BRA vs SRB GP210 2023 FIBA U19 WC HUNGARY

FIBA POST GAME REPORT





Contents

Note about the data	3
Global Evaluation	
Quality and Consistency	4
Game Information	5
Calls Summary vs Referee	6
Calls vs Teams	7
Calls vs Referee	
Play Situations Break-down	9
Call Average vs Game	10
Calls vs Zone and Position	11
IRS vs Game	12
Regular Call vs L2M & OT Accuracy	13



Note about the data

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicized. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams; Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other estates

Global Evaluation

To obtain a final global assessment of referee performance during a game or competition, some non- tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the context of an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can be used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality & consistency

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:





Game Information

	2023 FIBA U19 WC HUNGARY												
		GP · GP210											
	12:30 25/00	6/2023			DEBRECEN								
	%	Total	Calls	Total	%								
Brazil	N/A	23	Fouls	21	N/A	Serbia							
	N/A	16	Violations	18	N/A								
BRA	N/A	13	OOB	13	N/A	SRB							
72	N/A	0	Fake	0	N/A	74							
	N/A	0	DOG	0	N/A								
	N/A	39	Total	39	N/A								

Quarters	Quai	rter 1	Quar	ter 2	Quar	ter 3	Quar	ter 4	TO	FAL	
Score	22	21	15	21	14	11	21	21	72	74	
Duration	00:2	3:53	00:2	7:14	00:2	1:05	00:3	0:49	01:43:01		
Fouls	5	5	6	4	6	7	6	5	23	21	
Violations	7	2	3	9	2	5	4	2	16	18	
OOB	6	2	3	6	0	4	4	1	13	13	
Fake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
DOG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

	REFEREEING STAFF	
GARCIA NIEVES, Daniel Alberto (VEN)	GEDVILAS, Gvidas (LTU)	YU, Jung (TPE)

Referee Instructor

UEDA, Atsuhiro (JPN)



Stand-by Referee Instructor

N/A


Video Operator


KAUPPINEN, Hannu (FIN)




Calls Summary vs Referee

Types/Referees	CC	U1	U2	TOTAL	CHAMPIONSHIP	FIBA
CALLS	15 (71%) 6 (29%				0	0
	21 (27%) 10 (67%) 5 (33%	30 (38%) 7 (44%) 9 (56%)	27 (35%) 6 (46%) 7 (54%)	78 23 (52%) 21 (48%)		
FOULS	15 (34%)	16 (36%)	13 (30%)	23 (52%) 21 (46%) 44	0	0
	9 (64%) 5 (36%	· · ·	6 (55%) 5 (45%)	21 (53%) 19 (48%)		
DEFENSIVE	14 (35%)	15 (38%)	11 (28%)	40	0	0
	1 (100%) 0	1 (100%) 0	0 2 (100%)	2 (50%) 2 (50%)		
OFFENSIVE	1 (25%)	1 (25%)	2 (50%)	4	0	0
	1 (100%) 0	0 0	0 0	1 (100%) 0		
DOUBLE FOUL	1 (100%)	0	0	1	0	0
UNSPORTSMANLIKE	1 (100%) 0	0 0	0 0	1 (100%) 0	0	0
UNSFORTSMANLIKE	1 (100%)	0	0	1	U	U
TECHNICAL	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0
TEORITIONE	0	0	0	0	, v	
DISQUALIFYING	9 (64%) 5 (36%		6 (46%) 7 (54%)	21 (50%) 21 (50%)	0	0
	14 (33%)	15 (36%)	13 (31%)	42		
OOB	1 (100%) 0	1 (100%) 0	0 0	2 (100%) 0	0	0
	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 6 (43%) 8 (57%)	2		
STEP ON SIDE LINE	5 (83%) 1 (17% 6 (18%)) <u>5 (36%)</u> 9 (64%) 14 (41%)	6 (43%) 8 (57%) 14 (41%)	16 (47%) 18 (53%) 34	0	0
		0 1 (100%)	0 0	0 1 (100%)		
OTHER		1 (100%)			0	0
	5 (83%) 1 (17%		6 (43%) 8 (57%)	16 (48%) 17 (52%)		
VIOLATIONS	6 (18%)	13 (39%)	14 (42%)	33	0	0
	3 (75%) 1 (25%	· · ·	6 (50%) 6 (50%)	13 (50%) 13 (50%)		
TRAVELING	4 (15%)	10 (38%)	12 (46%)	26	0	0
OTHER	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	•	•
UTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fake	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0
Fake	0	0	0	0	U	U
DOG	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0
	0	0	0	0		
IRS	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0
	0	0	0	0		
HCC	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0
	U	U	U	U		











O Total Calls




Calls vs Team

Ref	Teams			BRA	· 72					SRB	• 74					тот	ALS				
S	Assessment	Correct	Incorre	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorre	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorre	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?		
сс	GARCIA NIEVES, Daniel Alberto (VEN)	15	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	21	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	champ.	FIBA
U1	GEDVILAS, Gvidas (LTU)	12	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	18	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	30	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	AVG	AVG
U2	YU, Jung (TPE)	12	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	15	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	27	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A		
	TOTAL			39 (8	50%)			39 (50%)					78 (100%)						0.0	0.0	
	CORRECT			N	/A			N/A					N/A						0.0	0.0	
	INCORRECT			N	/A			N/A					N/A						0.0	0.0	
	INCONCLUSIVE			N.	/A			N/A					N/A						0.0	0.0	
	L2M C	N/A								N	/A			N/A						0.0	0.0
	L2M I	N/A						N/A				N/A						0.0	0.0		
	L2M ?	N/A								N	/A			N/A						0.0	0.0











Calls vs Referee


Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Quar	ter 3				Qua	rter 4			701	
3 Referees	5'		1	D'	5	5'	1	0"	5	5	10'		5'		10'		Last 2'		TO	AL
GARCIA NIEVES Daniel Alberto	1 100%	0	4 67%	2 33%	5 83%	1 17%	3 75%	1 25%	0	0	2 50%	2 50%	2 50%	2 50%	0	0	0	0	17 68%	8 32%
Daniel Alberto (VEN)				5 %	6 24%		4 16%		0		4 16%		4 16%		0		0		2 29	5 %
GEDVILAS	1 50%	1 50%	0	2 100%	3 30%	7 70%	3 50%	3 50%	2 67%	1 33%	3 43%	4 57%	1 25%	3 75%	0	0	0	0	13 38%	21 62%
Gvidas (LTU)	2 2 6% 6%			10 29%		6 18%		3 9%		7 21%		4 12%		0		0		3 39	-	
YU Jung (TPE)	3 75%	1 25%	3 75%	1 25%	1 17%	5 83%	2 20%	8 80%	2 100%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	0	0	0	0	13 46%	15 54%
(TPE) ~	4 149	%		1 %	21	5 %	-	0 %	-	2 %	- 4'	l %	- 4'	1 %		0		0	2 32	
TOTAL	5 71%	2 29%	7 58%	5 42%	9 41%	13 59%	8 40%	12 60%	4 80%	1 20%	6 50%	6 50%	4 44%	5 56%	0	0	0	0	43 49%	44 51%
TOTAL	7 8%	7 8%		2 %		2 5%		0 8%		5 %	12 9 14% 10%		·		(0	8	7		







Play Situations Break-down



Play Situations Table												
Concepts	GARCIA NIEVES, Da	aniel Alberto (VEN)	GEDVILAS, (Gvidas (LTU)	YU, Jur	ng (TPE)	To	tals				
	BRA	SRB	BRA	SRB	BRA	SRB	BRA	SRB				
Continuous Movement/Drive to basket	2	2	5	5	2	1	9	8				
Continuous Movement/Moving Shot	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1				
Shot	0	1	1	4	2	2	3	7				
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Penetration	2	0	3	3	3	1	8	4				
Perimeter play	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	4				
Fast break	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1				
Transition	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	2				
Rebound	2	1	1	3	4	4	7	8				
Post Play	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0				
Key area action	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1				
Screen Action	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1				
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Stopping the Clock	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1				
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Free Throws	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0				
Throw-In	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	1				
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Totals	15	6	12	18	12	15	39	39				




Call Average vs Game



Calls vs Zone and Position

Pos/Zone	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL	CHAMP.	FIBA
C1	1 (6%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12 (75%)	2 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	16	0.0	0.0
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8	0.0	0.0
TI	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (60%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5	0.0	0.0
T3	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4	0.0	0.0
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	11 (79%)	2 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14	0.0	0.0
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	20 (87%)	2 (9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	23	0.0	0.0
Ct	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1	0.0	0.0
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5	0.0	0.0
Lt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	1	4	3	4	53	9	0	1	2	1	0	0	78	0.0	0.0
CHAMP.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
FIBA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		





IRS vs Game

IRS	TODICC	IR	S	INI	IAL	FOOTAGE	FIN	IAL	DURATION	DURATION PROTOCO	
SITUATIONS	TOPICS	REF	HCC	ССМ	ICCM	INCO	ССМ	ICCM	AVG	ССМ	ICCM
	IRS vs COMPETITION										
	8" Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
500	Basket or not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
EOQ (5)	Foul or Not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	OOB Shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Shot Clock Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Goaltending / Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
L2M	OOB	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
(4)	Shot Clock Violation &	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Foul away from the shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	2/3 Points Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Act of Violence / Fight	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Free throw shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Game Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Shot Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
ANY TIME (11)	PF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
(,	UF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	PF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	UF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	DQF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	DQF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	IRS vs GAME										



Regular Call vs L2M & OT Accuracy

