

FIBA Game Stats

PHI vs JPN GP206 2023 FIBA WOMENS ASIA CUP



Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:

Competition		2023 FIBA WOMENS A	SIA CUP	
Game	PHI		_	JPN
Score	57	14-28 / 17-21 / 17-17	/ 9-29	95
Duration	00:17:51	00:18:16 00:17:10	00:18:42	01:11:59
Fouls (IRS)	18 (0)			9 (0)
	(GAME INFORMATION		
Stage/Round		Group Phase (GP)		GP206
Date		13:30 27/06/202	23	
Place	SY	DNEY OLYMPIC PARK SP	ORTS CENT	RE
		REFEREEING STAFF		
LEE, JI Y (KOR		CHAN, Owe Shiong (MAS)	WELCH (N	I, Callum IZL)
Referee Ins	tructor S	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video (Operator
JOSEPH, / (AUS)	Albert)	N/A	CHERR (A	(Y, Sarah US)




General Calls Evaluation

Defe	Teams			Philippi	nes 57					Japa	n 95			0 13 0 0 0 0 0 0 23 0 0 1 0 0								
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?			
сс	LEE, JI Youn (KOR)	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0			
U1	U1 CHAN, Owe Shlong (MAS)		0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	0	23	0	0	1	0	0			
U2	J2 WELCH, Callum (NZL)		0	0	0	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	0	24	1	0	0	0	0			
	TOTAL		36 (59%)							25 (4	1%)					61 (10	00%)	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 %) %) 6)				
	CORRECT		36 (59%)						24 (39	9.3%)				60 (98.4%)								
	INCORRECT			0						1 (1.	6%)				1 (1.6%)							
	INCONCLUSIVE			0						C)					0						
	L2M C			0						1 (1.	6%)					1 (1.	6%)	0 0				
	L2M I		0							C)					0						
	L2M?			0						C)					0						




Plays Situation Breakdown





Play Situations Table																	
Oracusta		To	als		LEE, JI Youn (KOR) CHAN, Own (MAS						we Sh AS)	long	ng WELCH, Callum (NZL)				
Concepts	Cor	Tect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	Tect	Inco	rrect	
	PHI	JPN	PHI	JPN	PHI	JPN	PHI	JPN	PHI	JPN	PHI	JPN	PHI	JPN	PHI	JP	
Continuous Movement/Drive	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	
Continuous	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Shot	3	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Penetration	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Perimeter play	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transition	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Rebound	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Key area action	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Screen Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	18	9	0	0	5	1_	0	0	6	4	0	0	7_	4	0	0	







Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy












Calls Summary


Quarters	1st Quarter		2nd C	arter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	Тс	otal	
Duration	00:17:51		00:1	8:16	00:1	7:10	00:1	8:42	01:1	1:59	
Calls	14 (74%)	5 (26%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	9 (56%)	7 (44%)	7 (44%)	9 (56%)	36 (59%)	25 (41%)	
Calls	19 (3	31%)	10 (16%)	16 (2	26%)	16 (2	26%)	61 (100%)		
Fouls	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	6 (67%)	3 (33%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	18 (67%)	9 (33%)	
rouis	4 (15%)		7 (2	.6%)	9 (3	3%)	7 (2	6%)	27 (4	44%)	
Travalling	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
Travelling	2 (100%)		(0	()	()	2 (:	3%)	
Other Violations	10 (77%)	3 (23%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	2 (22%)	7 (78%)	17 (53%)	15 (47%)	
Other violations	13 (4	41%)	3 (9%)	7 (2	2%)	9 (28%)		32 (!	52%)	
IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IKƏ	()	(0	()	()	(0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	()	(0)	()	0		






Calls by Referee

Quarters		Qua	rter 1			Quar	rter 2			Qua	ter 3				Qua	arter 4			TO	TAL
3 Referees	5	5	1	0'	5	5'	1	0'	5	7	1	0'		5		10'	La	st 2'		TAL
LEE JI Youn (KOR)	1 100%	0	0	0	0	0	1 100%	0	2 50%	2 50%	3 100%	0	3 75%	1 25%	0	0	0	0	10 77%	3 23%
(KOR)	1 8%		6 0		0		1 8%		4 31%		3 23%		4 31%		0		0		13 21%	
CHAN Owe	4 67%	2 33%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	0	1 100%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	2 50%	2 50%	0	2 100%	0	1 100%	12 52%	11 48%
Shiong (MAS)	6 26%			3 13%		3 13%		1 4%		<u>2</u> %		2 %	17	1 '%	2 9%		1 4%		23 38%	
WELCH Callum (NZL)	2 50%	2 50%	5 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	2 67%	1 33%	1 100%	0	1 25%	3 75%	2 40%	3 60%	0	1 100%	0	0	14 56%	11 44%
(NZL)	ے 16	1 %		5)%	8	2 %		3 2%	1 49	l %		4 5%		5 1%	4	1 I%		0		5 %
TOTAL	7 64%	4 36%	7 88%	1 13%	3 60%	2 40%	3 60%	2 40%	4 57%	3 43%	5 56%	4 44%	7 54%	6 46%	0	3 100%	0	1 100%	36 59%	25 41%
TOTAL	1 18	-		3 1%	5 89			5 %	7 11	7 %		7 i%	1 21	3 %	E	3 5%	2	1 %	6	1









Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	3 (43%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (43%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
C3	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	10 (77%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13
T1	2 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (17%)	12 (67%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	18
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (15%)	10 (77%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13
Ct	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	5	1	1	15	26	4	1	5	0	2	1	0	61

Calls by Zone and Position






Page 10 of 11



Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	LEE JI Yo	oun (KOR)	CHAN Owe S	Shiong (MAS)	WELCH Ca	allum (NZL)	то	TAL	
	CALLS	10 (77%)	3 (23%)	12 (52%)	11 (48%)	14 (56%)	11 (44%)	36 (59%)	25 (41%)	
	CALLS	13 (21%)	23 (3	38%)	25 (4	11%)	6	01	
	FOULS	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	18 (67%)	9 (33%)	
			2%)	10 (3		11 (4			7	
	DEFENSIVE	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	16 (64%)	9 (36%)	
	DELENSIVE	•	4%)	8 (3		11 (4			5	
	OFFENSIVE	0	0	2 (100%)	0	0	0	2 (100%)	0	
			0	2 (10)0%)	()		2	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<i>(</i>)	DOODEETOOE		0)			(0	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FO	UNSPORTSMANLIKE		0	((0	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1 Eonition E		0))		0	
	DISQUALIFYING	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	17 (65%)	9 (35%)	
		•	3%)	9 (3		11 (42%)		-	6	
	OTHER	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
	OTTIER		0	1 (10)		1	
	VIOLATIONS	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	18 (53%)	16 (47%)	
		•	1%)	13 (3		•	1%)	-	4	
NO	TRAVELING	0	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
ATI			0)	2 (10		2		
VIOLATION S	OTHER	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	17 (53%) 15 (47%)		
		•	2%)		1%)	•	38%)	-	2	
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			0	()	()	0		



Page 11 of 11