

# FIBA POST GAME REPORT

SSD vs SRB GP641 2023 FIBA WC PHI-JPN-INA



# **Contents**

Note about the data	3
Global Evaluation	
Quality and Consistency	4
Game Information	5
Calls Summary vs Referee	6
Calls vs Teams	
Calls vs Referee	8
Play Situations Break-down	9
Call Average vs Game	
Calls vs Zone and Position	11
IRS vs Game	12
Regular Call vs L2M & OT Accuracy	13



## Note about the data

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicized. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams; Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other estates

## **Global Evaluation**

To obtain a final global assessment of referee performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the context of an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can be used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



# **Quality & consistency**

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:





#### **Game Information**

		202	3 FIBA WC PHI-JPN	I-INA		
			GP - GP641			<u>e00</u>
*	16.00 30/08/2	.023			ARANETA	<b>*</b>
	%	Total	Calls	Total	%	439
South Sudan	N/A	22	Fouls	16	N/A	Serbia
	N/A	3	<b>Violations</b>	2	N/A	
SSD	N/A	7	00B	5	N/A	SRB
83	N/A	1	Fake	1	N/A	115
	N/A	0	DOG	0	N/A	
	N/A	34	Total	24	N/A	


Quarters	Qua	rter 1	Quarter 2		Quar	ter 3	Quar	ter 4	TOTAL		
Score	20	30	19	26	26	27	18	32	83	115	
Duration	00:1	8:32	00:2	7:05	00:2	0:21	00:2	1:28	01:2	7:26	
Fouls	3	3	7	5	5	3	7	5	22	16	
Violations	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	
00B	3	3	1	1	2	0	1	1	7	5	
Fake	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	
DOG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Ţ.		0	_		0		_	0	(	


	REFEREEING STAFF	
ROSSO, Yohan (FRA)	BAKI, Kerem (TUR)	NOUJAIM, Rabah (LBN)
The American State of		Company Compan
Referee Instructor	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video Operator
JOSEPH, Albert John (AUS)	N/A	ARTES, Didac (ESP)





#### Calls Summary vs Referee

Types/Referees	C	C		Л	U	12	TO	TAL	CHAMPIONSHIP	FIBA
24112	12 (63%)	7 (37%)	13 (68%)	6 (32%)	9 (45%)	11 (55%)	34 (59%)	24 (41%)	_	
CALLS	19 (3	3%)	19 (	33%)	20 (	34%)		8	0	0
50111.0	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	11 (73%)	4 (27%)	4 (33%)	8 (67%)	22 (58%)	16 (42%)	_	
FOULS	11 (2	9%)	15 (	39%)	12 (	32%)	3	8	0	0
DEFENOIVE	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	10 (77%)	3 (23%)	4 (33%)	8 (67%)	18 (55%)	15 (45%)	_	
DEFENSIVE	8 (2	4%)	13 (	39%)	12 (	36%)	3	3	0	0
OFFENOINE	3 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	_	_
OFFENSIVE	3 (6	0%)	2 (4	0%)		0		5	0	0
DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
DOUBLE FOUL		)		Ö		Ó		)	0	0
UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)		0
UNSTUNISMANLIKE	(	)	1 (1	00%)		Ö		1	0	<u> </u>
TECHNICAL	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)		0
TECHNICAL	1 (5	0%)		0	1 (5	0%)		2	0	· ·
DISQUALIFYING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DISQUALIFIING	0	)		0		0		0	U	U
00В	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	0	0
008	4 (3:	3%)	2 (1	7%)	6 (5	0%)	1	2	· ·	
STEP ON SIDE LINE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STEI ON SIDE EINE	(			0		0		)	•	<u> </u>
OTHER	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	0	0
OTHER	4 (3			7%)		0%)		2		
VIOLATIONS	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	0	0
VIOLATIONS	3 (6			0%)		0%)		5		
TRAVELING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TID (VEE ITO	(			0		0		)		
OTHER	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	0	0
OTHER	3 (6			0%)		0%)		5		
Fake	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0
Paro	(			0%)		0%)		2		
DOG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	(			0		0		)		
IRS	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0
	1 (10			0		0		1		
HCC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	(	)		0		0		0		














#### Calls vs Team

Dofo	Teams			SSD	- 83					SRB	· 115				
Refs	Assessment	CCM	ICCM	INCO	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	CCM	ICCM	INCO	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?		
CC	ROSSO, Yohan (FRA)	12	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
U1	BAKI, Kerem (TUR)	13	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
U2	NOUJAIM, Rabah (LBN)	9	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	11	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
	TOTAL	34 (58,6%)							24 (41,4%)						
	ССМ			34 (5	8,6%)			24 (41,4%)							
	ICCM			N	/A			N/A							
	INCO			N	/A					N	/A				
	L2M C			3 (5	,2%)					(	0				
	L2M I	N/A								N	/A				
	L2M ?	N/A							N/A						

Ref	0									TOT	ALS										
S	Assessment	CCM	ICCM	INCO	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	CCM	ICCM	INCO	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	CCM	ICCM	INCO	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?		
CC	ROSSO, Yohan (FRA)	12	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	19	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	CHAMP.	FIBA
U1	BAKI, Kerem (TUR)	13	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	19	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	AVG	AVG
U2	NOUJAIM, Rabah (LBN)	9	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	11	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	20	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A		
	TOTAL									58 (1	00%)										
	CCM									58 (1	00%)										
	ICCM									N,	/A										
	INCO									N,	/A										
	L2M C		3 (5,2%)																		
	L2M I		N/A																		
	L2M ?		N/A																		











#### Calls vs Referee

Quarters		Quarter 1				Quar	ter 2			Quar	ter 3				Quar	ter 4			TO	roi
3 Referees	Į.	5'	1	0'		5'	1	0'		y.	1	D'	ŧ	5 <sup>4</sup>	1	D'	Las	t 2'	10	HL.
ROSSO, Yohan (FRA)	0	2 100%	2 100%	0	0	1 100%	4 100%	0	3 75%	1 25%	1 100%	0	0	2 100%	2 67%	1 33%	1 100%	0	12 63%	7 37%
(FRH)		2  %		2  %	5	1 %	21	•	21	<b>1</b> %	5	1 %	11	2  %		3 6%	5°	<b>%</b>		9 %
BAKI, Kerem	2 100%	0	0	1 100%	1 25%	3 75%	2 100%	0	1 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	5 83%	1 17%	1 100%	0	13 68%	6 32%
(TUR)		2  %	5	1 %		<b>4</b> 1%	: 11	2 %	5	I %		2 %	5	1 %		6 !%	1 5°	<b>%</b>	-	9 8%
NOUJAIM, Rabah (LBN)	2 67%	1 33%	0	3 100%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	1 100%	0	0	2 100%	0	2 100%	2 67%	1 33%	1 100%	0	9 45%	11 55%
(LBN)		3 5%		3 5%		3 5%	15	3 i%	5	I %		2 )%		2 )%		3 i%	5°	%		0 !%
TOTAL	4 57%	3 43%	2 33%	4 67%	3 38%	5 63%	8 89%	1 11%	5 83%	1 17%	2 40%	3 60%	1 20%	4 80%	9 75%	3 25%	3 100%	0	34 59%	24 41%
IOIAL	12	7 2%		6 )%		8 !%	16	9 6%		6 1%		5 %	9	5 %		2 %	5°	·	5	8







# Play Situations Break-down

		Play Situat	tions Table					
Concepts	ROSSO, Yo	ohan (FRA)	BAKI, Kei	rem (TUR)	NOUJAIM, F	tabah (LBN)	Tol	tals
	SSD	SRB	SSD	SRB	SSD	SRB	SSD	SRB
Continuous Movement/Drive to basket	1	1	0	0	2	0	3	1
Continuous Movement/Moving Shot	1	0	2	0	1	3	4	3
Shot	2	1	2	0	0	0	4	1
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	1	2	0	1	0	3	1
Perimeter play	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	4
Rebound	0	0	2	0	1	1	3	1
Post Play	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	0
Key area action	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Screen Action	3	1	0	0	0	0	3	1
Double Team	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Free Throws	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	10	5	12	5	5	8	27	18





# Call Average vs Game



#### **Calls vs Zone and Position**

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL	CHAMP.	FIBA
<b>C1</b>	1 (13%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (50%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8	0.0	0.0
C3	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	1 (14%)	1 (14%)	4 (57%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7	0.0	0.0
11	5 (56%)	3 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9	0.0	0.0
тз	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4	0.0	0.0
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (93%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14	0.0	0.0
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
L6	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9 (69%)	3 (23%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13	0.0	0.0
Ct	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1	0.0	0.0
Τt	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2	0.0	0.0
Lt.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	6	9	1	2	33	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	58	0.0	0.0
CHAMP.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
FIBA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		







#### IRS vs Game

IRS TOPICS		(F	is .	INI	rial .	FOOTAGE			DURATION	PROTOCOL	
SITUATIONS	TUPIGS	REF	HCC	CCM	ICCM	INCO	CCM	ICCM	AVG	CCM	ICCM
	IRS vs COMPETITION										
	8" Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
F00	Basket or not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
EOQ (5)	Foul or Not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
(0)	00B Shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Shot Clock Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Goaltending / Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
L2M	00B	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
(4)	Shot Clock Violation & Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Foul away from the shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
(4)	2/3 Points Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Act of Violence / Fight	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Free throw shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Game Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
A NIV TIME	Shot Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
ANY TIME (11)	PF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
,,	UF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	PF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	UF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	DQF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	DQF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	IRS vs GAME										



#### Regular Call vs L2M & OT Accuracy

