

FIBA POST GAME REPORT

HUN vs CZE FP629 2023 FIBA WOMENS EUROBASKET



Contents

Note about the data	3
Global Evaluation	
Quality and Consistency	4
Game Information	5
Calls Summary vs Referee	6
Calls vs Teams	
Calls vs Referee	8
Play Situations Break-down	9
Call Average vs Game	
Calls vs Zone and Position	11
IRS vs Game	12
Regular Call vs L2M & OT Accuracy	13



Note about the data

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicized. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams; Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other estates

Global Evaluation

To obtain a final global assessment of referee performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the context of an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can be used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality & consistency

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game Information

	2023 FIBA WOMENS EUROBASKET												
	12:15 22/0												
	%	Total	Calls	Total	%								
Hungary	N/A	15	Fouls	22	N/A	Czech Republic							
	N/A	13	Violations	13	N/A								
HUN	N/A	9	OOB	8	N/A	CZE							
62	N/A	0	Fake	0	N/A	61							
	N/A	0	DOG	0	N/A								
	N/A	28	Total	35	N/A								

Quarters	Quarter 1		Quarter 2		Quar	ter 3	Quar	ter 4	TOTAL		
Score	07	11	22	11	19	17	14	22	62	61	
Duration	00:1	6:15	00:2	1:39	00:2	00:20:15		1:37	01:2	9:46	
Fouls	3	4	3	7	3	5	6	6	15	22	
Violations	4	2	0	4	4	2	5	5	13	13	
OOB	3	1	0	2	3	2	3	3	9	8	
Fake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
DOG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

	REFEREEING STAFF	
KOZLOVSKIS, Martins	MARQUES, Paulo	MACIULIS, Gintaras
(LAT)	(POR)	(LTU)











Calls Summary vs Referee

CALLS 7 (39%) 11 (61%) 10 (43%) 13 (67%) 11 (50%) 28 (44%) 35 (56%) 18 (29%) 23 (37%) 22 (35%) 63 9 (24%) 15 (41%) 13 (35%) 37 DEFENSIVE 8 (25%) 12 (38%) 13 (35%) 12 (38%) 20 (63%) 8 (25%) 12 (38%) 12 (38%) 20 (63%) 12 (38%) 15 (42%) 7 (58%) 12 (38%) 20 (63%) DOUBLE FOUL 0 1 (100%) 3 (60%) 1 (20%) 5 (22%) 7 (58%) 12 (38%) 20 (63%) DOUBLE FOUL UNSPORTSMANLIKE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Types/Referees	CC	U1	U2	TOTAL	CHAMPIONSHIP	FIBA
FOULS 3 (38%) 6 (67%) 5 (40%) 9 (60%) 1 (50%) 1 (35%) 37 DEFENSIVE 3 (38%) 5 (63%) 4 (33%) 8 (67%) 5 (42%) 7 (58%) 12 (38%) 20 (63%) 2 (63%) 12 (38%) 12 (38%) 20 (63%) 2 (67%) 1 (238%) 12 (38%) 32 OFFENSIVE 0 1 (100%) 2 (67%) 1 (33%) 1 (100%) 0 3 (60%) 1 (20%) 5 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CALLS					0	0
Defensive 3 (38%) 5 (63%) 4 (33%) 8 (67%) 5 (42%) 7 (58%) 12 (38%) 32 (63%) 32 (63%) 12 (38%) 12 (38%) 12 (38%) 12 (38%) 32 (63%) 12 (38%) 13 (38%) 13	SALES						
DEFENSIVE 3 (38%) 5 (63%) 4 (39%) 8 (67%) 5 (42%) 7 (58%) 12 (38%) 20 (63%) 8 (25%) 12 (38%) 12 (38%) 32 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	FOULS					0	0
DEFENSIVE	10020				•		
OFFENSIVE O	DEFENSIVE					0	0
1 (20%) 3 (60%) 1 (20%) 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22.2.02					·	
DOUBLE FOUL O O O O O O O O O	OFFENSIVE					0	0
DOUBLE FOUL O		, , ,				·	
UNSPORTSMANLIKE	DOUBLE FOUL		•		_	0	0
TECHNICAL O O O O O O O O O		-		_			
TECHNICAL	UNSPORTSMANLIKE					0	0
TECHNICAL DISQUALIFYING DISQUALIFYING DISQUALIFYING DISQUALIFYING DOB DOB DOB DOB DOB DOB DOB DO		_		_			
DISQUALIFYING 3 (33%) 6 (67%) 4 (33%) 8 (67%) 6 (46%) 7 (54%) 13 (38%) 21 (62%) 9 (26%) 12 (35%) 13 (38%) 34 0 0 0 2 (67%) 1 (33%) 0 0 2 (67%) 1 (33%) 0 3 (100%) 0 3 STEP ON SIDE LINE 4 (44%) 5 (56%) 4 (50%) 4 (50%) 5 (56%) 4 (44%) 13 (50%) 13 (50%) 9 (35%) 8 (31%) 9 (35%) 26 OTHER 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TECHNICAL		•		_	0	0
DISQUALIFYING 9 (26%) 12 (35%) 13 (38%) 34 OOB 0 0 2 (67%) 1 (33%) 0 0 3 (100%) 0 3 (100%) 0 0 3 (3 (100%) 0 0 3 (100%) 0 0 3 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		_		_			
OOB 0 0 2 (67%) 1 (33%) 0 0 2 (67%) 1 (33%) 0 0 3 (100%) 0 0 3 (100%) 0 0 3 (100%) 0	DISQUALIFYING					0	0
STEP ON SIDE LINE 4 (44%) 5 (56%) 4 (50%) 4 (50%) 5 (56%) 4 (44%) 13 (50%							
STEP ON SIDE LINE 4 (44%) 5 (56%) 4 (50%) 5 (56%) 4 (44%) 13 (50%) 13 (50%) 0	ООВ					0	0
STEP ON SIDE LINE 9 (35%) 8 (31%) 9 (35%) 26 OTHER 0							
OTHER 0 <td>STEP ON SIDE LINE</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	STEP ON SIDE LINE					0	0
OTHER 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
VIOLATIONS 4 (44%) 5 (56%) 4 (50%) 4 (50%) 5 (56%) 4 (44%) 13 (50%) 13 (50%) 0	OTHER					0	0
VIOLATIONS 9 (35%) 8 (31%) 9 (35%) 26 TRAVELING 4 (67%) 2 (33%) 3 (50%) 3 (50%) 4 (57%) 3 (43%) 11 (58%) 8 (42%) OTHER 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Fake 0							
TRAVELING 4 (67%) 2 (33%) 3 (50%) 3 (50%) 4 (57%) 3 (43%) 11 (58%) 8 (42%) 6 (32%) 6 (32%) 7 (37%) 19 OTHER 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Fake 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DOG IRS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 IRS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 IRS	VIOLATIONS					0	0
TRAVELING 6 (32%) 6 (32%) 7 (37%) 19 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							
OTHER	TRAVELING					0	
OTHER 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				, ,			
Fake	OTHER			-		0	
Fake				J			
DOG 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Fake				•	0	
DOG 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		,		_	_		
IRS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	DOG					0	
HCC 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		·		_			
HCC 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	IRS				•	0	
		_		_	_		
	HCC					0	0














Calls vs Team

Re	f Teams			HUN	· 62					CZE	· 61					ТОТ	ALS				
S	Assessment	Correct	Incorre	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorre	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorre	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?		
cc	KOZLOVSKIS, Martins (LAT)	7	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	11	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	18	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	CHAMP.	FIBA
U1	MARQUES, Paulo (POR)	10	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	13	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	23	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	AVG	AVG
U2	MACIULIS, Gintaras (LTU)	11	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	11	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	22	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A		
	TOTAL			28 (4	4.4%)			35 (55.6%)					63 (100%)						0.0	0.0	
	CORRECT			N.	/A				N/A					N/A						0.0	0.0
	INCORRECT			N.	/A					N.	/A			N/A						0.0	0.0
	INCONCLUSIVE			N.	/A					N.	/A					N	/A			0.0	0.0
	L2M C	N/A								N.	/A					N	/A			0.0	0.0
	L2M I	N/A								N.	/A					N	/A			0.0	0.0
	L2M?	N/A								N.	/A			N/A						0.0	0.0











Calls vs Referee


Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Quar	ter 3				Qua	rter 4			TOTAL
3 Referees	5'		10	D'	Ę	5'	10	D'	Ę	3	10)'	Į	5'	1	10'	Las	st 2'	TOTAL
KOZLOVSKIS Martins	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	0	2 100%	3 75%	1 25%	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	0	2 100%	0	0	0	0	7 13 35% 65%
Martins (LAT)	3 15'			3 5%	_	2)%	20	•		3 %	3 15	} %	_	2)%		0		0	20 29%
MARQUES	1 100%	0	2 67%	1 33%	1 25%	3 75%	1 17%	5 83%	4 67%	2 33%	1 33%	2 67%	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	0	0	11 14 44% 56%
Paulo (POR)	1 4%		3 12%		4 16%		6 24%			6 24%		3 12%		2 8% 0		0		0	25 36%
MACIULIS Gintaras	1 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	2 25%	6 75%	3 75%	1 25%	3 100%	0	1 25%	3 75%	0	2 100%	0	0	0	0	11 13 46% 54%
Gintaras (LTU)	1 49	%	_	2 %		3 3%	17	4 '%		3 8%	17		_	2 %		0		0	24 35%
TOTAL	3 60%	2 40%	4 50%	4 50%	3 21%	11 79%	7 50%	7 50%	8 67%	4 33%	3 30%	7 70%	1 17%	5 83%	0	0	0	0	29 42% 58%
TOTAL	5 79			3 2%		4)%	1 20	-		2 '%	1 14	0 %		6 %		0		0	69







Play Situations Break-down



Play Situations Table												
Concepts	KOZLOVSKIS	, Martins (LAT)		Paulo (POR)	MACIULIS, G	Intaras (LTU)	Totals					
	HUN	CZE	HUN	CZE	HUN	CZE	HUN	CZE				
Continuous Movement/Drive to basket	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1				
Continuous Movement/Moving Shot	1	1	1	2	2	1	4	4				
Shot	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	2				
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Penetration	1	0	1	1	1	1	3	2				
Perimeter play	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	3				
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Transition	0	0	1	3	0	1	1	4				
Rebound	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	2				
Post Play	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	4				
Key area action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Screen Action	0	0	2	0	1	0	3	0				
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Totals	3	6	6	9	6	7	15	22				





Call Average vs Game



Calls vs Zone and Position

Pos/Zone	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL	CHAMP.	FIBA
C1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7	0.0	0.0
С3	0 (0%)	1 (9%)	0 (0%)	3 (27%)	6 (55%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)	0 (0%)	11	0.0	0.0
T1	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3	0.0	0.0
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7	0.0	0.0
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (19%)	11 (69%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	16	0.0	0.0
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (13%)	9 (60%)	4 (27%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	15	0.0	0.0
Ct	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2	0.0	0.0
Tt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
Lt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	0	3	5	11	31	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	63	0.0	0.0
CHAMP.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
FIBA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		







IRS vs Game

IRS	TOPICS	li	RS .	INIT	TIAL	FOOTAGE	FIN	IAL	DURATION	PROT	OCOL
SITUATIONS	TUPIGS	REF	HCC	CCM	ICCM	INCO	CCM	ICCM	AVG	CCM	ICCM
	IRS vs COMPETITION										
	8" Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
F00	Basket or not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
EOQ (5)	Foul or Not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	OOB Shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Shot Clock Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Goaltending / Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
L2M	OOB	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
(4)	Shot Clock Violation &	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Foul away from the shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	2/3 Points Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Act of Violence / Fight	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Free throw shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Game Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
4 N N / TIN 4 F	Shot Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
ANY TIME (11)	PF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
()	UF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	PF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	UF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	DQF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	DQF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	IRS vs GAME										



Regular Call vs L2M & OT Accuracy

