

FIBA POST GAME REPORT

MNE vs ITA FP426 2023 FIBA WOMENS EUROBASKET



Contents

Note about the data	3
Global Evaluation	
Quality and Consistency	4
Game Information	5
Calls Summary vs Referee	6
Calls vs Teams	
Calls vs Referee	8
Play Situations Break-down	9
Call Average vs Game	
Calls vs Zone and Position	11
IRS vs Game	12
Regular Call vs L2M & OT Accuracy	13



Note about the data

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicized. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams; Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other estates

Global Evaluation

To obtain a final global assessment of referee performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the context of an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can be used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality & consistency

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:





Game Information

2023 FIBA WOMENS EUROBASKET											
		GRO	OUP PHASE · FF	P426							
	21:00 19/0	21:00 19/06/2023 TEL AVIV ARENA									
	%	Total	Calls	Total	%						
Montenegro	N/A	15	Fouls	21	N/A	Italy					
	N/A	18	Violations	6	N/A						
MNE	N/A	11	OOB	6	N/A	ITA					
63	N/A	0	Fake	0	N/A	49					
	N/A	0	DOG	0	N/A						
	NA	33	Total	27	NA						

Quarters	Quar	ter 1	Quar	ter 2	Quar	ter 3	Quarter 4		TOTAL	
Score	22	08	13	14	13	09	15	18	63	49
Duration	00:1	9:03	00:2	0:15	00:1	8:44	00:2	5:54	01:2	3:56
Fouls	6	3	2	4	6	6	1	8	15	21
Violations	8	3	4	2	3	0	3	1	18	6
OOB	6	3	2	2	1	0	2	1	11	6
Fake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DOG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0


	REDDOREDDINGSTANDE	
LISZKA, Wojciech (POL)	GYÖRGYI, Gizella Viola (NOR)	BAKI, Kerem (TUR)
	TOR.	


Referee Instructor	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video Operator
HERCEG, Sinisa (CRO)	N/A	LÓPEZ, Txus (ESP)




Calls Summary vs Referee

Types/Referees	cc	u	U2	TUFAL	CHAMPIONSHIP	FIBA	
CALLS	14 (64%) 8 (36%) 22 (37%)	5 (42%) 7 (58%) 12 (20%)	14 (54%) 12 (46%) 26 (43%)	33 (55%) 27 (45%) 60	0	0	
FOULS	10 (63%) 6 (38%) 16 (44%)	0 5 (100%) 5 (14%)	5 (33%) 10 (67%) 15 (42%)	15 (42%) 21 (58%) 36	0	0	
DEFENSIVE	10 (71%) 4 (29%)	0 5 (100%)	5 (33%) 10 (67%)	15 (44%) 19 (56%)	0	0	
OFFENSIVE	14 (41%) 0 2 (100%)	5 (15%)	15 (44%) 0 0	0 2 (100%)	0	0	
DOUBLE FOUL	2 (100%)	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	
UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	·		
	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	
TECHNICAL	0 10 (63%) 6 (38%)	0 5 (100%)	0 5 (33%) 10 (67%)	0 15 (42%) 21 (58%)	0	0	
DISQUALIFYING	16 (44%)	5 (14%)	15 (42%)	36	0	0	
ООВ	0	0	0	0	0	0	
STEP ON SIDE LINE	4 (67%) 2 (33%) 6 (25%)	5 (71%) 2 (29%) 7 (29%)	9 (82%) 2 (18%) 11 (46%)	18 (75%) 6 (25%) 24	0	0	
OTHER	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	
VIOLATIONS	4 (67%) 2 (33%) 6 (25%)	5 (71%) 2 (29%) 7 (29%)	9 (82%) 2 (18%) 11 (46%)	18 (75%) 6 (25%) 24	0	0	
TRAVELING	4 (67%) 2 (33%) 6 (35%)	2 (50%) 2 (50%) 4 (24%)	5 (71%) 2 (29%) 7 (41%)	11 (65%) 6 (35%) 17	0	0	
OTHER	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	
Fake	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	
DOG	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	
IRS	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	
нсс	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0			














Calls vs Team

R	ef	Teams			MNE	· 63					ITA	· 4 9					TOT	ALS				
	s	Assessment	Correc	Incorr	Inconc	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correc	Incorr	Inconc	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correc	Incorr	Inconc	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?		
С	с	LISZKA, Wojciech (POL)	14	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	8	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	22	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	CHAMP.	FIBA
ι	J1	GYÖRGYI, Gizella Viola (NOR)	5	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	AVG	AVG
ι	J2	BAKI, Kerem (TUR)	14	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	26	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A		
		TOTAL			33 (5	55%)			27 (45%)				60 (100%)						0.0	0.0		
		CORRECT			N.	/A			N/A				N/A					0.0	0.0			
		INCORRECT			N.	/A			N/A				N/A					0.0	0.0			
		INCONCLUSIVE			N.	/A			N/A				N/A					0.0	0.0			
		L2M C N/A					N	/A					N.	/A			0.0	0.0				
		L2M I	N/A						N.	/A			N/A					0.0	0.0			
		L2M?			N	/A					N	/A			N/A						0.0	0.0











Calls vs Referee


Quarters	Quar	ter 1	Quar	ter 2	Quar	ter 3		Quarter 4		TUTAL
3 Referees	5'	10'	5'	10'	5'	10'	5'	10'	Iast 2'	IUIAL
IISZKA Wojciech (POI)	4 100% 0	3 1 75% 25%	2 50% 50%	2 1 67% 33%	3 100% 0	0 1 100%	0 3 100%	0 1 100%	0 0	14 9 61% 39%
(kui)	4 17%	4 17%	4 17%	3 13%	3 13%	1 4%	3 13%	1 4%	0	23 37%
GYÜNGYI Gizella Viola	1 2 33% 67%	1 1 50% 50%	1 100% 0	1 100% 0	1 3 25% 75%	0 0	0 1 100%	0 0	0 0	5 7 42% 58%
(NÜR)	3 2 25% 17%		1 1 8% 8%		4 33%	0	1 8%	0	0	12 19%
BAKI Kerem (TUR)	5 100% 0	0 2 100%	3 4 43% 57%	2 50% 50%	0 0	1 2 33% 67%	3 2 60% 40%	1 1 50% 50%	0 0	15 13 54% 46%
(1UR)	5 18%	2 7%	7 25%	4 14%	0	3 11%			0	28 44%
TOTAL	10 2 83% 17%	4 4 50% 50%	6 6 50% 50%	5 3 63% 38%	4 3 57% 43%	1 3 25% 75%	3 33% 67%	1 2 33% 67%	0 0	34 29 54% 46%
IOLAL	12 19%	8 13%	12 19%	8 13%	7 11%	4 6%	9 14%	3 5%	0	63







Play Situations Break-down



Play Situations Table												
Concepts	LISZKA, Wo	j clech (POL)	GYÖRGYI, Gize	lla Viola (NOR)	BAKI, Kei	rem (TUR)	Totals					
	MNE	ITA	MNE	ITA	MNE	IΓA	MNE	ITA				
Continuous Movement/Drive to basket	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1				
Continuous Movement/Moving Shot	1	1	0	0	3	4	4	5				
Shot	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0				
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Penetration	2	0	0	1	1	0	3	1				
Perimeter play	1	2	0	2	3	3	4	7				
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Transition	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	2				
Rebound	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Key area action	2	0	2	1	2	2	6	3				
Screen Action	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1				
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Throw-In	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1				
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Totals	9	6	2	5	9	10	20	21				





Call Average vs Game



Calls vs Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TUTAL	CHAMP.	FIRA
C1	2 (25%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (38%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8	0.0	0.0
СЗ	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	2 (20%)	2 (20%)	5 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10	0.0	0.0
n	2 (33%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6	0.0	0.0
Т3	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	5 (50%)	1 (10%)	2 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10	0.0	0.0
14	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	9 (90%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10	0.0	0.0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
16	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	8 (62%)	3 (23%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	13	0.0	0.0
Ct	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1	0.0	0.0
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2	0.0	0.0
It	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	4	4	7	5	29	6	0	2	0	0	2	1	60	0.0	0.0
CHAMP.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
FIBA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		







RS vs Game

PS	TOTAL	1	85	INI	TAL	FOOTAGE	FI	VAIL.	DURATION	PROT	OCOL
SITUATIONS	TOPES	ROU	HCC	CCM	ICCM	INCO	ССМ	ICCM	AVG	CCM	ICCM
	IRS vs COMPERIION										
	8" Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
F00	Basket or not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
EOQ (5)	Foul or Not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	OOB Shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Shot Clock Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Goaltending / Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
L2M	OOB	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
(4)	Shot Clock Violation &	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Foul away from the shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	2/3 Points Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Act of Violence / Fight	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Free throw shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Game Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
4407774	Shot Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
ANY TIME (11)	PF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
(,	UF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	PF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	UF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	DQF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	DQF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	IRS vs CAM										



Regular Call vs I2M&OTAccuracy

