

# FIBA Game Stats

BUC vs AGU FP101 2023 LSBF F4



### **Contents**

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



### Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

### **Global Evaluation:**

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



# **Quality and Consistency:**

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






# Game – General Information:


Competition			2023 LSBF F4		
Game	BUC				AGU
Score	75	18-22 /	17-14 / 24-21	/ 16-21	78
Duration	00:21:07	00:24:42	00:16:10	00:30:05	01:32:04
Fouls (IRS)	24 (0)				19 (1)
	(	GAME INFOR	MATION		
Stage/Round		FINAL	4		FP101
Date		18	3:00 10/06/202	23	
Place			ARGENTINA		
		REFEREEING	STAFF		
IBARRA BURG (CHI)	OS, Felipe	PRADO, Micae (ARG)	la Ayelen		ICHAUSPE, Ramiro (BRA)
	FRIA .				
Referee Ins	tructor S	tand-by Referee	Instructor	Video	o Operator
USLENGHI, (URU		N/A			FEDE




#### **General Calls Evaluation**


	Teams			Bucar	os 75					Aguad	da 78			TOTALS					
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
СС	IBARRA BURGOS, Felipe (CHI)	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	0	1	0	0	16	1	0	1	0	0
U1	PRADO, Micaela Ayelen (ARG)	4	2	0	0	1	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	7	4	0	0	1	0
U2	MARQUES INCHAUSPE, Ramiro (BRA)	14	0	0	2	0	0	5	3	0	0	2	0	19	3	0	2	2	0
	TOTAL			27 (54%)				23 (46%)						50 (100%)					
	CORRECT		25 (50%)				17 (34%)					42 (84%)							
	INCORRECT			2 (4	%)			6 (12%)						8 (16%)					
	INCONCLUSIVE			0	l			0						0					
	L2M C			2 (4	%)					1 (2	!%)			3 (6%)					
	L2M I			1 (2	%)				2 (4%)					3 (6%)					
	L2M?			0						C	)			0					








#### **Plays Situation Breakdown**





Play Situations Table																	
Concents	Totals				IBAR	IBARRA BURGOS, Felipe (CHI)				O, Mic (Al		yelen	MAR	MARQUES INCHAUSPE, Ramiro (BRA)			
Concepts	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	
	BUC	AGU	BUC	AGU	BUC	AGU	BUC	AGU	BUC	AGU	BUC	AGU	BUC	AGU	BUC	AGU	
Continuous Movement/Drive	5	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	
Continuous	3	4	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	2	0	1	
Shot	4	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Penetration	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Perimeter play	5	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transition	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Rebound	2	2	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	
Post Play	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	
Key area action	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Screen Action	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Help Defense	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Stopping the Clock	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	25	17	2	5	7	9	0	1	4	3	2	2	14	5	0	2	





#### Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

















#### **Calls Summary**

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal
Duration	00:2	1:07	00:2	4:42	00:1	6:10	00:3	0:05	01:3	2:04
Calls	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	8 (53%)	7 (47%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	8 (62%)	5 (38%)	27 (54%)	23 (46%)
Calls	13 (2	26%)	15 (30%)		9 (1	8%)	13 (2	26%)	50 (100%)	
Fouls	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	24 (56%)	19 (44%)
rouis	12 (28%)		12 (	28%)	8 (19%)		11 (26%)		43 (8	36%)
Travelling	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)
Travelling	(	)	(	)	1 (10	00%)	(	)	1 (2	2%)
Other Violations	1 (100%)	0	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)
Other violations	1 (1	7%)	3 (5	0%)	(	)	2 (3	3%)	6 (12%)	
Inc	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)
IRS	~	1 (100%) 00%)		0		0	0 (		0 1 (2	• •
IRS No Call CNC/ICNC	~	<u> </u>								• •













#### **Calls by Referee**

Quarters		Quar	ter 1		Quarter 2					Qua	rter 3				Quai	rter 4			TO	TAL
3 Referees		5'	10	0'	I.o	-	10	0'		j'	10	0'	5	; <b>"</b>	1	0'	Las	t 2'	2	IAL
IBARRA BURGOS	1 25%	3 75%	2 67%	1 33%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	0	2 100%	2 100%	0	0	2 100%	0	1 100%	7 41%	10 59%
Felipe (CHI)	4 24%		18	3 3%	12	_	2 12%		0		2 12%		2 12%		2 12%		1 6%		17 34%	
PRADO Micaela	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	3 75%	1 25%	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	0	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	6 55%	5 45%
Ayelen (Argentina)	1 1 9% 9%		l %	1 4 9% 36%		_	2 3%	9	l %	(	)	9	1 %	9	1 %	11 22%				
MARQUES INCHAUSPE	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%	0	2 40%	3 60%	1 100%	0	2 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%	0	3 50%	3 50%	2 50%	2 50%	14 64%	8 36%
Ramiro (BRA)	_	2 %	2 9'	<u>2</u> %	23 23		5'	1 %	2 9'	2 %	2 9'		9	<u>2</u> %		5 7%		4 3%	2 44	2 !%
TOTAL	2 29%	5 71%	4 67%	2 33%	3 38%	5 63%	5 71%	2 29%	3 75%	1 25%	2 40%	3 60%	4 100%	0	4 44%	5 56%	3 50%	3 50%	27 54%	23 46%
IUIAL	4	7 1%		5 !%	16	3 0%	14	7 1%	8'	1 %	10	5 )%	8'	1 %		9 3%		5 2%	5	0









#### **Calls by Zone and Position**

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9 (69%)	3 (23%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13
С3	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	2 (29%)	2 (29%)	2 (29%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
T1	1 (25%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (89%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
Ct	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
Tt	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	2	5	3	8	28	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	50







#### **Call Types by Referee**

	Types/Referees	IBARRA BURG	OS Felipe (CHI)	PRADO Mic (Arge	aela Ayelen ntina)		HAUSPE Ramiro RA)	TO	TAL		
	CALLS	7 (41%)	10 (59%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	14 (64%)	8 (36%)	27 (54%)	23 (46%)		
	CALLS	17 (	34%)	11 (2	22%)	22 (	44%)	5	0		
	FOULS	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	13 (65%)	7 (35%)	24 (56%)	19 (44%)		
	10013	12 (	28%)	11 (2	26%)	20 (	47%)	43			
	DEFENSIVE	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	12 (63%)	7 (37%)	23 (56%)	18 (44%)		
	DEI ENSIVE	11 (	27%)	11 (27%)		19 (	46%)	4	1		
	OFFENSIVE	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)		
	OFFENSIVE	1 (5	50%)	(	)	1 (5	0%)	2	2		
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	DOOBLETOOL	O O		(	)	Ō		(	)		
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
EQ.	UNSFORTSWANLIKE	0		(	)	(	0	(	)		
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	TECHNICAL	(	0	(	)	(	0	(	)		
	DISQUALIFYING	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	12 (63%)	7 (37%)	23 (56%)	18 (44%)		
	DISQUALII TING	11 (	27%)	11 (27%)		·	46%)	41			
	OTHER	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)		
	OTTER		50%)	(	)	1 (5	0%)		2		
	VIOLATIONS	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)		
	VIOLATIONS	5 (7	(1%)	(	)	2 (2	9%)		7		
NS	TRAVELING	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)		
110	TIVAVELING	1 (1	00%)	(	)		0	•	1		
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)		
>	OTTLEN		7%)	(			3%)	6			
	IRS	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)		
	- 1165	1 (1	00%)	(	)	(	0	1			

