

FIBA Game Stats

CAN vs ARG FP103 2023 FIBA WOMENS AMERICUP



Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023 FIBA WOMEN	S AMERICUP	
Game	CAN			ARG
Score	68	18-20 / 20-10 / 16	5-21 / 14-9	60
Duration	00:21:00	00:25:39 00:19:1	6 00:23:18	01:29:13
Fouls (IRS)	23 (0)			20 (0)
		GAME INFORMATION	l	
Stage/Round		FP103		FP103
Date		17:40 06/07/	/2023	
Place		Leon		
		REFEREEING STAFF		
GARCIA NIEVES, (VEN)	Daniel Alberto)	DOMINGUEZ VIVEROS, Krishna (MEX)	VARELA DI (ł	AZ, ORLANDO ION)
Referee Ins	tructor	Stand-by Referee Instructor	r Video	Operator
MARANHO,Cris (BRA	tiano Jesus)	N/A	COI (I	_L, Axel PUR)




General Calls Evaluation


Defe	Teams			Canad	la 68					Argent	ina 60					TOT	ALS		
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
сс	GARCIA NIEVES, Daniel Alberto (VEN)	8	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0
U1	U1 DOMINGUEZ VIVEROS, Krishna (MEX)		0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0
U2	VARELA DIAZ, ORLANDO (HON)	15	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL		32 (50%)							32 (5	0%)					64 (10	00%)		
	CORRECT		32 (50%)							32 (5	0%)				64 (100%)				
	INCORRECT			0						C)					0)		
	INCONCLUSIVE			0						C)					0	1		
	L2M C	0							C)					0	(100%) (100%) 0 0 0			
	L2M I			0						C)					0	1		
	L2M?			0						C)					0	1		








Plays Situation Breakdown




Oracusta	Totals				GA Dani	RCIA	NIEV erto (ES, VEN)	VIV	ERO:	NGUE S, Kris EX)	Z hna	V. OR	VARE A DIAZ ORLANDO (HO COUTES Incorr Contest XI ARG CAN 2 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0		,Z, OŃ)
Concepts	Cor	Tect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	Tect	Inco	rrec
	CAN	ARG	CAN	ARG	CAN	ARG	CAN	ARG	CAN	ARG	CAN	ARG	CAN	ARG	CAN	AR
Continuous Movement/Drive	11	8	0	0	4	1	0	0	4	3	0	0	3	4	0	0
Continuous	3	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0
Shot	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Perimeter play	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Post Play	3	4	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Key area action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-In	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	C
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	22	19	0	0	7	8	0	0	6	5	0	0	9	6	0	C






Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Quarter		2nd C	arter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	Тс	otal	
Duration	00:21:00		00:2	25:39	00:1	9:16	00:2	3:18	01:2	9:13	
Calls	9 (50%)	9 (50%)	11 (58%)	8 (42%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	6 (40%)	9 (60%)	32 (50%)	32 (50%)	
Calls	18 (2	28%)	19 (:	30%)	12 (*	19%)	15 (2	23%)	64 (100%)		
Fouls	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	8 (53%)	7 (47%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	23 (53%)	20 (47%)	
rouis	13 (3	30%)	15 (;	35%)	7 (1	6%)	8 (1	9%)	43 (57%)	
Travalling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	
Travelling	0		(0	()	1 (10	00%)	1 (;	2%)	
Other Violations	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	9 (45%)	11 (55%)	
	5 (2	5%)	4 (2	.0%)	5 (2	5%)	6 (30%)		20 (31%)	
IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IKƏ	()	(0	()	()	0		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	()		0)	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters	Quarter 1					Quar	rter 2			Qua	rter 3				Quar	rter 4			то	CAL
3 Referees		5'	1	0'	5	5'	1	0'		5'	10) "		5	1	0'	La	Last 2'		AL
GARCIA NIEVES Daniel Alberto (Venezuela)	1 25%	3 75%	1 50%	1 50%	0	2 100%	1 50%	1 50%	1 33%	2 67%	1 100%	0	1 25%	3 75%	2 100%	0	0	0	8 40%	12 60%
Alberto (Venezuela)	20	4)%		2)%	-	2)%		2)%		3 i%	1 59	6	20	1 1%	2 10	2)%	(0	2 31	
DOMINGUEZ VIVEROS, Krishna (MEX)	5 71%	2 29%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	1 33%	2 67%	0	1 100%	1 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	0	0	9 53%	8 47%
Krishna (MEX)	7 41%		7 1 1% 6%		1 6%		3 18%		۔ 6'	l %	1 6%			2 !%	1 6%		0		1 27	-
VARELA DIAZ, ORLANDO (HON)	0	2 100%	2 100%	0	4 80%	1 20%	5 83%	1 17%	3 60%	2 40%	0.	1 100%	0	3 100%	1 33%	2 67%	0	0	15 56%	12 44%
ORLANDO (HON)	2 7	2 %	-	2 %	۶ 19	5 %	22	5 2%		5 9%	1 49	6		} %	-	3 %	(0	2 42	
TOTAL	6 46%	7 54%	3 60%	2 40%	4 50%	4 50%	7 64%	4 36%	4 44%	5 56%	2 67%	1 33%	2 22%	7 78%	4 67%	2 33%	0	0	32 50%	32 50%
TOTAL	-	3)%		5 %	8 13	3 1%	1 17	1 '%		₽ ¦%	3 59	6	9 14) %	6 9'	-		0	6	4









Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11
T1	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	5
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (63%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	8
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (87%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	15
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	14 (88%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	16
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	2	1	0	10	40	6	0	1	0	1	1	2	64

Calls by Zone and Position





Page 10 of 11



Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees		EVES Daniel /enezuela)	DOMINGUE Krishna			Z, ORLANDO DN)	то	TAL	
	CALLS	8 (40%)	12 (60%)	9 (53%)	8 (47%)	15 (56%)	12 (44%)	32 (50%)	32 (50%)	
	CALLO		31%)	17 (2	27%)	27 (4	42%)	É	4	
	FOULS	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	11 (61%)	7 (39%)	23 (53%)	20 (47%)	
	10020		33%)	11 (2		18 (42%)			3	
	DEFENSIVE	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	10 (63%)	6 (38%)	19 (50%)	19 (50%)	
	DEFENSIVE	12 (32%)	10 (2	26%)	16 (4	42%)	3	8	
	OFFENSIVE	2 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	
	OTTENSIVE	2 (4	0%)	1 (2	0%)	2 (4	0%)		5	
	DOUBLE FOUL	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	
<i>(</i> 0	DOODEETOOE	1 (3	3%)	()	2 (6	7%)		3	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
FO		1 (1	00%)	()		<u>)</u>		1	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
	TEORITORIE		0	(2 (10			2	
	DISQUALIFYING	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	11 (61%)	7 (39%)	20 (50%)	20 (50%)	
			30%)	10 (2			45%)		0	
	OTHER	2 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	3 (100%)	0	
	OTTIER		7%)	1 (3		,	<u>)</u>		3	
	VIOLATIONS	1 (17%)	5 (83%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	9 (43%)	12 (57%)	
		•	9%)	6 (2		9 (4			21	
NO	TRAVELING	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	
ATI S	HUULELING		0	(0%)		1	
VIOLATION S	OTHER	1 (17%)	5 (83%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	9 (45%) 11 (55%)		
N	omen		0%)	6 (3		8 (4		20		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			0))	0		

