

FIBA Game Stats

CIV vs UGA GP203 2023 FIBA WCQ W6 AFRICA



Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023 FIBA WCQ W6	AFRICA	
Game	CIV			UGA
Score	89	31-10 / 19-11 / 17-13	3 / 22-10	44
Duration	00:15:50	00:19:07 00:15:47	00:20:47	01:11:31
Fouls (IRS)	18 (1)			9 (1)
	(GAME INFORMATION		
Stage/Round		GP WINDOW 6		GP203
Date		16:30 25/02/20)23	
Place		ARENA		
		REFEREEING STAFF		
KOM NJILO, (CMR)		MOSEYA, Arnold (RSA)	GUE	/E, Babacar (SEN)
Referee Inst	tructor S	tand-by Referee Instructor	Vide	o Operator
JULIEN, Ch (FRA)	antal	N/A		TXUS




General Calls Evaluation


Defe	Teams			Cote d'Iv	olre 89					Ugan	da 44					TOT	ALS				
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconci.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconci.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconci.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?		
сс	KOM NJILO, Arnaud (CMR)	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0		
U1	U1 MOSEYA, Arnold (RSA)		0	9	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0		
U2	GUEYE, Babacar (SEN)	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0		
	TOTAL		23 (62,16%)							14 (37	,84%)					37 (10	00%)				
	CORRECT		0						C)					0						
	INCORRECT			0						C)					0					
	INCONCLUSIVE			23 (62	,16%)					14 (37	,84%)					37 (10)0%)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			
	L2M C			0						C)					0					
	L2M I			0						C)					0					
	L2M?			0						C)					0					








Plays Situation Breakdown




Play Situations Table																
		To	tals		KO	M NJIL (Ch	o, Arti /IR)	aud	MOS	EYA, A	mold	(RSA)	GUE	YE, Bal	bacar	(SEN)
Concepts	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect	
	CIV	UGA	CIV	UGA	CIV	UGA	CIV	UGA	CIV	UGA	CIV	UGA	CIV	UGA	CIV	UGA
Continuous Movement/Drive	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Continuous	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Shot	0	0	4	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0
Perimeter play	0	0	6	5	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	2	0	0	2	1
Fast break	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Post Play	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Key area action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	23	13	0	0	8	7	0	0	9	5	0	0	6	1






Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Quarter		2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:1	5:50	00:1	9:07	00:1	5:47	00:2	0:47	01:11:31		
Calls	8 (67%)	4 (33%)	4 (44%) 5 (56%)		5 (56%) 4 (44%)		6 (86%)	1 (14%)	23 (62%)	14 (38%)	
Galls	12 (32%)	9 (2	4%)	9 (2	4%)	7 (1	9%)	37 (1	00%)	
Fouls	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	18 (67%)	9 (33%)	
rouis	7 (26%)		8 (3	0%)	6 (2	2%)	6 (2	2%)	27 (7	/3%)	
Travelling	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	
Travelling	5 (83%)		()	()	1 (1	7%)	6 (1	6%)	
Other Welstiers	0 0		0 1 (100%)		1 (33%) 2 (67%)		0 0		1 (25%)	3 (75%)	
Other Violations	()	1 (2	5%)	3 (75%)		0		4 (1	1%)	
IDC	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
IRS	()	1 (5	0%)	()	1 (5	0%)	2 (5	5%)	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	())	()	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Qua	rter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO	TAI
3 Referees	Ę	5'	1	0'	5	5	1	0'	5		1	0"	5	5°	10'		Las	st 2'		I AL
KOM NJILO	1 100%	0	0	2 100%	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	1 100%	0	2 50%	2 50%	2 67%	1 33%	1 100%	0	0	0	8 53%	7 47%
Arnaud (CMR)	1 7%		1 2 7% 13%		2 1 13% 7%		1 4 7% 27%		3 20%		7	1 %	0		15 41%					
MOSEYA	0	1 100%	4 80%	1 20%	1 33%	2 67%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	2 100%	0	0	0	0	0	9 60%	6 40%
Arnold (RSA)	1 5 7% 33%		-	20	-		2 3%	2 13	<u>2</u> %	()	13	2 3%	()	(0		5 %	
GUEYE Babacar	2 100%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	0	0	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	0	0	0	6 86%	1 14%
Babacar (SEN)		2)%	14	1 14% 1		1 %	0		14	 ¦%	14	1 %	0)	14	1 1%	(0	19	7 9%
TOTAL	3 75%	1 25%	5 63%	3 38%	3 50%	3 50%	1 33%	2 67%	3 75%	1 25%	2 40%	3 60%	4 80%	1 20%	2 100%	0	0	0	23 62%	14 38%
TOTAL	11	1 %		3 2%	6 16	5 5%		3 %	11	-		5 %	۶ 14	5 %	-	2 %	(0	3	7









Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	2 (25%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (25%)	3 (38%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
т1	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	5 (83%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (57%)	2 (29%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	7
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	4	1	4	5	16	5	0	0	1	0	1	0	37

Calls by Zone and Position







Page 10 of 11





Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	KOM NJILO A	rmaud (CMR)	MOSEYA A	rnold (RSA)	GUEYE Bal	bacar (SEN)	TOTAL		
	CALLS	8 (53%)	7 (47%)	9 (60%)	6 (40%)	6 (86%)	1 (14%)	23 (62%)	14 (38%)	
	UNLLS	15 (4	41%)	15 (41%)	7 (1	9%)	3	7	
	FOULS	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	5 (100%)	0	18 (67%)	9 (33%)	
	10013	11 (4			41%)		9%)	-	27	
	DEFENSIVE	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	5 (100%)	0	16 (67%)	8 (33%)	
		10 (4	42%)	9 (3	38%)	5 (2	1%)	2	4	
	OFFENSIVE	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	
	OFFENSIVE	1 (3	3%)	2 (6	57%)		0		3	
	DOUBLE FOUL	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
	DOUBLETOUL	1 (10)0%)		0		0		1	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
FOI	UNISFORTSIVIAINLIKL	1 (50%)		1 (5	50%)		0		2	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	TECHNICAE	()		0		0		0	
	DISQUALIFYING	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	5 (100%)	0	16 (67%)	8 (33%)	
	DISQUALITING	10 (4	42%)	9 (3	38%)	5 (2	21%)	2	24	
	OTHER	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	
	OTTIER	•	3%)	2 (6	57%)		0		3	
	VIOLATIONS	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	
	VIOLATIONS	4 (4			10%)		20%)	-	0	
NS	TRAVELING	0	2 (100%)	3 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	
TIO	INVITEINO	2 (3		3 (5	50%)	1 (1	7%)		6	
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	
N	OTTIER	2 (5		1 (2	25%)	1 (2	25%)		4	
	IRS	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
		1 (5	0%)	1 (5	50%)		0	:	2	









Page 11 of 11