

FIBA Game Stats

DOM vs CAN GP502 2023 FIBA WOMENS AMERICUP



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023 FIBA WOMENS	AMERICUP	
Game	DOM			↓ CAN
Score	48	7-22 / 18-19 / 10-23	3 / 13-24	88
Duration	00:19:13	00:21:13 00:23:16	00:21:10	01:24:52
Fouls (IRS)	22 (0)			16 (0)
	(GAME INFORMATION		
Stage/Round		GROUP		GP502
Date		14:40 05/07/20	023	
Place		LEON		
		REFEREEING STAFF		
GLOSS, Ash (USA	ley Lynn)	PRADO, Micaela (ARG)	SALES RODF (E	RIGUES, Larissa BRA)
		FBFT		
Referee Ins	structor S	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video	Operator
MOORE, (USA	Terry)	N/A	BOELAEF (A	RT, Federico ARG)




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams		Dom	inican F	Republic	: 48		Canada 88						TOTALS					
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
СС	GLOSS, Ashley Lynn (USA)	9	0	0	1	0	0	15	1	0	1	0	0	24	1	0	2	0	0
U1	PRADO, Micaela (ARG)	13	3	0	0	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	0	21	4	0	0	0	0
U2	SALES RODRIGUES, Larissa (BRA)	14	1	0	2	0	0	13	1	0	0	0	0	27	2	0	2	0	0
	TOTAL		40 (50.6%)							39 (49	7.4%)					79 (10	00%)		
	CORRECT		36 (45.6%)						36 (45.6%) 72 (91.1%)										
	INCORRECT			4 (5.	1%)				3 (3.8%)					7 (8.9%)					
	INCONCLUSIVE			0						C)					0	1		
	L2M C			3 (3.	8%)					1 (1.	3%)					4 (5.	1%)		
	L2M I			0						C)					0)		
	L2M?			0						С)					0			








Plays Situation Breakdown




Play Situations Table																
Composite		To	tals		GLO	SS, A (U	shley SA)	Lynn	PR	ADO (Al	, Mica RG)	ela	SALES RODRIGUES, Larissa (BRA)			
Concepts	Cor	Tect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Con	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect
	_	CAN	DOM	CAN	DOM	CAN	DOM	CAN	DOM	CAN	DOM	CAN	DOM	CAN	DOM	CAN
Continuous Movement/Drive	9	9	2	0	2	3	0	0	4	2	1	0	3	4	1	0
Continuous	5	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
Shot	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perimeter play	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Post Play	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Key area action	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Screen Action	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-In	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	22	17	4	1	4	8	0	1	10	3	3	0	8	6	1	0




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd C	luarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	To	tal	
Duration	00:19:13		00:2	1:13	00:2	3:16	00:2	1:10	01:24:52		
Calls	7 (44%)	9 (56%)	7 (39%)	11 (61%)	14 (58%)	10 (42%)	12 (57%)	9 (43%)	40 (51%)	39 (49%)	
Calls	16 (20%)	18 (23%)		24 (30%)	21 (2	27%)	79 (100%)		
Fouls	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	22 (58%)	16 (42%)	
rouis	7 (18%)		8 (2	1%)	12 (32%)		11 (29%)		38 (48%)		
Travelling	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	
Travelling		0	1 (5	0%)	()	1 (5	0%)	2 (3	3%)	
Other Violations	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	2 (22%)	7 (78%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	16 (41%)	23 (59%)	
Other violations	9 (2	23%)	9 (2	3%)	12 (31%)		9 (2	3%)	39 (4	19%)	
Inc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	(0	(0	()	()	0		
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC									0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quai	ter 1			Quai	rter 2			Quai	rter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO	TAL
3 Referees	Ę	5'	1	0'	5	5'	1	0'	5	,	1	0'	Ę	3"	1	0'	Las	st 2'	2	IAL
GLOSS Ashley Lynn (USA)	0	0	1 33%	2 67%	3 60%	2 40%	0	1 100%	0	3 100%	3 50%	3 50%	1 25%	3 75%	1 33%	2 67%	1 50%	1 50%	9 36%	16 64%
C (ÜSĀ)	0		3 12%		5 20%		1 4%		3 12%		6 24%		4 16%			3 2%		2 %	25 32%	
PRADO Micaela (ARG)	1 33%	2 67%	2 67%	1 33%	2 50%	2 50%	0	2 100%	5 100%	0	2 100%	0	3 60%	2 40%	1 100%	0	0	0	16 64%	9 36%
(ARG)	3 12%			3 12%		4 16%		2 8%		; %		2 %	20		4	1 %	0		25 32%	
SALES RODRIGUES Larissa (BRA)	3 75%	1 25%	0	3 100%	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	1 25%	3 75%	3 75%	1 25%	2 50%	2 50%	4 100%	0	2 100%	0	15 52%	14 48%
Larissa (BRA)		4 %		3)%	10	3)%		3)%	14			4 %	14	‡ %		4 %		2 %	_	.9 '%
TOTAL	4 57%	3 43%	3 33%	6 67%	6 50%	6 50%	1 17%	5 83%	6 50%	6 50%	8 67%	4 33%	6 46%	7 54%	6 75%	2 25%	3 75%	1 25%	40 51%	39 49%
TOTAL	9	7 %) %		2 5%		5 %	1: 15			2	1 16	3 %		3)%		4 %	7	9









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	1 (7%)	3 (21%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (43%)	4 (29%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (20%)	8 (80%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
T1	2 (40%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (9%)	19 (86%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	22
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	17 (81%)	3 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	21
Ct	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	2
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	4	5	2	5	52	8	0	0	1	1	0	1	79







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	GLOSS Ashle	ey Lynn (USA)	PRADO Mio	aela (ARG)	SALES RODRI		TO	ΓAL	
	CALLS	9 (36%)	16 (64%)	16 (64%)	9 (36%)	15 (52%)	14 (48%)	40 (51%)	39 (49%)	
	CALLS	25 (3		25 (3	32%)	29 (3	7%)	7	9	
	FOULS	2 (18%)	9 (82%)	12 (86%)	2 (14%)	8 (62%)	5 (38%)	22 (58%)	16 (42%)	
	10023	11 (2	29%)	14 (3	37%)	13 (3	4%)	3	8	
	DEFENSIVE	2 (22%)	7 (78%)	10 (83%)	2 (17%)	8 (62%)	5 (38%)	20 (59%)	14 (41%)	
	DEFENSIVE	9 (2	6%)	12 (3	15%)	13 (3	8%)	3	4	
	OFFENSIVE	0	2 (100%)	2 (100%)	0	0	0	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	
	OFFEINSIVE	2 (5	0%)	2 (5	0%)			4		
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DOUBLE FOOL	()	C)			C)	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10-	UNSPORTSWANLIKE	(כ	C)			C)	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	TECHNICAL	()	C)			C)	
	DISQUALIFYING	2 (22%)	7 (78%)	12 (86%)	2 (14%)	8 (62%)	5 (38%)	22 (61%)	14 (39%)	
	DISCOALIFTING	9 (2	5%)	14 (3	19%)	13 (3	6%)	3	6	
	OTHER	0	2 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	2 (100%)	
	OTTLER	2 (10	00%)	C)	C)	2	2	
	VIOLATIONS	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	7 (44%)	9 (56%)	18 (44%)	23 (56%)	
	VIOLATIONS	14 (3	34%)	11 (2	27%)	16 (3	9%)	4	1	
NO	TRAVELING	2 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	2 (100%)	0	
N I	TIGAVELING	2 (10	00%)	C)	()	2	2	
VIOLATION S	OTHER	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	7 (44%)	9 (56%)	16 (41%)	23 (59%)	
>	OTTLEN	12 (3	31%)	11 (2	28%)	16 (4	1%)	39		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	11/0	()	C)	())	

