

FIBA Game Stats

MEX vs PUR GP404 2023 FIBA WOMENS AMERICUP



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023 FIBA WOMENS AM	MERICUP	
Game	MEX			PUR
Score	64	15-17 / 17-17 / 23-17	/ 9-19	70
Duration	00:13:07	00:20:26 00:26:28	00:24:18	01:24:19
Fouls (IRS)	21 (0)			13 (0)
		SAME INFORMATION		
Stage/Round		GROUP		GP404
Date		20:10 04/07/202	3	
Place		LEON		
		REFEREEING STAFF		
VARELA DIAZ, C (HON	Orlando Jose IBA)	RRA BURGOS, Felipe Andre (CHI)	PRADC (A), Micaela RG)
	<u></u>			
Referee Ins	tructor S	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video (Operator
MOORE, (USA	Terry)	N/A	BOELAER (A	RT, Federico RG)




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams			Mexic	o 64					Puerto I	Rico 70					TOT	ALS		
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconci	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconci	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?
СС	VARELA DIAZ, Orlando Jose (HON)		1	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	19	1	0	0	0	0
U1	U1 IBARRA BURGOS, Felipe Andr		3	0	0	0	0	9	2	0	1	0	0	18	5	0	1	0	0
U2	PRADO, Micaela (ARG)	10	2	0	1	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	17	2	0	1	1	0
	TOTAL		35 (56.5%)							27 (43	3.5%)					62 (10	00%)		
	CORRECT		29 (46.8%)					25 (40.3%)						54 (87.1%)					
	INCORRECT			6 (9.	7%)			2 (3.2%)						8 (12.9%)					
	INCONCLUSIVE			0						O)					0			
	L2M C			1 (1.0	6%)					1 (1.	6%)					2 (3.	2%)		
	L2M I			1 (1.0	6%)			0 1 (1.6%)											
	L2M ?			0						C)					0			








Plays Situation Breakdown




			Ρ	lay	Situ	atic	ns '	Tab	le								
Consents		То	tals		V. Orla	VARELA DIAZ, Orlando Jose (HON)				IBARRA BURGOS, Felipe Andre (CHI)				PRADO, Micaela (ARG)			
Concepts	Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect MEX PUR		
Continuous Movement/Drive		3	0	0	1	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Continuous	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	
Shot	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Penetration	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	
Perimeter play	4	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transition	4	1	1	0	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Rebound	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Post Play	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Key area action	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Screen Action	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stopping the Clock	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	22	14	6	2	8	4	1_	0	7	4	3	2	7	6	2	0	




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:13:07		00:2	0:26	00:2	6:28	00:2	4:18	01:24:19		
Calls	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	10 (59%)	7 (41%)	10 (48%)	11 (52%)	10 (63%)	6 (38%)	35 (56%)	27 (44%)	
Calls	8 (1	13%)	17 (27%)		21 (34%)	16 (2	26%)	62 (100%)		
Fouls	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	8 (67%)	4 (33%)	21 (62%)	13 (38%)	
rouis	3 (9%)	7 (2	1%)	12 (35%)	12 (3	35%)	34 (55%)		
Travelling	0	0	0	0	2 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	3 (100%)	0	
Travelling	(0		0	2 (6	7%)	1 (3	3%)	3 (5%)		
Other Violations	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	11 (44%)	14 (56%)	
Other violations	5 (2	20%)	10 (4	40%)	7 (2	8%)	3 (1	2%)	25 (40%)		
IDE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	(0	(0	()	0		()	
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		0	0		1)	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quai	ter 1			Quai	rter 2			Quai	ter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO	TAI
3 Referees	5) "	1	0'	5	5"	1	0'	Į	5"	1	0'	5		1	0'	Las	st 2'	2	IAL
VARELA DIAZ Orlando	0	0	2 50%	2 50%	1 50%	1 50%	0	3 100%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	3 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	11 55%	9 45%
Jose (HON)	0		4 20%		2 10%		3 15%		3 15%		3 15%		3 15%			2)%	•	ס	20 32%	
IBARRA BURGOS Felipe Andre (CHI)	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%	0	0	3 100%	4 57%	3 43%	3 60%	2 40%	0	2 100%	0	1 100%	12 52%	11 48%
Felipe Andre (CHI)	1 1 4% 4%		1 %	2 9% 2 9%			3 13%		30	7)%	22		2 9%		1 4%		23 37%			
PRADO Micaela (ARG)	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	4 80%	1 20%	2 67%	1 33%	1 50%	1 50%	1 33%	2 67%	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%	0	2 100%	0	12 63%	7 37%
(ARG)	_	<u>2</u> %	()		5 6%		3 6%	_	2 %		3 6%	11			2 %		2 %		9 %
TOTAL	2 67%	1 33%	3 60%	2 40%	6 67%	3 33%	4 50%	4 50%	3 38%	5 63%	7 54%	6 46%	7 70%	3 30%	3 50%	3 50%	2 67%	1 33%	35 56%	27 44%
TOTAL	5'			5 %	15	9 5%		3 3%		3 3%		3 %	1 16	-		6)%		3 %	6	2









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zone	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	1 (9%)	3 (27%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (45%)	2 (18%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11
СЗ	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	3 (43%)	3 (43%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
T1	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Т3	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	2 (33%)	1 (17%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	11 (92%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	17 (85%)	3 (15%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	20
Ct	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
Tt	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
Lt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1
TOTAL	2	6	2	5	38	5	0	1	2	0	0	1	62







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees		: Orlando Jose DN)	IBARRA BUI Andre		PRADO Mic	aela (ARG)	то	ΓAL	
	CALLS	11 (55%)	9 (45%)	12 (52%)	11 (48%)	12 (63%)	7 (37%)	35 (56%)	27 (44%)	
	OALLO	20 (32%)		23 (3	37%)	19 (3	1%)	62		
	FOULS	9 (75%)	3 (25%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	21 (62%)	13 (38%)	
	1 0000	12 (3	35%)	11 (3	32%)	11 (3	2%)	3	4	
	DEFENSIVE	7 (70%)	3 (30%)	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	18 (64%)	10 (36%)	
	DLI LIVOIVL	10 (3	36%)	8 (2	9%)	10 (3	6%)	2	8	
	OFFENSIVE	2 (100%)	0	0	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	
	OFFERONE	2 (3	3%)	3 (5	0%)	1 (1)	7%)	(3	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DOOBLETOOL	0		()	0	1	()	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u>G</u>	UNOFUNTOWANLINE	()	()	0		()	
	TECHNICAL	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
	TEOTINICAL	1 (10	00%)	()	0	1	•	1	
	DISQUALIFYING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DIOQUALIFIING	()	()	0		()	
	OTHER	8 (73%)	3 (27%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	20 (61%)	13 (39%)	
	OTTLEK	11 (3	33%)	11 (3	33%)	11 (3	3%)	3	3	
	VIOLATIONS	2 (25%)	6 (75%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	14 (50%)	14 (50%)	
Ι.	VIOLATIONO	8 (2	9%)	12 (4	13%)	8 (29	9%)	2	8	
S	TRAVELING	0	0	2 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	3 (100%)	0	
Ĕ	TIVAVELING	()	2 (6		1 (3	3%)	3	3	
VIOLATION	OTHER	2 (25%)	6 (75%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	11 (44%) 14 (56%)		
⋝	OTHER	8 (3	2%)	10 (4	10%)	7 (28	3%)	25		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	ino)	()	0	1	()	

