

FIBA Game Stats

CAN vs ARG FP103 2023 FIBA WOMENS AMERICUP



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


*			
CAN			ARG
68	18-20 / 20-10 / 16-2	1 / 14-9	60
00:21:00	00:25:39 00:19:16	00:23:18	01:29:13
23 (0)			20 (0)
(GAME INFORMATION		
	FP103		FP103
	17:40 06/07/20	23	
	Leon		
	REFEREEING STAFF		
Daniel Alberto	DOMINGUEZ VIVEROS, Krishna (MEX)	VARELA DI. (H	AZ, ORLANDO ION)
FERR			
ructor S	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video	Operator
iano Jesus	N/A	COL (F	.L, Axel PUR)
	68 00:21:00 23 (0) Daniel Alberto ructor	18-20 / 20-10 / 16-2 00:21:00	18-20 / 20-10 / 16-21 / 14-9 00:21:00




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams			Canad	la 68				Argentina 60						TOTALS					
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	
СС	GARCIA NIEVES, Daniel Alberto (VEN)	8	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	
U1	DOMINGUEZ VIVEROS, Krishna (MEX)		0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	
U2	VARELA DIAZ, ORLANDO (HON)	15	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	
	TOTAL		32 (50%)							32 (5	0%)					64 (10	00%)			
	CORRECT	32 (50%)					32 (50%)						64 (100%)							
	INCORRECT			0				0						0						
	INCONCLUSIVE			0				0						0						
	L2M C			0						C)			0						
	L2M I			0						C)			0						
	L2M?			0						C)			0						








Plays Situation Breakdown




	Play Situations Table															
Concepts		To	tals		GA Dani	RCIA lel Alb	NIEV erto (ES, VEN)	VIV	EROS	IGUE 5, Krls EX)	Z hna	VARELA DIAZ, ORLANDO (HON)			
Concepts	Co	Tect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	Tect	Inco	rrect
	CAN	ARG	CAN	ARG	CAN	ARG	CAN	ARG	CAN	ARG	CAN	ARG	CAN	ARG	CAN	ARC
Continuous Movement/Drive	11	8	0	0	4	1	0	0	4	3	0	0	3	4	0	0
Continuous	3	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0
Shot	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perimeter play	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Post Play	3	4	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Key area action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-In	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	22	19	0	0	7	8	0	0	6	5	0	0	9	6	0	0




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd C	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	To	tal	
Duration	00:21:00		00:2	5:39	00:1	9:16	00:2	3:18	01:29:13		
Calls	9 (50%)	9 (50%)	11 (58%)	8 (42%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	6 (40%)	9 (60%)	32 (50%)	32 (50%)	
Calls	18 (28%)	19 (30%)		12 (*	19%)	15 (2	23%)	64 (100%)		
Fouls	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	8 (53%)	7 (47%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	23 (53%)	20 (47%)	
rouis	13 (30%)	15 (3	35%)	7 (16%)		8 (19%)		43 (67%)	
Travelling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	
Travelling		0	(0)	1 (10	00%)	1 (2	2%)	
Other Violations	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	9 (45%)	11 (55%)	
Other violations	5 (2	25%)	4 (2	0%)	5 (2	5%)	6 (30%)		20 (31%)	
IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IKS	(0	(0	()	()	()	
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NO CAIL CNC/ICNC	(0	0		()	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quarter 1					ter 2			Quai	rter 3				Quar	rter 4			TO	CAL
3 Referees	Į	5'	1	0'	Ę	5'	1	0'	5	,	10)'	Ę	3"	10	0'	Las	st 2'	10	AL
GARCIA NIEVES Daniel Alberto (Venezuela)	1 25%	3 75%	1 50%	1 50%	0	2 100%	1 50%	1 50%	1 33%	2 67%	1 100%	0	1 25%	3 75%	2 100%	0	0	0	8 40%	12 60%
Alberto (Venezuela)	4 20%		2 10%		_	2)%	2 10%		3 15%		1 5%		4 20%		10	2)%		0	20 31%	
DOMINGUEZ VIVEROS, Krishna (MEX)	5 71%	2 29%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	1 33%	2 67%	0	1 100%	1 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	0	0	9 53%	8 47%
(MEX)	7 41%		1 6%		1 6%		3 18%		1 69	%	69 69	%	12	<u>2</u> !%	1 6%		0		1 27	-
VARELA DIAZ ORLANDO (HON)	0	2 100%	2 100%	0	4 80%	1 20%	5 83%	1 17%	3 60%	2 40%	0	1 100%	0	3 100%	1 33%	2 67%	0	0	15 56%	12 44%
ORLANDO (HON)	_	2 %	_	2 %		5 9%		5 2%	5 19		1 49	%		3 %	_	3 %		0	2 42	
TOTAL	6 46%	7 54%	3 60%	2 40%	4 50%	4 50%	7 64%	4 36%	4 44%	5 56%	2 67%	1 33%	2 22%	7 78%	4 67%	2 33%	0	0	32 50%	32 50%
TOTAL		3)%		%	13	3 3 3%	1 17	1′%	9 14		59 59		14) %	99	%		0	6	4









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11
T1	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	5
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (63%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	8
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (87%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	15
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	14 (88%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	16
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	2	1	0	10	40	6	0	1	0	1	1	2	64







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees		EVES Daniel /enezuela)		Z VIVEROS, a (MEX)	VARELA DIA (HC		TO [*]	ΓAL		
	CALLS	8 (40%)	12 (60%)	9 (53%)	8 (47%)	15 (56%)	12 (44%)	32 (50%)	32 (50%)		
	CALLS	20 (31%)	17 (2	27%)	27 (4	12%)	64			
	FOULS	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	11 (61%)	7 (39%)	23 (53%)	20 (47%)		
	FOULS	14 (33%)	11 (2	26%)	18 (4	12%)	4	3		
	DEFENSIVE	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	10 (63%)	6 (38%)	19 (50%)	19 (50%)		
	DEFENSIVE	12 (32%)	10 (2	26%)	16 (4	12%)	3	8		
	OFFENSIVE	2 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	4 (80%)	1 (20%)		
	OFFENSIVE	2 (4	0%)	1 (2	0%)	2 (4	0%)	Ę	5		
	DOUBLE FOUL	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)		
	DOUBLE FOUL	1 (3	3%)	()	2 (6	7%)	3	3		
FOULS	LINCDODTCMANILIKE	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0		
-01	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	1 (10	00%)	())	1			
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)		
	TECHNICAL		0	()	2 (10	00%)	2	2		
	DISQUALIFYING	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	11 (61%)	7 (39%)	20 (50%)	20 (50%)		
	DISQUALIFTING	12 (30%)	10 (25%)		18 (45%)		4	0		
	OTHER	2 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	3 (100%)	0		
	OTHER	2 (6	7%)	1 (3	3%)	()	3	3		
	VIOLATIONS	1 (17%)	5 (83%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	9 (43%)	12 (57%)		
	VIOLATIONS	6 (2	9%)	6 (2	9%)	9 (4	3%)	2	1		
NO	TRAVELING	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)		
Ĕ,	IKAVELING	(0	()	1 (10	00%)	1			
VIOLATION S	OTHER	1 (17%)	5 (83%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	9 (45%)	11 (55%)		
5	OTHER	6 (3	0%)	6 (3	0%)	8 (4	0%)	20			
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	IK3		0	()	()	0			

