

FIBA POST GAME REPORT

GRE vs LAT GP213 2023 FIBA WOMENS EUROBASKET



Contents

Note about the data	3
Global Evaluation	
Quality and Consistency	4
Game Information	5
Calls Summary vs Referee	6
Calls vs Teams	
Calls vs Referee	8
Play Situations Break-down	9
Call Average vs Game	
Calls vs Zone and Position	11
IRS vs Game	12
Regular Call vs L2M & OT Accuracy	13



Note about the data

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicized. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams; Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other estates

Global Evaluation

To obtain a final global assessment of referee performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the context of an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can be used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality & consistency

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:





Game Information

	2023 FIBA WOMENS EUROBASKET											
	18:15 16/0	6/2023		TEL AV	/IV ARENA							
	%	Total	Calls	Total	%							
Greece	N/A	16	Fouls	20	N/A	Latvia						
	N/A	9	Violations	16	N/A							
GRE	N/A	6	OOB	11	N/A	LAT						
73	N/A	0	Fake	0	N/A	65						
	N/A	0	DOG	0	N/A							
	NA	25	Total	36	NA							

Quarters	Quar	ter 1	Quar	ter 2	Quar	ter 3	Quarter 4		TOTAL	
Score	13	17	19	17	24	13	17	18	73	65
Duration	00:17:44		00:23:26		00:2	0:39	00:2	5:46	01:27:35	
Fouls	3	2	4	6	4	6	5	6	16	20
Violations	1	5	3	4	3	5	2	2	9	16
OOB	1	3	1	4	2	4	2	0	6	11
Fake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DOG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0


	Riodolioo NASIM Ada	
FORSBERG, Maj (DEN)	PROC, Michal (POL)	DAHRA, Amel (FRA)
		THE PARTY OF THE P


Referee Instructor	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video Operator
HERCEG,Sinisa (CRO)	N/A	ARESTE, Eva (ESP)





Calls Summary vs Referee

Types/Referees	cc	u	U2	TOTAL	CHAMPIONSHIP	FIBA
CALLS	11 (48%) 12 (52%)	9 (45%) 11 (55%)	5 (28%) 13 (72%)	25 (41%) 36 (59%)	0	0
OALLO	23 (38%)	20 (33%)	18 (30%)	61		
FOULS	8 (47%) 9 (53%)	4 (44%) 5 (56%)	4 (40%) 6 (60%)	16 (44%) 20 (56%)	0	0
1 0020	17 (47%)	9 (25%)	10 (28%)	36		
DEFENSIVE	8 (57%) 6 (43%)	4 (50%) 4 (50%)	4 (44%) 5 (56%)	16 (52%) 15 (48%)	0	0
	14 (45%)	8 (26%)	9 (29%)	31		
OFFENSIVE	0 3 (100%)	0 1 (100%)	0 1 (100%)	0 5 (100%)	0	0
	3 (60%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	5		
DOUBLE FOUL	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0
	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		
UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		
TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0
	8 (47%) 9 (53%)	4 (44%) 5 (56%)	4 (44%) 5 (56%)	16 (46%) 19 (54%)		
DISQUALIFYING	17 (49%)	9 (26%)	9 (26%)	35	0	0
227	0 0	0 0	0 1 (100%)	0 1 (100%)		
OOB	Ö	Ö	1 (100%)	1	0	0
STEP ON SIDE LINE	3 (50%) 3 (50%)	5 (45%) 6 (55%)	1 (13%) 7 (88%)	9 (36%) 16 (64%)	0	•
STEP ON SIDE LINE	6 (24%)	11 (44%)	8 (32%)	25		0
OTHER	0 0	2 (100%) 0	0 2 (100%)	2 (50%) 2 (50%)	0	0
OTTLER	0	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	4		
VIOLATIONS	3 (50%) 3 (50%)	3 (33%) 6 (67%)	1 (17%) 5 (83%)	7 (33%) 14 (67%)	0	0
VIOLATIONS	6 (29%)	9 (43%)	6 (29%)	21		
TRAVELING	2 (50%) 2 (50%)	3 (33%) 6 (67%)	1 (17%) 5 (83%)	6 (32%) 13 (68%)	0	0
	4 (21%)	9 (47%)	6 (32%)	19	· ·	
OTHER	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0
	0	0	0	0		
Fake	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0
	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		
DOG	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		
IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0
1100	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		
HCC	0	0	0	0	0	0














Calls vs Team

Ref	Teams			GRE	· 73					LAT	· 65					TOT	ALS				
S	Assessment	Correc	Incorr	Inconc	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correc	Incorr	Inconc	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correc	Incorr	Inconc	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?		
cc	FORSBERG, Maj (DEN)	11	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	23	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	CHAMP.	FIBA
U1	PROC, Michal (POL)	9	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	11	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	20	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	AVG	AVG
U2	DAHRA, Amel (FRA)	5	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	13	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	18	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A		
	TOTAL			25 (4	11%)					36 (59%)					61 (1	00%)			0.0	0.0
	CORRECT			N.	/A					N.	/A					N.	/A			0.0	0.0
	INCORRECT			N.	/A					N.	/A					N	/A			0.0	0.0
	INCONCLUSIVE			N.	/A					N.	/A			N/A					0.0	0.0	
	L2M C	N/A						N.	/A			N/A					0.0	0.0			
	L2M I	N/A					N/A				N/A					0.0	0.0				
	L2M?			N.	/A					N.	/A					N.	/A			0.0	0.0











Calls vs Referee


Quarters	Quar	ter 1	Quar	ter 2	Quar	ter 3		Quarter 4		TUTAL
3 Referees	5'	10'	5'	10'	5	10'	5'	10'	Iast 2'	IUIAL
FORSBERG Maj	1	0 3	1 2	1 1	2 1	2 3	1 1	3 1	2	11 12
	100% 0	100%	33% 67%	50% 50%	67% 33%	40% 60%	50% 50%	75% 25%	100% 0	48% 52%
(IIII)	1	3	3	2	3	5	2	4	2	23
	4%	13%	13%	9%	13%	22%	9%	17%	9%	38%
PROC Michal	1 1	1 1	2 3	1 1	1 1	1	0 1	2 3	1 2	9 11
(POD)	50% 50%	50% 50%	40% 60%	50% 50%	50% 50%	100% 0	100%	40% 60%	33% 67%	45% 55%
(POL)	2	2	5	2	2	1	1	5	3	20
	10%	10%	25%	10%	10%	5%	5%	25%	15%	33%
DAHRA Amel	1 1	0 1	1 2	1 1	1 2	0 4	0 1	1 1	0 1	5
(FRA)	50% 50%	100%	33% 67%	50% 50%	33% 67%	100%	100%	50% 50%	100%	28% 72%
(FRA)	2	1	3	2	3	4	1	2	1	18
	11%	6%	17%	11%	17%	22%	6%	11%	6%	30%
TYGYAT	3 2 60% 40%	1 5 17% 83%	4 7 36% 64%	3 50% 50%	4 4 50% 50%	3 30% 7 70%	1 3 25% 75%	6 5 55% 45%	3 50% 50%	25 41% 59%
TOTAL	5 8%	6 10%	11 18%	6 10%	8 13%	10 16%	4 7%	11 18%	6 10%	61







Play Situations Break-down



			Play Situa	tions Table					
Concepts	FORSBER	G, Maj (DEN)	PROC, M	ichal (POL)	DAHRA, A	mel (FRA)	Totals		
	GRE	LAT	GRE	IAT	GRE	IAT	GRE	LAT	
Continuous Movement/Drive to basket	3	1	1	3	3	2	7	6	
Continuous Movement/Moving Shot	1	1	0	0	1	2	2	3	
Shot	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Penetration	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	3	
Perimeter play	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transition	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Rebound	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	3	
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Key area action	2	2	2	0	0	0	4	2	
Screen Action	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	3	
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	8	9	4	5	4	6	16	20	





Call Average vs Game



Calls vs Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TUTAL	CHAMP.	FRA
C1	2 (18%)	2 (18%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (36%)	2 (18%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)	0 (0%)	11	0.0	0.0
С3	0 (0%)	2 (22%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	6 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9	0.0	0.0
n	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5	0.0	0.0
Т3	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5	0.0	0.0
14	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (18%)	14 (82%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	17	0.0	0.0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
16	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	9 (64%)	2 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	1 (7%)	14	0.0	0.0
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Tt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
It	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	4	5	0	5	36	6	0	0	1	1	2	1	61	0.0	0.0
CHAMP.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
FIBA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		







RS vs Game

PS	TOTAL	1	85	INI	TAL	FOOTAGE	FI	VAIL.	DURATION PROTOCOL		OCOL
SITUATIONS	TOPES	ROU	HCC	CCM	ICCM	INCO	ССМ	ICCM	AVG	CCM	ICCM
	IRS vs COMPERIION										
	8" Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
F00	Basket or not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
EOQ (5)	Foul or Not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	OOB Shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Shot Clock Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Goaltending / Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
L2M	OOB	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
(4)	Shot Clock Violation &	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Foul away from the shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	2/3 Points Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Act of Violence / Fight	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Free throw shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Game Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
4407774	Shot Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
ANY TIME (11)	PF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
(,	UF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	PF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	UF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	DQF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	DQF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	IRS vs CAM										



Regular Call vs I2M&OTAccuracy

