

FIBA Game Stats

MNE vs ITA FP426 2023 FIBA WOMENS EUROBASKET



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023 FIBA WOMENS EUR	ROBASKET	
Game	MNE		_	ITA
Score	63	22-08 / 13-14 / 13-09	/ 15-18	49
Duration	00:19:03	00:20:15 00:18:44	00:25:54	01:23:56
Fouls (IRS)	15 (0)			21 (0)
		GAME INFORMATION		
Stage/Round		GROUP PHASE		FP426
Date		21:00 19/06/202	23	
Place		TEL AVIV AREN	IA	
		Redderde NASTANDE		
LISZKA, W (POL	ojciech)	GYÖRGYI, Gizella Viola (NOR)	BAK (I, Kerem ГUR)
Referee Ins	structor	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video	Operator
HERCEG, (CRO	Sinisa)	N/A	LÓPI (I	EZ, Txus ESP)




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams			Montene	gro 63				Italy 49				TOTALS						
Reis	Assessment		Incorrec	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrec	inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
СС	LISZKA, Wojciech (POL)	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	0	22	0	0	1
U1	GYÖRGYI, Gizella Viola (NOR)	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0
U2	BAKI, Kerem (TUR)	0	0	14	0	0	1	0	0	12	0	0	1	0	0	26	0	0	2
	TOTAL			33 (5	5%)					27 (4	5%)				60 (100%)				
	CORRECT			0				0 0											
	INCORRECT			0						C)					0			
	INCONCLUSIVE			33 (5	5%)					27 (4	5%)					60 (10	00%)		
L2M C 0									C)			0						
	L2M I		0					0				0							
	L2M?			1 (1,	7%)					2 (3,	3%)			3 (5%)					








Plays Situation Breakdown




			Р	lay	Situ	atic	ons '	Tab	le							
		Tot	als		11877	A, Wo	j clech	(POL)	GYÖR		zella 1 O R)	Viola	BA	.KI, Ker	rem (TI	IR)
Concepts		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		rect
	MNE	IIA	MNE	ΠA	MNE	IIA	MNE	IIA	MNE	IIA	MINIE	ITA	MNE	IIA	MNE	ΠA
Continuous Movement/Drive		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Continuous	0	0	4	5	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4
Shot	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	3	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Perimeter play	0	0	4	7	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	3
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Rebound	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Key area action	0	0	6	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	2
Screen Action	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-in	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	20	21	0	0	9	6	0	0	2	5	0	0	9	10




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	arter	2nd Q	arter	3rd Q	arter	4th Quarter		To	tal
Duration	00:19:03		00:2	0:15	00:1	8:44	00:2	5:54	01:23:56	
Calls	14 (70%)	6 (30%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	9 (60%)	6 (40%)	4 (31%)	9 (69%)	33 (55%)	27 (45%)
CALLS	20 (33%)		12 (20%)		15 (2	25%)	13 (22%)		60 (100%)	
Fouls	6 (67%)	3 (33%)	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	1 (11%)	8 (89%)	15 (42%)	21 (58%)
routs	9 (25%)		6 (17%)		12 (3	33%)	9 (25%)		36 (60%)	
Thomalling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Travelling)	())	()	0	
Oshow Wallastowa	8 (73%)	3 (27%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	3 (100%)	0	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	18 (75%)	6 (25%)
Other Violations									24 (40%)	
	11 (4	46%)	6 (2	5%)	3 (1	3%)	4 (1	7%)	24 (4	10%)
wic	11 (4 0	46%) 0	6 (2 0	5%) 0	3 (1 0	3%) 0	4 (1 0	7%) 0	24 (4 0	10%) 0
IRS	0	•	0		0		0		•	0
IRS No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0













Calls by Referee

Quarters	Quar	ter 1	Quar	ter 2	Quar	ter 3		Quarter 4		TOTAL	
3 Referees	5'	10'	5'	10'	5'	10'	5'	10'	Last 2'	IUIAL	
IISZKA Wojciech (PUI)	4 100% 0	3 1 75% 25%	2 50% 50%	2 1 67% 33%	3 100% 0	0 1 100%	0 3 100%	0 1 100%	0 0	14 9 61% 39%	
(fui)	4 17%	4 17%	4 17%	3 13%	3 13%	1 4%	3 13%	1 4%	0	23 37%	
GYÜRGYI Gizella Viola	1 2 33% 67%	1 1 50% 50%	1 100% 0	1 100% 0	1 3 25% 75%	0 0	0 1 100%	0 0	0 0	5 7 42% 58%	
(NOR)	3 25%	2 17%	1 8%	1 8%	4 33%	0	1 8%	0	0	12 19%	
RAKI Kerem (TUR)	5 100% 0	0 2 100%	3 4 43% 57%	2 2 50% 50%	0 0	1 2 33% 67%	3 2 60% 40%	1 1 50% 50%	0 0	15 13 54% 46%	
(TUR)	5 18%	2 7%	7 25%	4 14%	0	3 11%	5 18%	2 7%	0	28 44%	
THOUGH Y	10 2 83% 17%	4 4 50% 50%	6 6 50% 50%	5 63% 38%	4 3 57% 43%	1 3 25% 75%	3 33% 67%	1 2 33% 67%	0 0	34 29 54% 46%	
TOTAL.	12 19%	8 13%	12 19%	8 13%	7 11%	4 6%	9 14%	3 5%	0	63	









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TUTAL
C1	2 (25%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (38%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
С3	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	2 (20%)	2 (20%)	5 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
n	2 (33%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
Т3	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	5 (50%)	1 (10%)	2 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
14	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	9 (90%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	8 (62%)	3 (23%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	13
Ct	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2
It	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	4	4	7	5	29	6	0	2	0	0	2	1	60







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	IISZKA Woj	ciech (POI)	GYÜRGYI Gizel	la Viola (NOE)	BAKI Ker	·em(TUE)	TU	TAL.	
	CALLS	14 (64%)	8 (36%)	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	14 (54%)	12 (46%)	33 (55%)	27 (45%)	
	CALLS	22 (3		12 (2			43%)	6		
	FOULS	10 (63%)	6 (38%)	0	5 (100%)	5 (33%)	10 (67%)	15 (42%)	21 (58%)	
	1 0020		14%)	5 (1			42%)	_	6	
	DEFENSIVE	10 (71%)	4 (29%)	0	5 (100%)	5 (33%)	10 (67%)	15 (44%)	19 (56%)	
	DELENSIVE	14 (4	11%)	5 (1	5%)	15 (4	44%)	3	4	
	OFFENSIVE	0	2 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	2 (100%)	
	OFFEINSIVE	2 (10	00%)	O)	(0		2	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DOUBLE FOOL	C)	C)	(0	0		
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
P.	UNSFORTSWANLIKE	()	()	(0	()	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	TECHNICAL	0		()	(0	0		
	DISQUALIFYING	10 (63%)	6 (38%)	0	5 (100%)	5 (33%)	10 (67%)	15 (42%)	21 (58%)	
	DISQUALII TING	16 (4	14%)	5 (1	4%)	15 (4	42%)	36		
	OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	OTTER	((<u> </u>	0	`)	
	VIOLATIONS	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	9 (82%)	2 (18%)	18 (75%)	6 (25%)	
	VIOLATIONS	6 (2	5%)	7 (2	9%)	11 (4	46%)	2	4	
NO	TRAVELING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ATI(TICAVELING	()	((0)	
VIOLATION S	OTHER	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	9 (82%)	2 (18%)	18 (75%)	6 (25%)	
>	OTTLER	6 (2	5%)	7 (2	9%)	11 (4	46%)	24		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	ING	()	()	(0	()	

Total Calls by Ref

TOTALCALLSBYREF_PIE

