

# **FIBA POST GAME REPORT**

IRI vs LBN GP867 2023 FIBA WC PHI-JPN-INA



### Contents

Note about the data	3
Global Evaluation	
Quality and Consistency	4
Game Information	5
Calls Summary vs Referee	6
Calls vs Teams	7
Calls vs Referee	
Play Situations Break-down	9
Call Average vs Game	10
Calls vs Zone and Position	11
IRS vs Game	12
Regular Call vs L2M & OT Accuracy	13



### Note about the data

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicized. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams; Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other estates

## **Global Evaluation**

To obtain a final global assessment of referee performance during a game or competition, some non- tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the context of an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can be used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



# Quality & consistency

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:





#### **Game Information**

		202	3 FIBA WC PHI-JPN	I-INA		
			GP · GP867			
Φ	20:30 02/09/202	3			INDONESIA	
	%	Total	Calls	Total	%	
Iran	N/A	23	Fouls	24	N/A	Lebanon
	N/A	0	Violations	3	N/A	
IRI	N/A	6	00B	4	N/A	LBN
77	N/A	0	Fake	0	N/A	87
	N/A	1	DOG	0	N/A	
	N/A	30	Total	31	N/A	


Quarters	Quar	<b>ter 1</b>	Quar	<b>ter 2</b>	Quar	<b>ter 3</b>	Quar	ter 4	TOTAL		
Score	21	25	20	15	20	22	16	25	77	87	
Duration	00:1	8:05	00:2	2:24	00:2	4:20	00:2	9:26	01:3	4:15	
Fouls	5	4	4	7	5	5	9	8	23	24	
Violations	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	3	
00B	3	2	0	0	1	0	2	2	6	4	
Fake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
DOG	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	

	<b>REFEREEING STAFF</b>	
VAZQUEZ OCASIO, Luis (PUR)	BATISTA PALERMO, Johnny (PUR)	MIKHEYEV, Yevgeniy (KAZ)
Referee Instructor	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video Operator
LICINA, Miodrag (SRB)	N/A	BOELAERT, Federico (ARG)





#### **Calls Summary vs Referee**

Types/Referees		<b>:</b> C	l	И	U	2	TO	TAL	CHAMPIONSHIP	FIBA
CALLS	9 (43%)	12 (57%)	12 (55%)	10 (45%)	9 (50%)	9 (50%)	30 (49%)	31 (51%)	0	0
CALLS		34%)		36%)		30%)		61	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
FOULS	9 (50%)	9 (50%)	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	7 (47%)	8 (53%)	23 (49%)	24 (51%)	0	0
10020		38%)		30%)		32%)		7		U
DEFENSIVE	6 (43%)	8 (57%)	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	20 (48%)	22 (52%)	0	0
DEI EROITE		33%)		33%)		33%)		2		
OFFENSIVE	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	0	0
		0%)		0		0%)		5		
DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0		0		0		0		
UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0		0		0		0		
TECHNICAL	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0	0	0	0	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0	0
		00%)		0		0		3		
DISQUALIFYING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0		4 (80%)		2 (67%)					
00B -		2 (100%) 20%)		1 (20%) 0%)		<u>1 (33%)</u> 0%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%) 0	0	0
	0 2 (2	0 %)	0 (S	0 76)	0	0 76)	0	0		
STEP ON SIDE LINE	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	0	0
	0	2 (100%)	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)		
OTHER	-	2(100/0)		0%)		0%)		0	0	0
	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	0 /0	0	3 (100%)		
VIOLATIONS		13%)	-	(7%)	-	0	-	3	0	0
	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)		
TRAVELING		00%)	-	0	-	)		1	0	0
	0	0	0	2 (100%)	0	0	0	2 (100%)		
OTHER		0	2 (1	00%)		0		2	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Fake		0		0		0		0	0	0
DOO	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0		
DOG	0		1 (100%)			0		1	0	0
IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
INS		0		0		Ó		0	0	0
HCC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
псс		Ó		Ó		Ď		Ó	U	U



Total Violations vs Ref





Total IRS vs Ref


**O** Total Calls



#### Calls vs Team

Defe	Teams			iRi	· 77			LBN • 87							
Kers	Assessment	CCM	ICCM	INCO	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	CCM	ICCM	INCO	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?		
CC	VAZQUEZ OCASIO, Luis (PUR)	9	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A		
U1	BATISTA PALERMO, Johnny (PUR)	12	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A		
U2	MIKHEYEV, Yevgeniy (KAZ)	9	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	9	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A		
	TOTAL			30 (4	9.2%)		31 (50.8%)								
	ССМ			30 (4	9.2%)			31 (50.8%)							
	ICCM			N	/A			N/A							
	INCO			N	/A					N	/A				
	L2M C			4 (6	.6%)					4 (6	.6%)				
	L2M I	N/A								N	/A				
	L2M ?	N/A								N	/A				


Ref	Recomment		TOTALS																		
S	Assessment	CCM	ICCM	INCO	L2M C	L2M	L2M ?	CCM	ICCM	INCO	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	CCM	ICCM	INCO	L2M C	<b>L2M I</b>	L2M ?		
cc	VAZQUEZ OCASIO, Luis (PUR)	9	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	21	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	Champ.	FIBA
U1	BATISTA PALERMO, Johnny (PUR)	12	N/A N/A 1 N/A 10 N/A N/A 1 N/A N/A N/A N/A 22 N/A N/A 2 N/A N/A N/A R										avg	AVG							
U2	MIKHEYEV, Yevgeniy (KAZ)	9	9 N/A N/A 1 N/A N/A 9 N/A N/A 2 N/A 18 N/A N/A 3 N/A N/A N/A																		
	TOTAL	61 (100%)																			
	CCM									61 (1	00%)										
	ICCM									N,	/A										
	INCO									N,	/A										
	L2M C		8 (13.1%)																		
	L2M I		N/A																		
	L2M ?		N/A																		






#### **Calls vs Referee**

Quarters		Qua	rtør 1			Quar	ter 2			Quar	ter 3				Qua	ter 4				TOI
3 Referees		5	1	0"		5	1	D'		<del>,</del>	1	ľ	***	5	1	0"	Las	<b>t 2'</b>	10	TAL.
VAZQUEZ OCASIO, Luis	1 50%	1 50%	2 40%	3 60%	1 50%	1 50%	1 33%	2 67%	0	1 100%	2 50%	2 50%	0	1 100%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	9 43%	12 57%
(PUR)	2 10	2 1%		5  %		2 )%		3  %	5	l %	4 19	4 1%	5	%		3  %		3  %	2 34	:1  %
BATISTA PALERMO, Johnny (PUR)	1 33%	2 67%	0	0	0	1 100%	1 33%	2 67%	2 67%	1 33%	2 100%	0	3 60%	2 40%	3 60%	2 40%	1 50%	1 50%	12 55%	10 45%
Johnny (PUR)		3  %		D	5	1 %		3  %	; 14	3 .%		2 %	! 23	5 8%		5 8%	9	2 %		2 6%
<b>MIKHEYEV, Yevgeniy (KRZ)</b>	1 50%	1 50%	3 100%	0	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	2 40%	3 60%	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	9 50%	9 50%
(KAZ)	11	2 %		3 '%		0	11	2 %	11	<u>2</u> %	6	1 %		5 1%		3 '%		3 '%	-	8 )%
TOTOL	3 43%	4 57%	5 63%	3 38%	1 33%	2 67%	3 38%	5 63%	3 50%	3 50%	4 57%	3 43%	5 45%	6 55%	6 55%	5 45%	4 50%	4 50%	30 49%	31 51%
TOTAL	11	7 %		B 1%		3 %		B 1%		} %	11	7 %	1 18	1 %	1 18	1 8%		B 1%	6	i <b>1</b>







### **Play Situations Break-down**

		Play Situa	tions Table					
Concepts		)CASIO, Luis UR)		PALERMO, y (PUR)		, Yevgeniy RZ)	To	tals
	IRI	LBN	IRI	LBN	íRi	LBN	IRI	LBN
Continuous Movement/Drive to basket	2	0	2	1	3	1	7	2
Continuous Movement/Moving Shot	1	2	2	2	0	3	3	7
Shot	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	2
Perimeter play	2	0	0	3	1	1	3	4
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	4
Rebound	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
Post Play	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2
Key area action	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
Screen Action	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
Dead Ball	2	2	1	0	0	0	3	2
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	9	10	8	9	7	8	24	27




### Call Average vs Game



#### **Calls vs Zone and Position**

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL	CHAMP.	FIBA
C1	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (63%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8	0.0	0.0
<b>C</b> 3	0 (0%)	3 (30%)	1 (10%)	2 (20%)	4 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10	0.0	0.0
Π	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2	0.0	0.0
тз	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6	0.0	0.0
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (27%)	11 (73%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	15	0.0	0.0
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11	0.0	0.0
Ct	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4	0.0	0.0
R	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4	0.0	0.0
u	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	2	9	2	8	29	5	1	4	0	1	0	0	61	0.0	0.0
CHAMP.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
FIBA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		





#### IRS vs Game

IRS	TOPICS	ii ii	S	INIT	<b>TIAL</b>	FOOTAGE	FIN	ial	DURATION	PROT	PROTOCOL	
SITUATIONS	IUPIGS	REF	HCC	GCM	ICCM	INCO	CCM	ICCM	AVG	CCM	ICCM	
	<b>IRS vs COMPETITION</b>											
	8" Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
500	Basket or not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
EOQ (5)	Foul or Not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
(0)	00B Shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	Shot Clock Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	Goaltending / Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
L2M	00B	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
(4)	Shot Clock Violation & Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	Foul away from the shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	2/3 Points Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	Act of Violence / Fight	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	Free throw shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	Game Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	Shot Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
ANY TIME (11)	PF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
(,	UF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	PF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	UF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	DQF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	DQF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0	
	IRS vs GAME											



#### **Regular Call vs L2M & OT Accuracy**

