

FIBA Game Stats

QSA vs AGU GP302 Liga Sudamericana de Baloncesto Femenino



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition	L	iga Sudameric	ana de Balonc	esto Femenin	0
Game	QSA				AGU
Score	58	19-14 /	09-16 / 12-11	/ 18-16	57
Duration	00:14:18	00:23:22	00:18:53	00:27:46	01:24:19
Fouls (IRS)	16 (0)				16 (0)
	(GAME INFOR	MATION		
Stage/Round		Grupo	A		GP302
Date		22	:00 06/05/202	23	
Place			LSBF		
		REFEREEING	STAFF		
DOS SANTOS, A (Brazil		PEZ MONROY, J (Venezue			TEAGA, Kristian cuador)
					FBR FBR
Referee Inst	tructor S	tand-by Referee	Instructor	Video	o Operator
MARANHO,Crisi (BRA)		N/A		M	AURICIO




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams			Quims	sa 58					Aguad	da 57					TOT	ALS		
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
сс	DOS SANTOS, Alan Tlago (Brazil)	0	0	12	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	15	0	0	3
U1	LOPEZ MONROY, Jesús Daniel (Venezuela)	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	0	14	0	0	2
U2	PAEZ ARTEAGA, Kristian (Ecuador)	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	0	12	0	0	2
	TOTAL			22 (53,66%)					19 (46,34%)							41 (10	00%)		
	CORRECT		0					0						0					
	INCORRECT			0				0						0					
	INCONCLUSIVE			22 (53	,66%)					19 (46	,34%)			41 (100%)					
	L2M C			0						C)			0					
	L2M I			0	1			0						0					
	L2M?			3 (7,3	32%)					4 (9,7	76%)			7 (17,07%)					








Plays Situation Breakdown




	Play Situations Table															
Q	Totals				DOS S		S, Alan azii)	Tlago	LOPE Da	Z MOI niel (V	NROY, enezu	Jesús ela)	PAEZ ARTEAGA, Kristian (Ecuador)			
Concepts		rect	Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect QSA_AGU		Correct		Incorrect		Correct QSA AGU		Incorrect QSA AGU	
Continuous Movement/Drive	QSA 0	AGU 0	QSA 4	AGU 10	QSA 0	AGU 0	QSA 2	AGU 1	QSA 0	AGU 0	QSA 1	AGU 3	QSA 0	AGU 0	QSA 1	AGU 6
Continuous	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Shot	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	3	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Perimeter play	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1
Fast break	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Rebound	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Post Play	0	0	4	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Key area action	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	22	17	0	0	12	2	0	0	6	7	0	0	4	8




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Qu	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal
Duration	00:1	4:18	00:2	3:22	00:1	8:53	00:2	7:46	01:2	4:19
Calls	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	7 (54%) 6 (46%)		3 (33%)	6 (67%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	22 (54%)	19 (46%)
Calls	7 (1	7%)	13 (32%)		9 (2	2%)	12 (2	29%)	41 (100%)	
Fouls	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	16 (50%)	16 (50%)
rouis	7 (22%)		10 (31%)		5 (16%)		10 (3	31%)	32 (78%)	
Travelling	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	2 (67%)	1 (33%)
Traveiling	()	1 (3	3%)	1 (3	3%)	1 (3	3%)	3 (7	7%)
Other Violations	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	1 (100%)	0	4 (67%)	2 (33%)
Other violations	0		2 (3	3%)	3 (5	50%)	1 (1	7%)	6 (1	5%)
Inc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IRS	()	()	(0	0		()
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	()	()	(0	()	0	













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Quar	ter 3				Quar	rter 4			TO	TAI
3 Referees	Į.	5'	10	D"	5		1	0'	Ę		1	0'	5'		10'		Last 2'		TOTAL	
DOS SANTOS	4 100%	0	0	0	5 100%	0	0	0	1 100%	0	0	0	0	0	1 100%	0	1 25%	3 75%	11 100%	0
Alan Tiago (Brazil)	4 36%		()	5 45%		0		1 9%		0		0		1 9%		4 36%		11 35%	
LOPEZ MONROY	0	1 100%	1 100%	0	1 25%	3 75%	0	0	0	1 100%	2 50%	2 50%	0	0	0	0	2 67%	1 33%	4 36%	7 64%
Jesús Daniel (Venezuela)	1 9%		9'	l %	4 36%		0		9	1 9%		4 5%	()	0		3 27%		11 35%	
PAEZ ARTEAGA Kristian	0	1 100%	0	0	1 25%	3 75%	0	0	0	2 100%	0	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	0	2 67%	1 33%	2 22%	7 78%
Kristian (Ecuador)	11	1 %	()	44	•	•)		2 2%	11	1 I%	()	11	1 %		3 3%	1 1))%
TOTAL	4 67%	2 33%	1 100%	0	7 54%	6 46%	0	0	1 25%	3 75%	2 40%	3 60%	0	0	2 100%	0	5 50%	5 50%	17 55%	14 45%
TOTAL		5 9%	3'	I %	1 42		()		1 3%		5 5%	()		2 %		0 2%	3	1









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	3
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
T1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	5
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (43%)	0 (0%)	2 (29%)	0 (0%)	7
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	8 (57%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	2 (14%)	2 (14%)	0 (0%)	14
L5	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (33%)	3 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (33%)	0 (0%)	9
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lt	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
TOTAL	0	1	1	1	17	4	1	1	4	2	9	0	41







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	DOS SANTOS AI	an Tiago (Brazil)	LOPEZ MONRO (Vene			AGA Kristian ador)	TO	ΓAL		
	CALLS	12 (80%)	3 (20%)	6 (43%)	8 (57%)	4 (33%)	8 (67%)	22 (54%)	19 (46%)		
	CALLS	15 (37%)	14 (3	34%)	12 (29%)	4	1		
	FOULS	8 (73%)	3 (27%)	5 (38%)	8 (62%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	16 (50%)	16 (50%)		
	10013		34%)		41%)		5%)	3			
	DEFENSIVE	7 (70%)	3 (30%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	15 (52%)	14 (48%)		
	DLI LIVSIVL	10 (34%)	11 (3	38%)	8 (2	8%)	2	9		
	OFFENSIVE	1 (100%)	0	0	2 (100%)	0	0	1 (33%)	2 (67%)		
	OTTENSIVE	1 (3	3%)	2 (6	7%)	()	3	3		
	DOUBLE FOUL	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	1 (100%)	0	0	0	3 (75%)	1 (25%)		
	DOOBLETOOL	3 (7	5%)	1 (2	5%)	()	4	1		
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	2 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	2 (100%)	0		
Ю	O1451 OKTSIVIAI4EIKE	2 (100%)		())	2	2		
	TECHNICAL	0	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)		
	TECHNICAL	1 (5	0%)	1 (5	0%)	()	2	2		
	DISQUALIFYING	7 (70%)	3 (30%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	15 (52%)	14 (48%)		
	DISQUALII TING	10 (34%)	11 (3	38%)	8 (2	8%)	2	9		
	OTHER	1 (100%)	0	0	2 (100%)	0	0	1 (33%)	2 (67%)		
	OTTER	1 (3	3%)	2 (6	7%)	()	3	3		
	VIOLATIONS	4 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	6 (67%)	3 (33%)		
	VIOLATIONS	4 (4	4%)	1 (1	1%)	4 (4	4%)	q)		
NS	TRAVELING	2 (100%)	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)		
110	HOAVEEING	2 (6	7%)	()	1 (3	3%)	3	3		
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	2 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)		
Š	OTTLK	2 (3	3%)	1 (1	7%)	3 (5	0%)	6			
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	INO)	()		כ	0			

