

FIBA POST GAME REPORT

FRA vs MAD FP427 2023 FIBA U19 WC HUNGARY



Contents

Note about the data	3
Global Evaluation	
Quality and Consistency	4
Game Information	5
Calls Summary vs Referee	6
Calls vs Teams	7
Calls vs Referee	
Play Situations Break-down	9
Call Average vs Game	10
Calls vs Zone and Position	11
IRS vs Game	12
Regular Call vs L2M & OT Accuracy	13



Note about the data

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicized. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams; Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other estates

Global Evaluation

To obtain a final global assessment of referee performance during a game or competition, some non- tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the context of an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can be used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality & consistency

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:





Game Information

		2023 FIE	BA U19 WC HU	JNGARY							
		Round of 16 · FP427									
	14:30 28/06	5/2023			DEBRECEN						
	%	Total	Calls	Total	%						
France	N/A	25	Fouls	19	N/A	Madagascar					
	N/A	16	Violations	19	N/A						
FRA	N/A	15	OOB	14	N/A	MAD					
119	N/A	0	Fake	0	N/A	56					
	N/A	0	DOG	0	N/A						
	N/A	41	Total	38	N/A						

Quarters	Quar	ter 1	Qua	rter 2	Qua	ter 3	Qua	ter 4	TOT	FAL
Score	31	10	24	13	36	5	28	28	119	56
Duration	00:2	4:17	00:2	2:26	00:1	8:40	00:1	6:25	01:2	1:48
Fouls	7	8	8	5	4	3	6	3	25	19
Violations	3	9	7	4	2	3	4	3	16	19
OOB	3	8	6	2	2	2	4	2	15	14
Fake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DOG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

REFEREEING STAFF

BURNS, Blanca Cecilia (USA)

GEDVILAS, Gvidas (LTU)



Referee Instructor

UEDA,Atsuhiro (JPN)



Stand-by Referee Instructor

N/A

TAHA, Mohammad Fawzi (JOR)


Video Operator

DE LUCAS, Angel (ESP)





Calls Summary vs Referee

Types/Referees	C	:C	U	11	L	J2	то	TAL	CHAMPIONSHIP	FIBA
CALLS		16 (59%)		13 (50%)				38 (48%)	0	0
		34%)	26 (:			33%)		9		
FOULS	4 (33%)		10 (59%)		11 (73%)		• •	19 (43%)	0	0
	· · · · ·	27%)		39%)		34%)		4		
DEFENSIVE	3 (30%)	7 (70%)	10 (59%)		10 (71%)		• •	18 (44%)	0	0
		24%)	17 (4		· ·	34%)		1		
OFFENSIVE	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0	0
		57%)		2		3%)		3		
DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
UNSPORTSMANLIKE	-	0	-	0			-	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TECHNICAL		0					-	0	0	0
	3 (30%)	7 (70%)	10 (59%)	7 (41%)	11 (73%)	-		18 (43%)		
DISQUALIFYING		24%)		40%)		36%)		10 (4370)	0	0
	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0 /0)	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)		
OOB		00%)	-)	-	0		2	0	0
	7 (47%)	8 (53%)	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)		19 (54%)		
STEP ON SIDE LINE		43%)		6%)		31%)		35	0	0
	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)		
OTHER	1(1	00%)	-)	-	0	-	1	0	0
	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	16 (47%)	18 (53%)		
VIOLATIONS		41%)	9 (2			32%)	• •	34	0	0
TRAVELING	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	15 (52%)	14 (48%)		•
TRAVELING	11 (38%)	8 (2	8%)	10 (;	34%)		9	0	0
OTUER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	•
OTHER		0	(5		0		0	0	0
Fake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fake		0	(Ó		0	U	U
DOG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DOG		Ó	()		0		0	U	0
IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0)		0		0	U	0
HCC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0)		0		0		



Total Violations vs Ref









O Total Calls



Calls vs Team

Re	Teams			FRA	· 119					MAD	• 56			TOTALS							
fs	Assessment	Corre	Incorr	Incon	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Corre	Incorr	Incon	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Corre	Incorr	Incon	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?		
сс	GEDVILAS, Gvidas (LTU)	11	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	16	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	27	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	CHA MP.	FIBA
U1	BURNS, Blanca Cecilia (USA)	13	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	13	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	26	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	AVG	AVG
U2	TAHA, Mohammad Fawzi (JOR)	17	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	9	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	26	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A		
	TOTAL			41 (5	1.9%)				38 (48.1							79 (1	00%)			0.0	0.0
	CORRECT	N/A					N	/A					N	/A			0.0	0.0			
	INCORRECT			N	/A					N	/A					N	/A			0.0	0.0
	INCONCLUSIVE			N	/A					N	/A			N/A						0.0	0.0
	L2M C			N	/A					N	/A			N/A						0.0	0.0
	L2M I		N/A					N/A				N/A						0.0	0.0		
	L2M ?			N.	/A				N/A					N/A						0.0	0.0









Calls vs Referee


Quarters		Qua	rter 1			Qua	rter 2		Qu	arter 3			C	Quarter 4			TOTAL
3 Referees	Ę	5"	1	0'	5	5	1	0'	5'	10'		5'		10'		st 2'	TOTAL
GEDVILAS Gvidas	3 23%	10 77%	0	2 100%	4 67%	2 33%	0	2 100%	2 100% 0	1 100%	C	1 100%) (0	0	0	11 16 41% 59%
Gvidas (LTU)	1 48	-		2 %	22 22	5 !%	-	2 %	2 7%	1 4%		1 4%		0		0	27 32%
BURNS Blanca Cecilia (USA)	3 43%	4 57%	2 67%	1 33%	3 60%	2 40%	1 33%	2 67%	0 0		2)%	1 2 33% 67		l 2 67%	0	0	14 15 48% 52%
Cecilia (USA)	24	7 ·%		3)%	۶ 17	5 '%		3)%	0	5 17%		3 10%		3 10%		0	29 35%
TAHA Mohammad Fawzi (JOR)	4 57%	3 43%	0	1 100%	6 86%	1 14%	2 50%	2 50%	1 100% 0	1 2 33% 67	2 7%	3 100%		2 0% 0	0	0	19 9 68% 32%
Fawzi (JOR)	25	7 5%	4	1 %	- 25	7 5%	14	4 %	1 4%	3 11%		3 11%		2 7%		0	28 33%
TOTAL	10 37%	17 63%	2 33%	4 67%	13 72%	5 28%	3 33%	6 67%	3 100% 0	56% 44	4 1%	5 2 71% 29			0	0	44 40 52% 48%
TOTAL	27 6 18 32% 7% 21%			9 3 11% 4%		9 11%		7 8%		5 6%		0	84				







Play Situations Break-down



Play Situations Table												
Concepts	GEDVILAS,	Gvidas (LTU)	BURNS, Blanc	a Cecilla (USA)	TAHA, Mohamn	nad Fawzl (JOR)	То	tals				
	FRA	MAD	FRA	MAD	FRA	MAD	FRA	MAD				
Continuous Movement/Drive to basket	1	1	2	0	1	2	4	3				
Continuous Movement/Moving Shot	0	3	2	1	1	1	3	5				
Shot	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	4				
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1				
Landing	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2				
Penetration	2	0	1	1	3	1	6	2				
Perimeter play	1	1	2	5	7	2	10	8				
Fast break	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0				
Transition	1	2	2	1	1	1	4	4				
Rebound	3	0	1	1	0	0	4	1				
Post Play	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	1				
Key area action	0	1	0	0	3	0	3	1				
Screen Action	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1				
Double Team	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2				
Help Defense	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0				
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Free Throws	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1				
Throw-In	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	2				
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Totals	11	16	13	13	17	9	41	38				




Call Average vs Game



Calls vs Zone and Position

Pos/Zon	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL	CHAMP.	FIBA
C1	1 (7%)	3 (21%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (43%)	4 (29%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14	0.0	0.0
C3	0 (0%)	2 (18%)	2 (18%)	4 (36%)	3 (27%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11	0.0	0.0
T1	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6	0.0	0.0
ТЗ	0 (0%)	3 (30%)	4 (40%)	1 (10%)	2 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10	0.0	0.0
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (21%)	10 (71%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14	0.0	0.0
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
L6	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (12%)	13 (76%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	17	0.0	0.0
Ct	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5	0.0	0.0
Tt	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2	0.0	0.0
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	5	10	6	10	34	9	2	1	1	1	0	0	79	0.0	0.0
CHAMP.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
FIBA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		





IRS vs Game

IRS	TOPICS	IF	s	INIT	TIAL	FOOTA	FIN	IAL	DURATI	PROT	PROTOCOL	
SITUATIO	TUPICS	REF	HCC	ССМ	ICCM	INCO	ССМ	ICCM	AVG	ССМ	ICCM	
	IRS vs COMPETITION											
	8" Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
500	Basket or not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
(5)	Foul or Not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	OOB Shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	Shot Clock Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	Goaltending / Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
L2M	OOB	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
(4)	Shot Clock Violation &	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	Foul away from the shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	2/3 Points Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	Act of Violence / Fight	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	Free throw shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	Game Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	Shot Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	PF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
(,	UF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	PF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	UF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	DQF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	DQF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	IRS vs GAME											



Regular Call vs L2M & OT Accuracy

