERS, Nathaniel (CAN)		0	0	1	0	0	16	0	0	3	0	0	25	0	0	4	0	0	
U2	HIDALGO MARTÍNEZ, Samuel (DOM)	8	0	o	1	0	0	8	o	o	o	o	0	16	0	o	1	o	0	
	TOTAL			33 (49	,3%)			34 (50,7%) 67 (100%)												
	CORRECT			28 (41	,8%)			34 (50,7%) 62 (92,5%)												
	INCORRECT			4 (6	%)				0 4 (6%)											
	INCONCLUSIVE			1 (1,	5%)			0						1 (1,5%)						
	L2M C			2 (3	%)			4 (6%)					6 (9%)							
	L2M I		0					0					0							
	L2M ?			0						0)			0						








Plays Situation Breakdown




Play Situations Table																
Concerto		To	tals		DIA	Z BLO Jesed	NCOI (PUR	JRT,)	SAUNDERS, Nathaniel (CAN)				HIDALGO MARTINEZ, Samuel (DOM)			
Concepts	Correct		Inco	rrect	Cor	Correct		Incorrect		rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Incorrect	
	CHI	URU	CHI	URU	CHI	URU	CHI	URU	CHI	URU	CHI	URU	CHI	URU	CHI	UR
ontinuous Movement/Drive	3	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Continuous	6	2	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	0
Shot	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	4	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Perimeter play	4	2	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Key area action	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	0	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	18	19	3	0	8	6	3	0	5	9	0	0	5	4	0	0






Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd C	luarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	Το	tal	
Duration	00:1	9:11	00:2	25:09	00:1	8:26	00:2	8:58	01:31:44		
Calls	7 (44%)	9 (56%)	5 (38%)	8 (62%)	10 (53%)	9 (47%)	11 (58%)	8 (42%)	33 (49%)	34 (51%)	
Calls	16 (24%)		13 (19%)		19 (2	28%)	19 (2	28%)	67 (100%)		
Fouls	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	22 (50%)	22 (50%)	
rouis	12 (27%)		9 (2	20%)	10 (2	23%)	13 (3	30%)	44 (66%)		
Trevelling	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
Travelling	0			0	1 (5	0%)	1 (5	0%)	2 (:	3%)	
Other Violations	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	0	4 (100%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	10 (48%)	11 (52%)	
Other violations	4 (1	9%)	4 (1	9%)	8 (3	8%)	5 (2	4%)	21 (31%)		
IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IKƏ	()		0	()	()	0		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	()	0)	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Qua	rter 2			Qua	rter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO.	TAI	
3 Referees	**	5"	1	0'	ł	5"	1	0'	Ę	5	1	0"	5'		10'		Last 2'		10		
DIAZ BLONCOUR T Jesed	1 50%	1 50%	3 100%	0	0	2 100%	3 75%	1 25%	2 40%	3 60%	2 100%	0	4 67%	2 33%	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	16 62%	10 38%	
(Puerto Rico)	2 8%		3 12%		2 8%		4 15%		5 19%			2 8%		6 23%		2 8%		1 4%		26 39%	
SAUNDERS Nathaniel (Canada)	1 33%	2 67%	1 25%	3 75%	1 50%	1 50%	0	2 100%	3 100%	0	0	4 100%	2 67%	1 33%	1 25%	3 75%	1 25%	3 75%	9 36%	16 64%	
(Canada)	3 12%		4 16%		2 8%		2 8%		3 12%		4 16%			3 ?%		4 6%	4 16%		25 37%		
HIDALGO MARTÍNEZ Samuel	1 50%	1 50%	0	2 100%	0	1 100%	1 50%	1 50%	2 67%	1 33%	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	2 67%	1 33%	1 100%	0	8 50%	8 50%	
Samuel (Dominican Republic)	2 13%		2 13%		1 6%		2 13%		3 19%		2 13%		1 6%			3 9%	1 6%		16 24%		
τοτοι	3 43%	4 57%	4 44%	5 56%	1 20%	4 80%	4 50%	4 50%	7 64%	4 36%	3 38%	5 63%	7 70%	3 30%	4 44%	5 56%	2 33%	4 67%	33 49%	34 51%	
TOTAL	10	7)%		9 3%		5 %		8 2%	1 16	1 %		8 2%		0 5%		9 3%		6 %	6	7	









Pos/Zone	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (75%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
СЗ	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	1 (17%)	2 (33%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
T1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (60%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5
ТЗ	0 (0%)	3 (33%)	2 (22%)	2 (22%)	2 (22%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (18%)	12 (71%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (12%)	0 (0%)	17
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14 (74%)	4 (21%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	19
Ct	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Tt	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
TOTAL	1	8	3	7	38	5	2	0	0	0	3	0	67

Calls by Zone and Position







Page 10 of 11




Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees		OURT Jesed o Rico)	SAUNDER: (Can		HIDALGO Samuel (E Repu		то	TAL	
	CALLS	16 (62%)	10 (38%)	9 (36%)	16 (64%)	8 (50%)	8 (50%)	33 (49%)	34 (51%)	
	CALLO	26 (39%)		25 (3	37%)	16 (2	24%)	67		
	FOULS	11 (61%)	7 (39%)	5 (33%)	10 (67%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	22 (50%) 22 (50%)		
	10020		41%)	15 (3		11 (2	25%)	4	4	
	DEFENSIVE	11 (65%)	6 (35%)	5 (38%)	8 (62%)	6 (67%)	3 (33%)	22 (56%)	17 (44%)	
		17 (4	44%)	13 (3	33%)	9 (2	3%)	3	9	
	OFFENSIVE	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	5 (100%)	
	OFFERENCE	1 (20%)		2 (4	0%)	2 (4	0%)		5	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<i>(</i> 0	DOODEETOOL	0		0		0			0	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FO			0)				0	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	
			0	1 (5		1 (5			2	
	DISQUALIFYING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			0))	0		
	OTHER	11 (61%)	7 (39%)	5 (36%)	9 (64%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	22 (52%)	20 (48%)	
	OTTER		43%)	14 (3		10 (2			2	
	VIOLATIONS	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	11 (48%)	12 (52%)	
		· · · · ·	5%)	10 (4		5 (2			3	
NO	TRAVELING	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (50%) 1 (50%)		
A TI	HUULEING		0%)	1 (5		(2	
VIOLATION S	OTHER	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	10 (48%) 11 (52%)		
\geq	•=	· · · · ·	3%)	9 (4		5 (2		21		
	IRS	0	0	0 0		0	0	0 0		
			0)	()	0		





Total Calls by Ref



Page 11 of 11