

FIBA Game Stats

CUB vs USA GP501 2023 FIBA WOMENS AMERICUP



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023 FIBA WOMENS	AMERICUP	
Game	CUB			USA
Score	44	14-27 / 4-33 / 10-2	3 / 16-23	106
Duration	00:18:47	00:19:54 00:20:16	00:20:58	01:19:55
Fouls (IRS)	24 (0)			17 (0)
	G	SAME INFORMATION		
Stage/Round		GP501		GP501
Date		12:10 05/07/2	023	
Place		Leon		
	F	REFEREEING STAFF		
VARELA DIAZ, (HON	ORLANDO IBAI)	RRA BURGOS, Felipe Andr (CHI)	E	Z DIAZ, Roberto losé CRC)
	FILE.	FRIP INC.		
Referee Ins	structor S	tand-by Referee Instructor	Video	Operator
MARANHO,Cris (BRA	tiano Jesus)	N/A	COL (F	LL, Axel PUR)




General Calls Evaluation


Dofo	Teams			Cuba	a 44					USA	106					TOT	ALS		
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconci	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
СС	VARELA DIAZ, ORLANDO (HON)	11	0	0	2	0	0	13	2	0	0	0	0	24	2	0	2	0	0
U1	U1 IBARRA BURGOS, Felipe Andr		1	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	22	1	0	0	0	0
U2	FERNANDEZ DIAZ, Roberto José (CRC)	16	0	0	2	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	21	1	0	2	0	0
	TOTAL			41 (57.7%)						30 (42	2.3%)					71 (10	00%)		
	CORRECT		40 (56.3%)				27 (38%)						67 (94.4%)						
	INCORRECT			1 (1.4	4%)			3 (4.2%)						4 (5.6%)					
	INCONCLUSIVE			0						C)					0			
	L2M C			4 (5.	6%)					C)					4 (5.	6%)		
	L2M I			0						C)					0)		
	L2M?			0						C)					0			








Plays Situation Breakdown




	Play Situations Table																
Concents	Totals				V. OR	VARELA DIAZ, ORLANDO (HON)				IBARRA BURGOS, Felipe Andre (CHI)				FERNANDEZ DIAZ, Roberto José (CRC)			
Concepts	Correct		Incorrect			Correct		Incorrect		Correct		rrect	CURLUSA		Incorrect CUB USA		
Continuous Movement/Drive		4	0	0 0	1	1 1	0	0	0	2 2	0	0 0	2	1 1	0	0	
Continuous	11	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	
Shot	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Penetration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Perimeter play	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transition	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Rebound	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Post Play	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Key area action	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Screen Action	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	18	13	0	1	3	6	0	1	6		0	0	9	1	0	0	




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd C	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	To	tal	
Duration	00:18:47		00:1	9:54	00:2	0:16	00:2	:0:58	01:19:55		
Calls	8 (53%)	7 (47%)	10 (59%) 7 (41%)		14 (70%)	6 (30%)	9 (47%)	10 (53%)	41 (58%)	30 (42%)	
Calls	15 (2	21%)	17 (24%)		20 (2	28%)	19 (2	27%)	71 (100%)		
Fouls	6 (75%)	2 (25%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	7 (70%)	3 (30%)	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	24 (59%)	17 (41%)	
rouis	8 (20%)		11 (27%)		10 (24%)		12 (29%)		41 (58%)		
Travalling	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
Travelling		0	(0	1 (10	00%)	()	1 (1%)		
Other Violations	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	6 (67%)	3 (33%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	16 (55%)	13 (45%)	
Other violations	7 (24%)		6 (2	1%)	9 (3	1%)	7 (2	4%)	29 (4	41%)	
Inc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	(0	(0	()	()	()	
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	(0	(0	()	(o o	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters	Quarter 1 Quarter 2								Quai	ter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO	FAL	
3 Referees	5	•	1	0'	5	5'		0'	Į	5'	1	0'	5		1	0'	Las	t 2'	10	AL
VARELA DIAZ ORLANDO (HON)	1 20%	4 80%	1 50%	1 50%	1 25%	3 75%	3 75%	1 25%	0	1 100%	2 100%	0	1 25%	3 75%	2 50%	2 50%	2 100%	0	11 42%	15 58%
(HON)	5 19%		2 8%		4 15%		4 15%		1 4%		2 8%		4 15%		4 15%		2 8%		26 37%	
IBARRA BURGOS Felipe Andre (CHI)	2 67%	1 33%	1 100%	0	2 67%	1 33%	0	2 100%	5 83%	1 17%	1 50%	1 50%	2 67%	1 33%	1 33%	2 67%	0	0	14 61%	9 39%
(CHI)	3 13%		1 4%		3 13%		2 9%		6 26%		2 9%		3 13%		3 13%		0		2 32	
FERNANDEZ DIAZ Roberto José (Costa Rica)	2 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%	0	2 100%	0	3 75%	1 25%	3 60%	2 40%	1 50%	1 50%	2 67%	1 33%	2 100%	0	16 73%	6 27%
José (Costa Rica)	2 99	<u>?</u> %		2 %	9	<u>2</u> %		2 %		4 8%	-	5 1%	99	<u>2</u> %		3 I%		<u>2</u> %	2 31	2 %
TOTAL	5 50%	5 50%	3 60%	2 40%	5 56%	4 44%	5 63%	3 38%	8 73%	3 27%	6 67%	3 33%	4 44%	5 56%	5 50%	5 50%	4 100%	0	41 58%	30 42%
TOTAL	10 14	-		5 %		9 1%		3 %	1 15	1 5%		9 1%	13			0 I%		1 %	7	1









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	2 (18%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)	5 (45%)	3 (27%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11
C3	0 (0%)	2 (22%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	6 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
T1	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
Т3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	19 (90%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	21
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	17 (71%)	4 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (8%)	0 (0%)	24
Ct	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
Τt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
TOTAL	4	3	1	4	48	7	1	0	0	0	3	0	71







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees		Z, ORLANDO DN)	IBARRA BUI Andre	RGOS Felipe (CHI)	FERNANDEZ José (Co		тот	ΓAL	
	CALLS	11 (42%)	15 (58%)	14 (61%)	9 (39%)	16 (73%)	6 (27%)	41 (58%)	30 (42%)	
	GALLS	•	37%)	23 (3		22 (3		7	1	
	FOULS	4 (33%)	8 (67%)	9 (56%)	7 (44%)	11 (85%)	2 (15%)	24 (59%)	17 (41%)	
	10023	12 (2	29%)	16 (3	39%)	13 (3	32%)	4	1	
	DEFENSIVE	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	9 (56%)	7 (44%)	11 (85%)	2 (15%)	24 (60%)	16 (40%)	
	DELENSIVE	11 (3	28%)	16 (4	10%)	13 (3	3%)	4	0	
	OFFENSIVE	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
	OFFEINSIVE	1 (10	00%)	())	1		
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	
	DOUBLE FOUL	()	()	1 (10	00%)	1		
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0 0		0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	
10-)	()	1 (10	00%)	1		
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		()	())	C)	
	DISQUALIFYING	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	9 (56%)	7 (44%)	11 (85%)	2 (15%)	24 (60%)	16 (40%)	
	DISCOALIFTING	11 (2	28%)	16 (4	10%)	13 (3	3%)	4	0	
	OTHER	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
	OTHER	1 (10	00%)	·)	()	1		
	VIOLATIONS	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	17 (57%)	13 (43%)	
	VIOLATIONS	14 (4	47%)	7 (2	3%)	9 (3	0%)	3	0	
N	TRAVELING	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
ΔĮ,	TRAVELING	1 (10	00%)	·))	1		
VIOLATION	OTHER	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	16 (55%) 13 (45%)		
Š	OTHER	13 (4	45%)	7 (2	4%)	9 (3	1%)	2	9	
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	IKO)	()	()	0		

