

FIBA Game Stats

NZL vs LBN GP309 20223 FIBA WOMENS ASIA CUP



Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		20223 FIBA W	OMENS AS	SIA CUP							
Game	₩ *** * NZL				≹ LBN						
Score	76	17-6 / 22-1	1 / 16-12 / ⁻	19-16	45						
Duration	00:15:32	00:23:42 00	0:16:15	00:17:36	01:13:05						
Fouls (IRS)	12 (1)				16 (0)						
	G	AME INFORMA	ATION								
Stage/Round		Group Phase(G	GP)		GP309						
Date											
Place	RTS CENT	RE									
REFEREEING STAFF											
CHUANG, Ch (TPE)		GARCIA, Joena (PHI)	GARCIA, Joenard NIKOGO (PHI) (
Referee Ins	structor S	tand-by Referee Ins	structor	Video	Operator						
JOSEPH, / (AUS)	Albert)	N/A		SHAFAG (A	HI, Shahrad NUS)						




General Calls Evaluation


Defe	Teams		١	lew Zea	land 76					Leban	on 45					TOT	ALS		
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
сс	CHUANG, Chih-Chun (TPE)	5	0	2	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	o	o	11	0	2	0	0	0
U1	GARCIA, Joenard (PHI)	6	0	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	0	13	0	0	3	0	0
U2	NIKOGOSSYAN, Yana (KAZ)	7	1	0	1	0	0	11	0	0	2	0	0	18	1	0	3	0	0
	TOTAL			21 (46	5.7%)			24 (53.3%) 45 (100%)											
	CORRECT			18 (4	0%)				24 (53.3%)				42 (93.3%)						
	INCORRECT			1 (2.:	2%)				0							1 (2.)	2%)		
	INCONCLUSIVE			2 (4.	4%)			0					2 (4.4%)						
	L2M C			3 (6.	7%)			3 (6.7%)					6 (13.3%)						
	L2MI 0					0					0								
	L2M?			0						0					0				








Plays Situation Breakdown




			P	lay	SILL	auv	лэ	i av	C								
Oracusta		To	tals		СНИ	CHUANG, Chih-Chun (TPE)				GARCIA, Joenard (PHI)				NIKOGOSSYAN, Yana (KAZ)			
Concepts	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		
	NZL	LBN	NZL	LBN	NZL	LBN	NZL	LBN	NZL	LBN	NZL	LBN	NZL	LBN	NZL	LBN	
ontinuous Movement/Drive	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Continuous	4	6	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	4	0	0	
Shot	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Penetration	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Perimeter play	4	4	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transition	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Rebound	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Key area action	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	
Screen Action	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Help Defense	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	18	22	3	0	5	4	2	0	6	7	0	0	7	11	1	0	






Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd C	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	Το	tal	
Duration	00:15:32		00:2	3:42	00:1	6:15	00:1	7:36	01:13:05		
Calls	2 (25%)	6 (75%)	9 (60%)	6 (40%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	21 (47%)	24 (53%)	
Calls	8 (18%)		15 (33%)		10 (2	22%)	12 (2	27%)	45 (100%)		
Fouls	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	12 (43%)	16 (57%)	
Fouls	4 (14%)		12 (43%)		7 (2	5%)	5 (1	8%)	28 (62%)		
Travalling	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	2 (100%)	0	
Travelling	0		1 (50%)		1 (5	0%)	()	2 (4%)		
Other Meletiere	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)	0	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	7 (47%)	8 (53%)	
Other Violations	4 (2	7%)	2 (13%)		2 (1	3%)	7 (4	7%)	15 (33%)		
IDC	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
IRS	0		0		1 (10	0%)	()	1 (2%)		
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	(2	0		()	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters	Qua	rter 1	Qua	rter 2	Qua	rter 3		Quarter 4		TOTAL
3 Referees	5'	10'	5'	10'	5'	10'	5'	10'	Last 2'	TOTAL
CHUANG Chih-Chun (TPE)	0 3 100%	0 <mark>1</mark> 100%	1 100% 0	2 100% 0	1 2 33% 67%	2 100% 0	0 0	1 100% 0	0 0	7 6 54% 46%
(TPE)	3 23%	1 8%	1 8%	2 15%	3 23%	2 15%	0	1 8%	0	13 29%
GARCIA Joenard (PHI)	0 1 100%	1 100% 0	2 50% 20%	0 0	0 0	1 2 33% 67%	0 0	2 50% 20%	2 1 67% 33%	6 7 46% 54%
(PHI)	1 8%	1 4 8% 31%		0	0	3 23%	0	4 31%	3 23%	13 29%
NIKOGOSSY AN Yana (KAZ)	1 1 50% 50%	0 0	2 50% 2 50%	2 50% 2 50%	1 1 50% 50%	0 0	0 1 100%	2 4 33% 67%	1 2 33% 67%	8 11 42% 58%
(KAZ)	2 0 11% 0		4 4 21% 21%		2 11%	0	1 5%	6 32%	3 16%	19 42%
TOTAL	1 5 17% 83%	1 1 50% <mark>50%</mark>	5 56% 44%	4 2 67% 33%	2 3 40% 60%	3 2 60% 40%	0 <mark>1</mark> 100%	5 6 45% 55%	3 3 50% 50%	21 24 47% 53%
TOTAL	6 13%	2 4%	9 20%	6 13%	5 11%	5 11%	1 2%	11 24%	6 13%	45









Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	1 (11%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (56%)	2 (22%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
C3	0 (0%)	2 (25%)	1 (13%)	3 (38%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
T1	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	4 (57%)	2 (29%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (20%)	7 (70%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5
Ct	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	3	4	2	9	22	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	45

Calls by Zone and Position







Page 10 of 11




Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	CHUANG Chi	h-Chun (TPE)	GARCIA Jo	enard (PHI)	NIKOGOSSY	NN Yana (KAZ)	то	TAL	
	CALLS	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	8 (42%)	11 (58%)	21 (47%)	24 (53%)	
	OALLO		29%)	13 (2		19 (4		-	5	
	FOULS	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	3 (30%)	7 (70%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	12 (43%)	16 (57%)	
	10020	8 (29%)		10 (3		10 (3			8	
	DEFENSIVE	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	2 (25%)	6 (75%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	8 (36%)	14 (64%)	
	DEI ENGIVE	6 (2	· ·	8 (3		8 (3			2	
	OFFENSIVE	2 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	
			3%)	2 (3		2 (3		6		
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
(0	DOODLETOOL)	0		(2	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
FO)	0				(<u>)</u>	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		<u>o</u>		0		0		0		
	DISQUALIFYING	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	3 (30%)	7 (70%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	9 (38%)	15 (63%)	
		6 (2		10 (4		8 (3		24		
	OTHER	2 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	
	OTTER	2 (5		0		2 (5			4	
	VIOLATIONS	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	3 (100%)	0	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	9 (53%)	8 (47%)	
	NOEAHONS	5 (2		3 (18		9 (5			7	
NO	TRAVELING	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	
S	HAWEEING		0%)	0	,	1 (5		2		
VIOLATION S	OTHER	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	3 (100%)	0	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	7 (47%) 8 (53%)		
>	STIER		7%)	3 (20		8 (5		15		
	IRS	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%) 0		
)	1 (10	0%)	()	•	1	







Page 11 of 11