

FIBA Game Stats

BRA vs PUR FP201 2023 FIBA WOMENS AMERICUP



Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023 FIBA WOMENS	AMERICUP	
Game	SRA			PUR
Score	85	16-22 / 23-20 / 23-18	8 / 23-14	74
Duration	00:14:19	00:22:27 00:18:47	00:20:35	01:16:08
Fouls (IRS)	17 (0)			11 (0)
		GAME INFORMATION		
Stage/Round		SEMI FINAL		FP201
Date		17:40 08/07/20)23	
Place		LEON		
		REFEREEING STAFF		
GARCIA NIEVES, (VEN)	Daniel Alberto)	DOMINGUEZ VIVEROS, Krishna Joaquin (MEX)		Z, Orlando Jose ON)
Referee Ins	tructor	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video (Operator
MOORE, (USA)	Terry)	N/A	BOELAER (A	RT, Federico RG)




General Calls Evaluation


Defe	Teams			Brazi	il 85					Puerto I	Rico 74					TOT	ALS							
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?					
сс	C GARCIA NIEVES, Daniel Alberto (VEN)		0	6	0	0	0	3	0	5	0	0	o	8	0	11	0	0	0					
U1	U1 DOMINGUEZ VIVEROS, Krishna Joaquin (MEX)		0	2	0	0	0	7	1	0	1	0	0	10	1	2	1	0	0					
U2	U2 VARELA DIAZ, Orlando Jose (HON)		0	4	1	0	1	3	0	2	0	0	0	10	0	6	1	0	1					
	TOTAL			27 (56	6.3%)	6) 2					3.8%)					48 (10	00%)		0					
	CORRECT			15 (31.3%)						13 (27	7.1%)				28 (58.3%)									
	INCORRECT			0)				1 (2.1%)						1 (2.1%)									
	INCONCLUSIVE			12 (2	5%)					7 (14	.6%)					19 (39).6%)							
	L2M C			1 (2.	1%)					1 (2.	1%)					2 (4.:	2%)							
	L2M I			0)			0						0										
	L2M ?			1 (2.	1%)					0)					1 (2.	1%)							








Plays Situation Breakdown




Play Situations Table GARCIA NIEVES, VIVEERS Kethere VARELA DIAZ,																	
Occurrente		То	tals		GA Dani	RCIA	NIEV erto (ES, VEN)		EROS	NGUE 8, Kris 1 (ME)	hna	na VARELA D Orlando Jose				
Concepts	Co	rect	Inco	rrect	Co	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	Tect	Inco	rrect	
	BRA	PUR	BRA	PUR	BRA	PUR	BRA	PUR	BRA	PUR	BRA	PUR	BRA	PUR	BRA	PUI	
Continuous Movement/Drive	2	2	0	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Continuous	0	1	3	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	
Shot	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Penetration	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Perimeter play	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transition	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Rebound	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	
Post Play	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Key area action	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Screen Action	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stopping the Clock	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	10	10	9	6	2	2	6	3	2	5	1	1	6	3	2	2	






Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Quarter		2nd C	luarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:1	4:19	00:2	22:27	00:1	8:47	00:2	0:35	01:1	6:08	
Calls	6 (67%)	3 (33%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	5 (38%)	8 (62%)	10 (71%)	4 (29%)	27 (56%)	21 (44%)	
Galls	9 (1	9%)	12 (25%)	13 (2	27%)	14 (2	29%)	48 (1	00%)	
Feule	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	17 (61%)	11 (39%)	
Fouls	5 (18%)		8 (2	29%)	8 (2	9%)	7 (25%)		28 (58%)	
Trevelling	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	
Travelling	1 (33%)			0	1 (3	3%)	1 (3	3%)	3 (<u>6%)</u>	
Other Welstiere	1 (33%) 2 (67%)		2 (50%) 2 (50%)		1 (25%) 3 (75%)		4 (67%) 2 (33%)		8 (47%)	9 (53%)	
Other Violations	3 (1	8%)	4 (2	24%)	4 (2	4%)	6 (3	5%)	17 (:	35%)	
IDO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	()		0)	()	0		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	()		0)	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Qua	rter 2			Qua	rter 3				Qua	rter 4			то	TAL
3 Referees	1 77	5	1	0'	5	5	1	0'	5	5	1	0'	ę	5"	1	0"	La	Last 2'		
GARCIA NIEVES Daniel Alberto (Venezuela)	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	2 67%	1 33%	0	0	1 20%	4 80%	2 67%	1 33%	3 75%	1 25%	1 100%	0	0	0	11 58%	8 42%
Alberto (Venezuela)	2 11%		5'	1 %		3 i%	(D	ع 26	-		3 6%		4 %	5	1 %		0		9)%
DOMINGUEZ VIVEROS Ķrishņa	0	0	2 67%	1 33%	0	2 100%	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 33%	2 67%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	5 38%	8 62%
Joaquin (Mexico)	0		23 23	3 8%		2 5%		2 5%	C)		2 5%		3 8%	8	1 %	8	1 %		3 %
VARELA DIAZ Orlando Jose (HON)	0	0	2 67%	1 33%	1 33%	2 67%	2 100%	0	1 100%	0	0	2 100%	2 100%	0	3 100%	0	2 100%	0	11 69%	5 31%
Jose (HON)	C)		3)%		3 1%	-	2 3%	1 6'	l %		2 3%		2 3%		3 9%		2 3%		6 8%
TOTAL	1 50%	1 50%	5 71%	2 29%	3 38%	5 63%	3 75%	1 25%	2 33%	4 67%	3 43%	4 57%	6 67%	3 33%	4 80%	1 20%	2 67%	1 33%	27 56%	21 44%
TOTAL	2 49	2 %		7 5%	۶ 17	3 '%		4 %	6 13	} %		7 5%)%		5)%		3 %	4	8









Pos/Zone	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	3 (33%)	5 (56%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
C3	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	4 (50%)	3 (38%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
T1	2 (25%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	1 (13%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
Т3	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	1 (25%)	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	2	5	3	11	17	8	0	1	0	0	1	0	48

Calls by Zone and Position







Page 10 of 11



Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees		EVES Daniel /enezuela)	DOMINGUE Krishna Joac			: Orlando Jose DN)	то	TAL	
	CALLS	11 (58%)	8 (42%)	5 (38%)	8 (62%)	11 (69%)	5 (31%)	27 (56%)	21 (44%)	
	CALLO	19 (4	40%)	13 (2	27%)	16 (33%)	4	8	
	FOULS	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	8 (80%)	2 (20%)	17 (61%)	11 (39%)	
			39%)	7 (2		· · · · · ·	36%)		28	
	DEFENSIVE	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	8 (89%)	1 (11%)	16 (64%)	9 (36%)	
	DEI EROITE	,	44%)	5 (2		,	6%)		25	
	OFFENSIVE	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	
	OFFERENCE		0	2 (6	7%)	1 (3	3%)	;	3	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
(0	DOODEETOOE	(0	())	(0	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FO			0)		0	
	TECHNICAL	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
			00%)	(2		1	
	DISQUALIFYING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			0	(0		0	
	OTHER	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	8 (80%)	2 (20%)	17 (63%)	10 (37%)	
			37%)	7 (2		· · · · ·	37%)		27	
	VIOLATIONS	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	10 (50%)	10 (50%)	
			0%)	6 (3		6 (3			20	
NO	TRAVELING	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	
ATI S		- 1-	3%)	1 (3		- · · · ·	3%)		3	
VIOLATION S	OTHER	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	8 (47%) 9 (53%)		
>			1%)	5 (2		· · · · ·	9%)	17		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			0)		0		0	





Total Violations by Ref

