

FIBA Game Stats

BRA vs MEX FP104 2023 FIBA WOMENS AMERICUP



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023 FIBA WO	MENS AM	IERICUP	
Game	BRA				MEX
Score	83	19-18 / 19-1	15 / 23-8 / 2	22-20	61
Duration	00:19:03	00:16:32 00):20:25	00:20:39	01:16:39
Fouls (IRS)	13 (1)				13 (0)
	G	SAME INFORMA	TION		
Stage/Round		QF			FP104
Date		20:10	07/07/2023	3	
Place		L	EON		
	F	REFEREEING ST	TAFF		
FERNANDE (ARG	Z, Juan)	PRADO, Micael (ARG)	a	CASTELLON (C	I BARO, Leydys CUB)
Referee Ins	structor S	tand-by Referee Ins	structor	Video	Operator
MARANHO,Cris (BRA	tiano Jesus)	N/A		BOELAEI (A	RT, Federico ARG)




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams			Brazi	I 83					Mexic	:0 61			TOTALS					
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
СС	FERNANDEZ, Juan (ARG)	12	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	16	1	0	1	0	0
U1	PRADO, Micaela (ARG)	8	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0
U2	CASTELLON BARO, Leydys (CUB)	7	0	0	1	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	16	0	0	2	0	0
	TOTAL			28 (54.9%)				23 (45.1%)								51 (10	00%)		
	CORRECT		27 (52.9%)				23 (45.1%)						50 (98%)						
	INCORRECT			1 (2	%)			0						1 (2%)					
	INCONCLUSIVE			0						C)			0					
	L2M C			1 (2	%)					2 (3.	9%)			3 (5.9%)					
	L2M I			0				0						0					
	L2M?			0						С)			0					








Plays Situation Breakdown




			Ρ	lay	Situ	atic	ns	Tab	le							
Concento	Totals				FER	FERNANDEZ, Juan (ARG)				ADO (Al	Mica	ela	CASTELLON BARO, Leydys (CUB)			
Concepts		Tect		rrect		rect	-	mect MEX	Cor			rrect		rect		rrect
Continuous Movement/Drive	_	MEX 2	BRA 0	MEX 0	BRA 1	MEX 0	BRA 0	ME.X	BRA 1	MEX 2	0 0	MEX 0	BRA 2	0 0	BRA 0	0
Continuous	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
Shot	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	5	2	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Perimeter play	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Key area action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	17	12	0	0	9	3	0	0	3	5	0	0	5	4	0	0




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	To	tal	
Duration	00:1	9:03	00:1	6:32	00:2	0:25	00:2	0:39	01:16:39		
Calls	8 (67%)	4 (33%)	7 (70%)	3 (30%)	6 (38%)	10 (63%)	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	28 (55%)	23 (45%)	
Calls	12 (2	24%)	10 (20%)		16 (31%)	13 (2	25%)	51 (100%)		
Fouls	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	13 (50%)	13 (50%)	
rouis	4 (15%)		5 (1	9%)	7 (2	7%)	10 (3	38%)	26 (51%)		
Travalling	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	2 (100%)	0	
Travelling	1 (50%)		1 (5	0%)		0	0)	2 (4	4%)	
Other Violations	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	4 (100%)	0	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	13 (57%)	10 (43%)	
Other violations	7 (3	0%)	4 (1	7%)	9 (3	9%)	3 (1	3%)	23 (45%)		
Inc	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
IRS	4 /4/	20077	o		0		0		1.0	2%)	
	1 (10	00%)	,	,	· ·	J	,	,	. (-	-,0,	
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quai	ter 1			Quai	rter 2			Qua	rter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO	TAI
3 Referees	Ę	5'	10'		5	5'	10	0'	Ę	5'	1	0'	Ę	3"	10'		Last 2'		2	IAL
FERNANDEZ Juan	0	0	3 100%	0	0	0	2 100%	0	2 100%	0	2 50%	2 50%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	0	1 100%	13 76%	4 24%
Juan (ARG)	0			3 8%	0		2 12%		_	2 12%		4 24%		3 18%		3 3%	6	1 %	17 33%	
PRADO Micaela (ARG)	2 67%	1 33%	1 50%	1 50%	1 33%	2 67%	1 100%	0	1 25%	3 75%	0	1 100%	0	2 100%	2 100%	0	0	0	8 44%	10 56%
(ARG)	3 2 17% 11%			3 17%		1 6%			4 2%	6	1 %	11	<u>2</u> %	2 11%		0		18 35%		
CASTELLON BARO Leydys (CUB)	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	2 67%	1 33%	0	2 100%	1 33%	2 67%	0	1 100%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	7 44%	9 56%
Leydys (CUB)		<u>2</u> 3%		2 3%	6	1 %	19	3	_	2 3%		3 9%	6'	I %	_	2 3%		2 3%		6 %
TOTAL	3 60%	2 40%	5 71%	2 29%	2 50%	2 50%	5 83%	1 17%	3 38%	5 63%	3 38%	5 63%	2 33%	4 67%	5 71%	2 29%	1 33%	2 67%	28 55%	23 45%
TOTAL	10	5)%	14	7 %	89		12	5 !%		3 %		B 5%	12	5 !%		7 %		3 %	5	1









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (60%)	2 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (29%)	3 (43%)	2 (29%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
T1	1 (17%)	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
Т3	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (29%)	9 (64%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
Ct	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
Τt	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	3
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	2	7	4	9	19	6	0	1	1	1	0	1	51







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	FERNANDE	Z Juan (ARG)	PRADO Mio	aela (ARG)	CASTELLON (CI		то	ΓAL	
	CALLS	13 (76%)	4 (24%)	8 (44%)	10 (56%)	7 (44%)	9 (56%)	28 (55%)	23 (45%)	
	CALLS	17 (3	33%)	18 (3	35%)	16 (3	31%)	5	1	
	FOULS	9 (75%)	3 (25%)	1 (17%)	5 (83%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	13 (50%)	13 (50%)	
	10023	12 (4	46%)	6 (2	3%)	8 (3	1%)	2	6	
	DEFENSIVE	8 (73%)	3 (27%)	1 (17%)	5 (83%)	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	11 (48%)	12 (52%)	
	DELENSIVE	11 (4	48%)	6 (2	6%)	6 (2	6%)	2	3	
	OFFENSIVE	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	
	OI I ENSIVE	1 (3	3%)	()	2 (6	7%)	3	3	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DOODLE 1 COL	(<u> </u>	()	()	()	
FOULS	LINSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Б.	UNSPORTSMANLIKE)	(((
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	TEGHNIOAE		0	(((
	DISQUALIFYING	8 (73%)	3 (27%)	1 (17%)	5 (83%)	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	11 (46%)	13 (54%)	
	DIOGONEII TIIVO		46%)	6 (2		7 (2		2		
	OTHER	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	
	OTTER		0%)	(1 (5		2		
	VIOLATIONS	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	15 (60%)	10 (40%)	
	violations		0%)	12 (4		8 (3		2	_	
O	TRAVELING	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	
ATI S	HOWELING		0	1 (5		1 (5		2		
VIOLATION	OTHER	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	13 (57%)	10 (43%)	
>	OTTLER		2%)	11 (4		7 (3		23		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	
		(0	()	1 (10	00%)	•		

Total Calls by Ref

TOTALCALLSBYREF_PIE

