

FIBA Game Stats

QSA vs CUS GP102 Liga Sudamericana de Baloncesto Femenino



Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition	L	iga Sudamericana d	e Baloncesto Feme	enino
Game	S QSA			CUS
Score	70	21-13 / 13-08	/ 15-122 / 21-08	41
Duration	00:14:21	00:18:52 00:	15:37 00:18:5	0 01:07:40
Fouls (IRS)	9 (0)			14 (0)
	(GAME INFORMATI	ON	
Stage/Round		Grupo A		GP102
Date		22:00 04	4/05/2023	
Place		L	SBF	
		REFEREEING STA	FF	
LOPEZ MONROY, (Venezue		EZ LONDONO, Carlos (Colombia)	Andres DOS	SANTOS, Alan Tiago (Brazil)
Referee Inst	tructor S	tand-by Referee Instru	uctor \	Video Operator
MOORE,T (USA)	erry	N/A		MAURICIO



General Calls Evaluation


Defe	Teams			Quim	sa 70				Club Un	iversitar	lo San Sli	mon 41				TOT	ALS		
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
сс	LOPEZ MONROY, Jesús Daniel (Venezuela)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	1
U1	U1 VELEZ LONDONO, Carlos Andres (Colombia)		0	7	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	13	0	0	2
U2	2 DOS SANTOS, Alan Tiago (Brazil)		0	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	11	0	0	1
	TOTAL			13 (40	,63%)					19 (59	,38%)				32 (100%)				
	CORRECT		0					0 0											
	INCORRECT			0						C)				0				
	INCONCLUSIVE			13 (40	,63%)					19 (59	,38%)					32 (10	00%)		
	L2M C			0						C)					0)		
	L2M I			0						C)					0)		
	L2M?			1 (3,1	3%)					3 (9,	38%)					4 (12	,5%)		








Plays Situation Breakdown




Play Situations Table																
0		То	tals				NROY, 'enezu		VELEZ An	LOND dres ((ONO, Colomi	Carlos bla)	DOS SANTOS, Alan Tiago (Brazil)			
Concepts	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Con	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect
	QSA	CUS	QSA	CUS	QSA	CUS	QSA	CUS	QSA	CUS	QSA	CUS	QSA	CUS	QSA	CUS
Continuous Movement/Drive	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	2
Continuous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shot	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perimeter play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Post Play	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Key area action	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	6	9	0	0	1	2	0	0	4	3	0	0	1	4






Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Quarter		2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:1	4:21	00:1	8:52	00:1	5:37	00:1	8:50	01:07:40		
Calls	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	2 (22%)	7 (78%)	13 (41%)	19 (59%)	
Calls	6 (1	9%)	6 (19%)		11 (34%)	9 (2	.8%)	32 (100%)		
Fouls	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	2 (22%)	7 (78%)	9 (39%)	14 (61%)	
rouis	5 (22%)		4 (1	7%)	5 (2	2%) 9 (39%)			23 (72%)		
Travalling	0	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
Travelling	0		()	2 (1	00%)	()	2 (0	5%)	
Other Welstiers	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	0	0	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	
Other Violations	1 (1	4%)	2 (2	9%)	4 (57%)		0		7 (22%)		
IDC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	()	(ว	(D	(5	()	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	())		0	()	0		













Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Qua	rter 3				Qua	ter 4			TOTAL	
3 Referees		5	10)'	Ę	5	1	0"			1	10'		5'		0"	Last 2'			TAL
LOPEZ MONROY	1 33%	2 67%	0	0	0	1 100%	0	0	0	1 100%	0	0	0	1 100%	0	0	0	2 100%	1 17%	5 83%
Jesús Daniel (Venezuela)	3 50%				1 17%		0		1 17%			0		1 17%)	33	2 3%		6 1%
	0	0	1 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%	0	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	6 55%	5 45%
Carlos Andres (Colombia)	0		1 9%		2 18%		2 18%		1 9%		9	1 %	-	2 3%	2 18%		2 18%		11 44%	
DOS SANTOS Alan Tiago	0	1 100%	1 100%	0	0	0	0	1 100%	2 67%	1 33%	1 100%	0	0	0	0	1 100%	1 33%	2 67%	4 50%	4 50%
(Brazil)	13	1 3%	1 13	%	()	13	l 3%	38	-	- 13	1 3%		0	13	1 3%		3 3%		8 2%
тота	1 25%	3 75%	2 100%	0	1 33%	2 67%	2 67%	1 33%	2 40%	3 60%	1 50%	1 50%	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	2 29%	5 71%	11 44%	14 56%
TOTAL		4 5%	2 89	-		3 2%		3 2%	؛ 20	-	-	2 %		3 2%	3 12%		7 28%		25	

Calls by Referee









Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (42%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (17%)	3 (25%)	12
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	2
т	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
Т3	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (29%)	4 (57%)	0 (0%)	7
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	4
Ct	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	2	0	0	11	1	1	3	0	2	9	3	32

Calls by Zone and Position





Page 10 of 11



Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees)Y Jesús Daniel zuela)	VELEZ LONDON (Colo		DOS SANTOS AI	an Tiago (Brazil)	тс	TAL		
	CALLS	1 (13%)	7 (88%)	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	13 (41%)	19 (59%)		
	CALLS	8 (2	5%)	13 (4	41%)	11 (3	34%)	:	32		
	FOULS	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	2 (25%)	6 (75%)	9 (39%)	14 (61%)		
	10023	5 (2	2%)	10 (4	43%)	8 (35%)			23		
	DEFENSIVE	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	6 (67%)	3 (33%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	8 (44%)	10 (56%)		
		4 (2	2%)	9 (5	0%)	· · · ·	.8%)		18		
	OFFENSIVE	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)		
	OTTENSIVE	1 (2	0%)	1 (2	0%)	3 (6	0%)		5		
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	DOUBLETOOL		0		0		0		0		
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
FOI	UNSFORTSWANEIRE		0		0		0		0		
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	TECHNICAE		2	(2	(0		0		
	DISQUALIFYING	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	6 (67%)	3 (33%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	8 (44%)	10 (56%)		
	DISQUALITING	4 (2	2%)	9 (5			.8%)		18		
	OTHER	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)		
	OTTIER	1 (2	0%)		0%)	3 (6	0%)		5		
	VIOLATIONS	0	3 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	3 (100%)	0	4 (44%)	5 (56%)		
	VICEATIONS	3 (3	3%)	3 (3	3%)		3%)		9		
NS	TRAVELING	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)		
TIO	HAVEEING	1 (5	0%)	ļ`	<u>,</u>		0%)		2		
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	0	2 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	2 (100%)	0	3 (43%)	4 (57%)		
N	OTTER	2 (2			3%)	2 (2	.9%)	7			
	IRS	0	0	0	0 0		0	0 0			
)		0		0	Ö			

