

FIBA Game Stats

DOM vs PUR GP203 2023 FIBA WOMENS AMERICUP



Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023 FIBA WOMENS A	MERICUP	
Game	DOM			PUR
Score	43	11-22 / 13-17 / 15-14	4 / 8-20	73
Duration	00:20:09	00:24:18 00:19:58	00:28:14	01:32:39
Fouls (IRS)	17 (3)			19 (0)
		GAME INFORMATION		
Stage/Round		GP203		GP203
Date		17:40 02/07/20	23	
Place		Leon		
		REFEREEING STAFF		
FERNANDE (ARG	Z, Juan S)	GALES RODRIGUES, Larissa (BRA)	Fra	(RIVOKAPICH, anco .RG)
Referee Ins	tructor	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video	Operator
MOORE, (USA	Terry)	N/A	COL (P	L, Axel PUR)




General Calls Evaluation


Defe	Teams		Dom	ninican F	Republic	43			Puerto Rico 73					TOTALS					
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
сс	FERNANDEZ, Juan (ARG)	13	0	0	1	0	0	15	0	0	0	o	o	28	0	0	1	0	0
U1	J1 SALES RODRIGUES, Larissa (BRA)		0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	0	17	0	0	1	0	0
U2	ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH, Franco (ARG)	19	0	0	4	0	0	18	0	0	3	0	0	37	0	0	7	0	0
	TOTAL		38 (46.3%)					44 (53.7%)						82 (100%)					
	CORRECT		38 (46.3%)				44 (53.7%)					82 (100%)							
	INCORRECT			0				0							0				
	INCONCLUSIVE			0				0						0					
	L2M C		5 (6.1%)						4 (4.9%)					9 (11%)					
	L2M I		0					0					0						
	L2M?			0					0					0					








Plays Situation Breakdown




Play Situations Table																
0		To	tals		FER	RNAN (Al	DEZ, . RG)	Juan	SALE	S RC	DRIG 1 (BR/	iUES, N	ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH, Franco (ARG)			
Concepts	Correct		Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Correct		Incorrect	
	DOM	PUR	DOM	PUR	DOM	PUR	DOM	PUR	DOM	PUR	DOM	PUR	DOM	PUR	DOM	PUF
Continuous Movement/Drive	6	14	0	0	4	4	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	6	0	0
Continuous	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Shot	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perimeter play	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Rebound	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Key area action	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0
Screen Action	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	16	19	0	0	5	6	0	0	2	4	0	0	9	9	0	0






Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Quarter		2nd C	arter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	Тс	tai
Duration	00:2	0:09	00:2	24:18	00:1	9:58	00:2	8:14	01:3	2:39
Calls	11 (50%)	11 (50%)	6 (35%)	11 (65%)	8 (44%)	10 (56%)	13 (52%)	12 (48%)	38 (46%)	44 (54%)
Calls	22 (27%)		17 (21%)		18 (2	22%)	25 (3	30%)	82 (100%)	
Fouls	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	17 (47%)	19 (53%)
rouis	9 (2	5%)	10 (2	28%)	9 (2	5%)	8 (2	2%)	36 (4	14%)
Travalling	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	2 (100%)	0	4 (100%)	0
Travelling	1 (2	5%)	1 (2	5%)	()	2 (5	0%)	82 (100%) 17 (47%) 19 (2 36 (44%) 4 (100%) 4 4 (5%) 16 (39%) 25 (6 41 (50%) 3 (100%) 3 (4%)	5%)
Other Violations	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	1 (17%)	5 (83%)	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	7 (47%)	8 (53%)	16 (39%)	25 (61%)
Other violations	11 (2	27%)	6 (1	5%)	9 (2	2%)	15 (3	37%)	01:32 38 (46%) 82 (10 17 (47%) 36 (4 4 (100%) 4 (5 16 (39%) 41 (5 3 (100%) 3 (100%) 3 (4 0	50%)
IDC	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	3 (100%)	0
IRS	1 (3	3%)	1 (3	3%)	0		1 (33%)		3 (4	1%)
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No Call CNC/ICNC	()		0		с С	())













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Qua	rter 1			Quar	ter 2		Quar	ter 3				Qua	rter 4			TOTAL
3 Referees		5'	1	0'	Ę	5'	1	0'	5'	10	0"		5	1	0'	La	st 2'	TOTAL
	4 57%	3 43%	2 29%	5 71%	3 43%	4 57%	4 57%	3 43%	1 100% 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14 15 48% 52%
Juan (ARG)	24	7 %		7 %	24	7 %	24	7 %	1 3%	C)	()	(0	(D	29 32%
SALES RODRIGUES	1 17%	5 83%	1 50%	1 50%	2 50%	2 50%	2 40%	3 60%	0 <mark>1</mark> 100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6 12 33% 67%
Larissa (BRA)	6 2 33% 11%				4 5 22% 28%		1 6%	C)	0		0		0		18 20%		
ANSELMO KRIVOKAPIC	5 63%	3 38%	3 38%	5 63%	3 43%	4 57%	8 57%	6 43%	4 3 57% 43%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23 21 52% 48%
H Franco (ARG)		3 1%		3 3%	16	7 5%	-	4 ?%	7 16%	C)	()	(0	(0	44 48%
TOTAL	10 48%	11 52%	6 35%	11 65%	8 44%	10 56%	14 54%	12 46%	5 56% 44%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43 48 47% 53%
TOTAL	2 23	1 1%	1 19	7 9%	-	8)%	_	6 9%	9 10%	C)	()		0		5	91









Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	3 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (42%)	4 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12
C3	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	4 (22%)	5 (28%)	8 (44%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	18
T1	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	23 (88%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	26
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (13%)	10 (67%)	2 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	15
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
TOTAL	6	1	4	8	51	8	1	0	1	0	2	0	82

Calls by Zone and Position





Page 10 of 11



Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	FERNANDE	Z Juan (ARG)	SALES RODR (BF		ANSELMO K Franco	RIVOKAPICH (ARG)	TOTAL		
	CALLS	13 (46%)	15 (54%)	6 (35%)	11 (65%)	19 (51%)	18 (49%)	38 (46%)	44 (54%)	
	UALLO	28 (34%)		17 (2	21%)	37 (4	45%)	82		
	FOULS	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	8 (47%)	9 (53%)	17 (47%)	19 (53%)	
		•	33%)	7 (1		17 (4		-	6	
	DEFENSIVE	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	6 (43%)	8 (57%)	15 (45%)	18 (55%)	
	DEI ENSIVE	•	36%)	7 (2		14 (4		-	3	
	OFFENSIVE	0	0	0	0	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	
	OTTENSIVE		0)	3 (10			3	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
(0	DOUBLE FOUL	0		(2 (100%)			2	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FO			0)		<u>)</u>	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	
	TEOR MONTE	0		()	1 (100%)			1	
	DISQUALIFYING	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	8 (47%)	9 (53%)	17 (47%)	19 (53%)	
	Diogonali Ting	12 (3	33%)	7 (1		17 (4		3	6	
	OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		
	omen		0	())	
	VIOLATIONS	6 (40%)	9 (60%)	3 (30%)	7 (70%)	11 (55%)	9 (45%)	20 (44%)	25 (56%)	
		•	33%)	10 (2		20 (4			5	
NO	TRAVELING	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	4 (100%) 0		
ATI			5%)		5%)	2 (5			4	
VIOLATION	OTHER	5 (36%)	9 (64%)	2 (22%)	7 (78%)	9 (50%)	9 (50%)	16 (39%) 25 (61%)		
N	OMER		34%)	9 (2		18 (4		41		
	IRS	1 (100%)	0	0	0	2 (100%)	0	3 (100%) 0		
		1 (3	3%)	()	2 (6	7%)		3	

