

FIBA Game Stats

CHN vs KOR GP311 2023 FIBA WOMENS ASIA CUP



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023 FIBA WON	MENS ASIA CUP	
Game	*: CHN			″●¾ KOR
Score	87	12-21 / 20-17	/ 21-16 / 15-9	81
Duration	00:21:02	00:22:41 00:2	5:39 00:09:13	01:51:55
Fouls (IRS)	20 (0)			20 (1)
	G	AME INFORMAT	ON	
Stage/Round		Group Phase(GP)		GP311
Date		17:00 28	/06/2023	
Place	SYE	ONEY OLYMPIC PA	RK SPORTS CEN	ITRE
	R	EFEREEING STA	\FF	
MUONGMEE (THA	, Preeda)	CHAN, Owe Shiong (MAS)) DOVE	R, Jacquiline (AUS)
Referee Ins	structor St	and-by Referee Instru	ıctor Vide	o Operator
JOSEPH, (AUS	Albert)	N/A	SHAFA	GHI, Shahrad (AUS)




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams			China	a 87					Korea 81						TOTALS				
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	
СС	MUONGMEE, Preeda (THA)	11	1	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	22	1	0	0	0	0	
U1	CHAN, Owe Shlong (MAS)	4	1	0	0	0	0	11	1	0	1	0	0	15	2	0	1	0	0	
U2	DOVER, Jacquilline (AUS)	13	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	2	0	0	25	0	0	2	0	0	
	TOTAL		30 (46.2%)							35 (53	3.8%)					65 (10	00%)			
	CORRECT		28 (43.1%)					34 (52.3%)						62 (95.4%)						
	INCORRECT			2 (3.	1%)			1 (1.5%)						3 (4.6%)						
	INCONCLUSIVE			0	1					C)					0)			
	L2M C			0						3 (4.	6%)			3 (4.6%)						
	L2M I			0)			0						0						
	L2M?			0						С)			0						








Plays Situation Breakdown




			Ρ	lay	Situ	atic	ns '	Tab	le								
2	Totals				MUO	MUONGMEE, Preeda (THA)				CHAN, Owe Shlong (MAS)				DOVER, Jacquilline (AUS)			
Concepts	Соггест			rrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		rrect	Correct		Incorrect CHN KOR		
Continuous Movement/Drive	-	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Continuous	5	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	
Shot	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Penetration	3	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Perimeter play	5	8	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	3	0	0	
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transition	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Rebound	6	4	0	1	2	1	0	0	2	2	0	1	2	1	0	0	
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Key area action	1	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Screen Action	3	4	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Help Defense	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	28	34	2	1	11	11	1	0	4	11	1	1	13	12	0	0	




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd C	Quarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	To	ital
Duration	00:2	1:02	00:2	2:41	00:2	5:39	00:0	9:13	01:51:55	
Calls	8 (47%)	9 (53%)	8 (50%)	8 (50%)	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	8 (42%)	11 (58%)	30 (46%)	35 (54%)
Calls	17 (2	26%)	16 (2	25%)	13 (2	20%)	19 (2	29%)	65 (100%)	
Fouls	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	20 (50%)	20 (50%)
rouis	10 (2	25%)	9 (2	23%)	8 (2	0%)	13 (3	33%)	40 (52%)
Travalling	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	2 (50%)	2 (50%)
Travelling	3 (7	'5%)	1 (2	25%)	()	()	4 (5%)
Other Violations	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	8 (38%)	13 (62%)
Other violations	4 (1	9%)	6 (2	9%)	5 (2	4%)	6 (2	9%)	21 (32%)
IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)
IKS		0	(0	()	1 (100%)		1 (2%)
No Coll Chic/Ichic	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No Call CNC/ICNC		0	(0	()	()	()













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quai	rter 2			Quar	ter 3				Qua	arter 4			TOTAL
3 Referees	5'		1	0'	5	5'	1	0'	5'		10'		5	3		10'	Las	st 2'	IOIAL
MUONGMEE Preeda	2 67%	1 33%	0	0	1 100%	0	2 100%	0	4 50%	4 50%	1 25%	3 75%	2 40%	3 60%	0	0	0	0	12 11 52% 48%
Preeda (THA)	3 139	%	(ס	1 4°	1 %	9	_	8 359		4 17%	6	22 22			0	(0	23 34%
CHAN Owe	1 25%	3 75%	1 33%	2 67%	0	1 100%	0	2 100%	1 33%	2 67%	1 100%	0	1 33%	2 67%	0	1 100%	0	0	5 28% 72%
Shiong (MAS)	4 229	%		3 '%	6	1 %	11		3 179		1 6%		3 17	4	é	1 5%		0	18 26%
DOVER Jacquiline (AUS)	3 60%	2 40%	1 50%	1 50%	3 50%	3 50%	2 50%	2 50%	1 50%	1 50%	3 100%	0	0	3 100%	0	2 100%	0	0	13 14 48% 52%
(AUS)	5 19 9	%	_	2 %	22	5 2%	15	1 %	2 7%		3 11%	6	3 11			2 1%		0	27 40%
TOTAL	6 50%	6 50%	2 40%	3 60%	4 50%	4 50%	4 50%	4 50%	6 46%	7 54%	5 63%	3 38%	3 27%	8 73%	0	3 100%	0	0	30 38 44% 56%
TOTAL	12 189			5 %	12	3 2%	12		13 199		8 12%	6	1 16			3 I%		0	68









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	3 (25%)	2 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (33%)	3 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12
C3	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	3 (60%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5
T1	3 (38%)	4 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
Т3	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	6
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (15%)	16 (80%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	20
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13
Ct	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Tt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	7	8	1	5	38	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	65







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	MUONGMEE	Preeda (THA)	CHAN Owe S	Shiong (MAS)	DOVER Jaco	juiline (AUS)	TO	ΓAL		
	CALLS	12 (52%)	11 (48%)	5 (29%)	12 (71%)	13 (52%)	12 (48%)	30 (46%)	35 (54%)		
	CALLS		35%)	17 (2		25 (3		6			
	FOULS	9 (56%)	7 (44%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	20 (50%)	20 (50%)		
	1 0020	16 (4	40%)	10 (2	25%)	14 (3	35%)	4	0		
	DEFENSIVE	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	14 (47%)	16 (53%)		
	DEI ENSIVE	12 (4	40%)	7 (2	3%)	11 (3	37%)	3	0		
	OFFENSIVE	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)		
	OFFEINSIVE	4 (4	0%)	3 (3	0%)	3 (3	0%)	1	0		
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	DOUBLE FOOL	Ú)	Ü)	C)	()		
FOULS	LINGDODTSMANLIKE	0 0		0	0	0 0		0	0		
10-	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	·)	O)	C))		
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	TECHNICAL	Ú)	Ü)	C)	()		
	DISQUALIFYING	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	14 (47%)	16 (53%)		
	DISCOALIFTING	12 (4	10%)	7 (2	3%)	11 (3	37%)	3	0		
	OTHER	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)		
	OTTLER	4 (4	0%)	3 (3	0%)	3 (3	0%)	1	0		
	VIOLATIONS	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	1 (14%)	6 (86%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	10 (40%)	15 (60%)		
	VIOLATIONS	7 (2	8%)	7 (2	8%)	11 (4	14%)	2	5		
NO	TRAVELING	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)		
E S	TRAVELING	1 (2	5%)	2 (5	0%)	1 (2	5%)	4	1		
VIOLATION	OTHER	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	0	5 (100%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	8 (38%)	13 (62%)		
>	OTHER	6 (2	9%)	5 (2	4%)	10 (4	18%)	21			
	IRS	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0 1 (100%)			
	IINO.	1 (10	00%)	()	C)	•	l		

