

FIBA Game Stats

SLO vs FRA GP322 2023 FIBA WOMENS EUROBASKET



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023 FIBA WOMENS E	UROBASKET	
Game	SLO			FRA
Score	68	14-15 / 25-21 / 12-	18 / 17-19	73
Duration	00:19:49	00:22:48 00:20:28	00:32:37	01:35:42
Fouls (IRS)	19 (0)			21 (1)
		GAME INFORMATION		
Stage/Round		Group Phase		GP322
Date		18:00 18/06/2	.023	
Place		ARENA STO	ZICE	
		REFEREEING STAFF		
MATEJEK (CZE	(, Ivor)	TOMIC, Jelena (CRO)	CSENDER, (I	Andrada Monika DEN)
Referee Ins	structor S	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video	Operator
GARZON,Fe (ESP	ernando)	N/A	KOIVL (JLA, Minna FIN)




General Calls Evaluation


Dofo	Teams			Slover	ila 68					Franc	e 73					TOTA	ALS			
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	
СС	MATEJEK, Ivor (CZE)	8	0	0	2	0	0	15	0	0	2	0	0	23	0	0	4	0	0	
U1	J1 TOMIC, Jelena (CRO)		0	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	3	0	0	18	0	0	5	0	0	
U2	CSENDER, Andrada Monika (DEN)	14	0	0	2	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	0	20	3	0	2	0	0	
	TOTAL		30 (46,9%)							34 (53	3,1%)					64 (10	00%)			
	CORRECT		30 (46,9%)				31 (48,4%)						61 (95,3%)							
	INCORRECT			0				3 (4,7%)						3 (4,7%)						
	INCONCLUSIVE			0						C)			0						
	L2M C			6 (9,	4%)					5 (7,	8%)					11 (17	,2%)			
	L2M I			0					0						0					
	L2M?			0						С)			0						








Plays Situation Breakdown




			Ρ	lay	Situ	atic	ns	Tab	le							
0	Totals				MA	TEJEK,	lvor (0	ZE)	TON	ЛС, Je	lena (C	:RO)	CSENDER, Andrada Monika (DEN)			
Concepts	Correct		ct Incorrect			rect		rrect	Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect	
	SLO	FRA	SL0	FRA	SLO	FRA	SLO	FRA	SL0	FRA	SL0	FRA	SLO	FRA	SLO	FRA
Continuous Movement/Drive	_	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0
Continuous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shot	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	2	3	0	-1	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	-1
Perimeter play	5	3	0	0	0	-1	0	0	1	2	0	0	4	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	3	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Post Play	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Key area action	1	3	0	-1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	-1
Screen Action	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-in	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	19	19	0	2	3	9	0	0	6	7_	0	0	10	3	0	2




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Quarter		2nd 0	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:19:49		00:2	22:48	00:2	0:28	00:3	2:37	01:35:42		
Calls	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	4 (29%)	10 (71%)	8 (47%)	9 (53%)	11 (55%)	9 (45%)	30 (47%)	34 (53%)	
Calls	13 (20%)	14 (22%)		17 (2	27%)	20 (3	31%)	64 (100%)		
Fouls	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	8 (57%)	6 (43%)	19 (48%)	21 (53%)	
rouis	8 (2	20%)	9 (2	23%)	9 (2	3%)	14 (3	35%)	40 (63%)		
Travalling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Travelling		0	(0	()	()	()	
Other Violetiene	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	11 (46%)	13 (54%)	
Other Violations	5 (2	21%)	5 (21%)		8 (33%)		6 (2	5%)	24 (3	38%)	
IDE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	
IRS		0	(0	()	1 (10	00%)	1 (2%)		
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			0						0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quarter 1 Quarter 2 Quarter 3 Quarter 4						TO	TAI											
3 Referees	5'		10)'	5	j'	10)'	5'		1	0'	5	,	10	0'	Last 2'		TO	I AL
MATEJEK Ivor	1 50% 5	1 50%	0	1 100%	0	4 100%	0	0	2 100%	0	1 20%	4 80%	0	3 100%	4 67%	2 33%	2 50%	2 50%	8 35%	15 65%
(CZE)	2 9%		1 4%		4 17%		0		2 9%		5 22%		3 13%		6 26%		l .	4 '%		3
	2 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 33%	2 67%	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	0	2 100%	0	0	3 50%	3 50%	2 40%	3 60%	8 44%	10 56%
(CRO)	2 11%		2 11%		3 17%		2 11%		1 69	6		2 %	()		5 3%	5 28%		18 28%	
CSENDER Andrada Monika (DEN)	1 50% 5	1 50%	2 50%	2 50%	1 25%	3 75%	1 100%	0	2 100%	0	3 60%	2 40%	2 100%	0	2 67%	1 33%	2 100%	0	14 61%	9 39%
Monika (DEN)	2 9%		17	1 '%	17	1 '%	4	I %	2 99			5 2%	99	_		3 8%		2 %		3
TOTAL	4 67% 3	2 3%	3 43%	4 57%	2 18%	9 82%	2 67%	1 33%	4 80%	1 20%	4 33%	8 67%	2 40%	3 60%	9 60%	6 40%	6 55%	5 45%	30 47%	34 53%
TOTAL	6 9%			7 %	1 17	1	5		5 8%			2	89			5 8%		1′%	6	4









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	3 (30%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (70%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
С3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (18%)	2 (18%)	7 (64%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11
TI	3 (30%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	3 (30%)	0 (0%)	2 (20%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (22%)	13 (72%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	18
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (64%)	3 (27%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)	11
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	6	0	4	6	35	4	4	0	2	2	0	1	64







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	MATEJEK	Ivor (CZE)	TOMIC Jel	ena (CRO)	CSENDER Andrag	la Monika (DEN)	TO	ΓAL	
	CALLS	8 (35%)	15 (65%)	8 (44%)	10 (56%)	14 (61%)	9 (39%)	30 (47%)	34 (53%)	
	CALLS	23 (36%)	18 (2	28%)	23 (3	36%)	6	4	
	FOULS	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	10 (67%)	5 (33%)	19 (48%)	21 (53%)	
	FOOLS	12 (30%)	13 (3	33%)	15 (3	18%)	4	0	
	DEFENSIVE	2 (22%)	7 (78%)	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	10 (71%)	4 (29%)	16 (47%)	18 (53%)	
	DEFENSIVE	9 (2	6%)	11 (3	32%)	14 (4	1%)	3	4	
	OFFENSIVE	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	2 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	
	OFFENSIVE	3 (5	0%)	2 (3	3%)	1 (1	7%)	6	5	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DOUBLE FOOL	()	()	()	()	
FOULS	LINEDODTEMANITIE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-01	UNSPORTSMANLIKE)	())	()	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	TECHNICAL	()	()	()	()	
	DISQUALIFYING	2 (22%)	7 (78%)	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	10 (67%)	5 (33%)	16 (46%)	19 (54%)	
	DISQUALIFTING	9 (2	6%)	11 (31%)		15 (43%)		3	5	
	OTHER	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	2 (100%)	0	0	0	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	
	OTHER	3 (6	0%)	2 (4	0%))	Ę	5	
	VIOLATIONS	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	11 (46%)	13 (54%)	
	VIOLATIONS	11 (4	16%)	5 (2	1%)	8 (3	3%)	2	4	
N	TRAVELING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Λ Σ	IKAVELING)	())	()	
VIOLATION S	OTHER	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	11 (46%) 13 (54%)		
5	OTHER	11 (4	16%)	5 (2	1%)	8 (3:	3%)	24		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	
	IKS)	Ó)	1 (10	00%)	1		

