

FIBA Game Stats

CSI vs QSA GP202 Liga Sudamericana de Baloncesto Femenino



Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition	L	iga Sudamericana de Balo	ncesto Femenin	0
Game	(S) CSI			OSA
Score	67	10-27 / 149-18 / 17-	-21 / 21-23	89
Duration	00:15:34	00:20:14 00:19:41	00:14:35	01:10:04
Fouls (IRS)	14 (0)			11 (0)
	(GAME INFORMATION		
Stage/Round		Grupo A		GP202
Date		22:00 05/05/2	2023	
Place		LSBF		
		REFEREEING STAFF		
PAEZ ARTEAGA (Ecuado		DOS SANTOS, Alan Tiago (Brazil)		ROY, Jesús Daniel enezuela)
	FIN TO			
Referee Inst	tructor S	tand-by Referee Instructor	Vide	o Operator
MOORE,T (USA)	erry	N/A	M	AURICIO



General Calls Evaluation


Defe	Teams		S	portiva It	allana 67	7				Quim	sa 89					TOT	ALS				
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconci.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconci.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?		
сс	PAEZ ARTEAGA, Kristian (Ecuador)	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	1		
U1	DOS SANTOS, Alan Tiago (Brazil)	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0		
U2	LOPEZ MONROY, Jesús Danlel (Venezuela)	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	2	0	0	9	0	0	2		
	TOTAL			21 (58	,33%)			16 (44,44%) 36 (36 (10	100%)						
	CORRECT		0						C)					0	•					
	INCORRECT			0						C)				0						
	INCONCLUSIVE			21 (58	,33%)					16 (44	,44%)					36 (10)0%)	0 0 2			
	L2M C			0						C)					0		0 0			
	L2M I			0						C)					0					
	L2M?			1 (2,7	/8%)					2 (5,	56%)					3 (8,3	33%)				








Plays Situation Breakdown




Play Situations Table																
0		To	tals		PAEZ	ARTEA (Ecua		ristian	DOS S	ANTO: (Bra		n Tlago		NROY, enezu		
Concepts	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect	
	CSI	QSA	CSI	QSA	CSI	QSA	CSI	QSA	CSI	QSA	CSI	QSA	CSI	QSA	CSI	QSA
Continuous Movement/Drive	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Continuous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shot	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perimeter play	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Post Play	0	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
Key area action	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Screen Action	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	12	7	0	0	5	2	0	0	6	3	0	0	1	2






Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Quarter		2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:15:34		00:2	0:14	00:1	9:41	00:1	4:35	01:1	0:04	
Calls	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	20 (56%)	16 (44%)	
Calls	7 (1	9%)	10 (2	28%)	12 (:	33%)	7 (1	9%)	36 (100%)		
Fouls	2 (100%)	0	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	14 (56%)	11 (44%)	
rouis	2 (8%)		9 (3	6%)	9 (3	6%)	5 (2	0%)	25 (6	59%)	
Travalling	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0	0	2 (100%)	0	0	0	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	
Travelling	3 (6	0%)	()	2 (4	0%)	()	5 (1	4%)	
Other \/ieletiene	2 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	
Other Violations	2 (4	0%)	1 (2	.0%)	1 (2	0%)	1 (20%)		5 (14%)		
IDE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	()	()	()	()	0		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	())	()	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Qua	rter 2			Qua	rter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO	TAL
3 Referees	Ę	5	1	0'	Ę	5'		0'	5		1	10'		5'		10'		Last 2'		IAL
PAEZ ARTEAGA	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	0	0	3 50%	3 50%	0	0	3 75%	1 25%	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	8 57%	6 43%
Kristian (Ecuador)	2 14% 0)	()		6 3%	()	4 29%		0			2 1%	7	1 %	14 39%		
DOS SANTOS Alan Tiago	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	4 57%	3 43%	0	0	2 100%	0	0	0	8 62%	5 38%
(Brazil)	2 15% 0		(0 2 15%		0		54	7 1%	()		2 5%	0		13 36%				
	3 100%	0	0	0	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	0	1 100%	0	0	0	3 100%	0	2 100%	4 44%	5 56%
Jesús Daniel (Venezuela)		} %	()	()	-	2 2%	()	11	1 I%	()		3 3%		2 2%		9 5%
TOTAL	5 71%	2 29%	0	0	0	0	5 50%	5 50%	0	0	7 58%	5 42%	0	0	3 43%	4 57%	1 33%	2 67%	20 56%	16 44%
TOTAL	19	7 9%	()	()	-	0 3%	()		2 3%	()		7 9%		3 %	3	6









Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	2 (33%)	6
C3	0 (0%)	2 (29%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (29%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	7
т	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	5
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	5
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	0 (0%)	7
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (80%)	0 (0%)	5
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	4	2	0	6	1	0	0	1	4	14	4	36

Calls by Zone and Position





Page 10 of 11



Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees		\GA Kristian ador)	DOS SANTOS AI	an Tiago (Brazil))Y Jesús Daniel zuela)	то	TAL		
	CALLS	8 (57%)	6 (43%)	8 (62%)	5 (38%)	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	20 (56%)	16 (44%)		
	CALLS	14 (3	39%)	13 (3	36%)	9 (2	5%)	3	6		
	FOULS	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	7 (70%)	3 (30%)	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	14 (56%)	11 (44%)		
	10013	9 (3	6%)	10 (4	40%)	6 (2	4%)	2	5		
	DEFENSIVE	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	6 (75%)	2 (25%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	13 (65%)	7 (35%)		
	DEI ENSIVE	7 (3	5%)	8 (4	.0%)	5 (2	5%)	2	20		
	OFFENSIVE	0	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)		
	OFFENSIVE	2 (4	.0%)	2 (4	.0%)	1 (2	.0%)		5		
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	DOUBLE FOUL		ט))		0		
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
FOI	UNSFORTSWANLIKE	0			0		0		0		
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	TECHNICAL		0	(0		0		0		
	DISQUALIFYING	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	6 (75%)	2 (25%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	13 (65%)	7 (35%)		
	DISCOALII MING		5%)		0%)		5%)		20		
	OTHER	0	2 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)		
	OTTIER	2 (4	0%)	2 (4	0%)	1 (2	0%)		5		
	VIOLATIONS	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	0	2 (100%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)		
	VICEATIONS	5 (5		2 (2	.0%)	3 (3	0%)	1	0		
NS	TRAVELING	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	3 (60%) 2 (40%)			
JIO	INVIELING	· · ·	0%)	1 (2	0%)	1 (2	0%)		5		
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)		
N	UTILK	2 (4	0%)	1 (2	.0%)	2 (4	0%)	5			
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	ing.		0)		0		0		

