

FIBA Game Stats

CUB vs USA GP501 2023 FIBA WOMENS AMERICUP



Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023 FIBA W	OMENS AN	IERICUP	
Game	CUB			_	USA
Score	44	14-27 / 4-3	33 / 10-23 /	16-23	106
Duration	00:18:47	00:19:54 (0:20:16	00:20:58	01:19:55
Fouls (IRS)	24 (0)				17 (0)
	G	AME INFORM	ATION		
Stage/Round		GP501			GP501
Date		12:10	05/07/202	3	
Place			Leon		
	R	REFEREEING S	STAFF		
VARELA DIAZ, (HON		RRA BURGOS, Fe (CHI)	elipe Andre		Z DIAZ, Roberto José CRC)
Referee Ins	tructor St	and-by Referee I	nstructor	Video	Operator
MARANHO,Cris (BRA)	tiano Jesus)	N/A		COI (F	_L, Axel PUR)




General Calls Evaluation


Defe	Teams			Cuba	a 44					USA	106					TOT	ALS		
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
сс	VARELA DIAZ, ORLANDO (HON)	11	0	0	2	0	0	13	2	0	0	0	0	24	2	0	2	0	0
U1	U1 IBARRA BURGOS, Felipe Andr (CHI)		1	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	22	1	0	0	0	0
U2	FERNANDEZ DIAZ, Roberto José (CRC)	16	0	0	2	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	21	1	0	2	0	0
	TOTAL			41 (57	'.7%)					30 (42	2.3%)					71 (10	00%)		
	CORRECT			40 (56	.3%)		27 (38%) 67 (9					67 (94	4.4%)						
	INCORRECT			1 (1.	4%)				3 (4.2%)						4 (5.6%)				
	INCONCLUSIVE			0						C)			0					
	L2M C			4 (5.	6%)					C)			4 (5.6%)					
	L2M I			0						C)					0			
	L2M?			0						C)					0			








Plays Situation Breakdown




Play Situations Table																
0 t-		To	tals		V. OR		a dia Do (h(Z, DN)	IBA Fell	RRA I pe Ar	BURG ndre ((ios, Chi)	FER Rob	NANI erto J	DEZ D osé (C	DIAZ CRC
Concepts	Cor	rect	Inco	Incorrect		Correct		rrect	Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect	
	CUB	USA	CUB	USA	CUB	USA	CUB	USA	CUB	USA	CUB	USA	CUB	USA	CUB	US.
ontinuous Movement/Drive	3	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0
Continuous	11	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	6	0	0	0
Shot	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perimeter play	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Post Play	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Key area action	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	18	13	0	1	3	6	0	1	6	6	0	0	9	1	0	0






Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd C	Quarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	Тс	otal	
Duration	00:1	8:47	00:1	9:54	00:2	0:16	00:2	0:58	01:1	9:55	
Calls	8 (53%)	7 (47%)	10 (59%)	7 (41%)	14 (70%)	6 (30%)	9 (47%)	10 (53%)	41 (58%)	30 (42%)	
Calls	15 (2	21%)	17 (24%)		20 (2	28%)	19 (2	27%)	71 (100%)		
Fouls	6 (75%) 2 (25%)		6 (55%) 5 (45%)		7 (70%) 3 (30%)		5 (42%)	7 (58%)	24 (59%)	17 (41%)	
rouis	8 (2	8 (20%)		11 (27%)		10 (24%)		12 (29%)		58%)	
Trovolling	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
Travelling	()	(0	1 (10)0%)	(0	1 (*	1%)	
Other Violations	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	6 (67%)	3 (33%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	16 (55%)	13 (45%)	
Other violations	7 (2	4%)	6 (2	.1%)	9 (3	1%)	7 (24%)		29 (41%)		
IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IKƏ	()	(0	()	(0	0		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	()	0		()	(ò	0		











Page 8 of 11



Calls by Referee

Quarters	C	Quar	ter 1			Qua	rter 2			Qua	ter 3				Qua	rter 4			то	
3 Referees	5'		10	D'	5	5"	1	0'	Ę	5	10	0'	5	5	1	0'	Las	st 2'		IAL
VARELA DIAZ, ORLANDO		4 0%	1 50%	1 50%	1 25%	3 75%	3 75%	1 25%	0	1 100%	2 100%	0	1 25%	3 75%	2 50%	2 50%	2 100%	0	11 42%	15 58%
ORLANDO (HON)	5 19%		2 8%		4 15%		4 15%		1 4%		2 8%		4 15%		4 15%		-	2 %	26 37%	
IBARRA BURGOS Felipe Andre (CHI)	2 67% <mark>3</mark> 3	1 3% ⁻	1 100%	0	2 67%	1 33%	0	2 100%	5 83%	1 17%	1 50%	1 50%	2 67%	1 33%	1 33%	2 67%	0	0	14 61%	9 39%
Felipe Andre (CHI)	3 13%		1 4%		3 13%		2 9%		6 26%		2 9%		3 13%		3 13%		0		23 32%	
FERNANDEZ DIAZ Roberto José (Costa Rica)	2 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%	0	2 100%	0	3 75%	1 25%	3 60%	2 40%	1 50%	1 50%	2 67%	1 33%	2 100%	0	16 73%	6 27%
José (Costa Rica)	2 9%		2 99	-	-	2 %		2 %	18 18	1 1%	5 23		29	2 %		3 1%		2 %		2 %
TOTAL	-	5)%	3 60%	2 40%	5 56%	4 44%	5 63%	3 38%	8 73%	3 27%	6 67%	3 33%	4 44%	5 56%	5 50%	5 50%	4 100%	0	41 58%	30 42%
TOTAL	10 14%		5 79		9 13			3 %	1 15	1 i%	9 13		9 13		-	0 %		1 %	7	1









Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	2 (18%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)	5 (45%)	3 (27%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11
C3	0 (0%)	2 (22%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	6 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
T1	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
Т3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	19 (90%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	21
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	17 (71%)	4 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (8%)	0 (0%)	24
Ct	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
Tt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
TOTAL	4	3	1	4	48	7	1	0	0	0	3	0	71

Calls by Zone and Position





Page 10 of 11



Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees		Z, ORLANDO DN)	IBARRA BUI Andre	RGOS Felipe (CHI)	FERNANDEZ José (Co		то	ΓAL	
	CALLS	11 (42%)	15 (58%)	14 (61%)	9 (39%)	16 (73%)	6 (27%)	41 (58%)	30 (42%)	
	CALLS	26 (37%)	23 (3	32%)	22 (3	31%)	7	1	
	FOULS	4 (33%)	8 (67%)	9 (56%)	7 (44%)	11 (85%)	2 (15%)	24 (59%)	17 (41%)	
	10020	•	29%)	16 (3		13 (3		4		
	DEFENSIVE	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	9 (56%)	7 (44%)	11 (85%)	2 (15%)	24 (60%)	16 (40%)	
	DEI ENGIVE	•	28%)	16 (4		13 (3		4	_	
	OFFENSIVE	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
		•	00%)	()	1		
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	
(0	DOODLETOOL)	(1 (10		1		
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	
FO		0)	1 (10)0%)	1		
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	TEORITORIE)	()	()	()	
	DISQUALIFYING	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	9 (56%)	7 (44%)	11 (85%)	2 (15%)	24 (60%)	16 (40%)	
	DISCOALII TIIVO	11 (28%)	16 (4	10%)	13 (3	33%)	4	0	
	OTHER	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
	OTTER	1 (1	00%)	()	()	1		
	VIOLATIONS	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	17 (57%)	13 (43%)	
	VIOLATIONS		47%)	7 (2	3%)	9 (3	0%)	3	0	
NO	TRAVELING	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
IL o	HOWEEING		00%)	()	1		
VIOLATION	OTHER	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	16 (55%) 13 (45%)		
\geq	OTTER	13 (45%)	7 (2	4%)	9 (3	1%)	29		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
)	()	()	0		



