

# **FIBA Game Stats**

MED vs AGU FP202 2023 LSBF F4



# Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



## Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

# **Global Evaluation:**

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



# Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






# Game – General Information:

Competition		2023 LSBF F4										
Game	MED			AGU								
Score	82	27-16 / 17-24 / 15-16	6 / 23-13	69								
Duration	00:19:03	00:27:21 00:17:31	00:30:04	01:33:59								
Fouls (IRS)	22 (1)			22 (0)								
		GAME INFORMATION										
Stage/Round		FINAL 4		FP202								
Date		21:00 11/06/20	23									
Place		LSBF										
REFEREEING STAFF												
PAEZ ARTEAGA (ECU)		DOS SANTOS, Alan Tiago (BRA)	prado, i	Micaela Ayelen (ARG)								
	FIN											
Referee Inst	tructor S	tand-by Referee Instructor	Vide	o Operator								
USLENGUI, (URU)	Hector )	N/A		FEDE								



#### **General Calls Evaluation**


Defe	Teams		Inde	portes A	ntioquia	82		Aguada 69							TOTALS						
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M1	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?		
сс	PAEZ ARTEAGA, Kristian (ECU)	8	1	0	1	0	0	8	0	3	2	0	1	16	1	3	3	0	1		
U1	DOS SANTOS, Alan Tiago (BRA)	7	1	3	0	1	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	11	1	4	0	1	1		
U2	PRADO, Micaela Ayelen (ARG)	5	1	2	0	0	0	6	1	0	2	0	0	11	2	2	2	0	0		
	TOTAL			28 (54	3 (54,9%)				23 (45,1%)						51 (100%)						
	CORRECT	CORRECT								18 (35	,29%)					38 (74	,51%)				
	INCORRECT			3 (5,8	8%)			1 (1,96%)						4 (7,84%)							
	INCONCLUSIVE			5 (9,	8%)			4 (7,84%)						9 (17,65%)							
	L2M C	1 (1,96%)					4 (7,84%)						5 (9,8%)								
	L2M I	1 (1,96%)					0						1 (1,96%)								
	L2M?	1 (1,96%)					1 (1,96%)					2 (3,92%)									




### **Plays Situation Breakdown**


	Play Situat	tions Colum	n Chart							Ρ	lay	Situ	atio	ns	Tab	le								
Transition			5		3				Totals					PAEZ ARTEAGA, Kristiar (ECU)				n DOS SANTOS, Alan Tiago (BRA)				o PRADO, Micaela Ayeler (ARG)		
Continuous Movement/Drive to basket	1			5	2		Concepts		Correct		rrect	Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Inco	rrect	
Continuous Wavement/Woving Shot		4		3				MED	AGU	MED	AGU	MED	AGU	MED	AGU	MED	AGU	MED	AGU	MED	AGU	MED	AGU	
Perimeter play		4		2		C	ontinuous Movement/Drive	1	4	1	2	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	0	1	1	
Penetration		4		2			Continuous	3	2	1	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	
Post Play	2	1 1					Shot	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Rebound	1 1	2					Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Shot	1	2					Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Key area action	2						Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stopping the Clock	1						Penetration	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	
Help Defense	1						Perimeter play	4	1	0	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	
Screen Action	1						Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tons							Transition	2	4	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	
Throw-in						L	Rebound	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	
Free Throws							Post Play	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
							Key area action	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Dead Ball							Screen Action	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Double Team							Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Fast break							Help Defense	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landing						L	Stopping the Clock	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop							Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Manufacturing							Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		3 4	5	6 7			Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PAEZ ARTEAGA (F	CU) DOS SANTOS (BR/					L	Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	CO) -005 3ANTOS (BR)			Totals	20	18	8	5	8	8	1	3	7	4	4	1	5	6	3	1				







#### Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy











Total Calls


16,67 %

U2

U1

#### **Calls Summary**

Quarters	1st Qu	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:1	9:03	00:2	?7:21	00:1	7:31	00:3	0:04	01:33:59		
Calls	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	8 (47%)	9 (53%)	7 (78%)	2 (22%)	8 (53%)	7 (47%)	28 (55%)	23 (45%)	
Calls	10 (20%)		17 (33%)		9 (1	8%)	15 (2	29%)	51 (1	00%)	
Fouls	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	7 (47%)	8 (53%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	22 (50%)	22 (50%)	
rouis	8 (1	8%)	15 (3	34%)	7 (1	6%)	14 (3	32%)	44 (8	36%)	
Terres III e e	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	
Travelling	1 (2	5%)	1 (2	.5%)	1 (2	5%)	1 (2	5%)	28 (55%) 23 (   51 (100%) 22 (   22 (50%) 22 (   4 (8 4   3 (75%) 1 (2   4 (8%) 3 (100%)   3 (100%) 4 (8%)   1 (100%) 4 (8%)   1 (100%) 4 (8%)	3%)	
Other Welstiers	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	3 (100%)	0	
Other Violations	1 (3	3%)	1 (3	3%)	1 (3	3%)	(	)	3 ((	5%)	
IDC	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	
IRS	(	)	(	0	(	)	1 (10	)0%)	1 (2%)		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	0		(	0	(	)	(	)	0		









### **Calls by Referee**

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	<b>ter 2</b>			Qua	rter 3				Qua	rter 4			то	TAL
3 Referees	5	7	1	0'	5		10'		5		1	10'		5'		0'	Last 2'			TAL
PAEZ ARTEAGA	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	3 100%	2 50%	2 50%	3 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	2 40%	3 60%	1 25%	3 75%	9 45%	11 55%
Kristian (Ecuador)	0		-	2 10%		} ;%	20	4 )%	3 15			2 10%		1 5%		5 5%		4 )%		0 9%
DOS SANTOS Alan Tiago	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	3 75%	1 25%	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	2 100%	0	2 100%	0	11 69%	5 31%
(Brazil)	2 13%		-	2 4 13% 25%			2 13%		1	%		2 3%	1 6%		2 13%		2 13%		16 31%	
<b>PRADO</b> Micaela	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	2 67%	1 33%	0	1 100%	1 100%	0	0	0	3 75%	1 25%	0	2 100%	0	2 100%	8 53%	7 47%
Ayelen (Argentina)		<u>2</u> 3%		2 3 13% 20%		1 7%		1 7%		0		4 27%		2 13%			2 3%		5 %	
TOTAL	2 50%	2 50%	3 50%	3 50%	5 50%	5 50%	3 43%	4 57%	5 100%	0	2 50%	2 50%	4 67%	2 33%	4 44%	5 56%	3 38%	5 63%	28 55%	23 45%
TOTAL		4 8%		5 2%	1 20		14	7 1%	5 10			4 8%		6 12%		7 3%	8 16%		5	1









Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
C3	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	5 (71%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
т1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Т3	0 (0%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (30%)	6 (60%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
L5	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	7 (78%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
Ct	1 (14%)	4 (57%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
Tt	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
TOTAL	3	10	5	5	22	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	51

#### **Calls by Zone and Position**






Page 10 of 11



### **Call Types by Referee**

	Types/Referees		AGA Kristian ador)	DOS SANTOS AI	an Tiago (Brazil)	<b>PRADO Mic</b> (Arge	aela Ayelen ntina)	то	TAL		
	CALLS	9 (45%)	11 (55%)	11 (69%)	5 (31%)	8 (53%)	7 (47%)	28 (55%)	23 (45%)		
	CALLS	20 (3	39%)	16 (3	31%)	15 (2	29%)	5	1		
	FOULS	7 (39%)	11 (61%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	8 (57%)	6 (43%)	22 (50%)	22 (50%)		
	10023		41%)		27%)	14 (3		4	-		
	DEFENSIVE	5 (38%)	8 (62%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	19 (53%)	17 (47%)		
	DELENSIVE	•	36%)	11 (3		12 (3		3			
	OFFENSIVE	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)		
	STENSIVE	5 (6	3%)	1 (1	3%)	2 (2	5%)	8	3		
	DOUBLE FOUL	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)		
	DOUBLETOOL	2 (50%)			)	2 (5	0%)	4	ļ		
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)		
FOI	UNSFORTSWAREIRE	2 (6	7%)	(	)	1 (3	3%)	3	3		
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0		
	TECHNICAL	(	0	(	)	1 (10	)0%)	1			
	DISQUALIFYING	5 (36%)	9 (64%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	19 (50%)	19 (50%)		
	DISQUALITING	14 (3	37%)	11 (2	29%)	13 (3	34%)	3	8		
	OTHER	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	3 (50%)	3 (50%)		
	OTTIER	4 (6	7%)	1 (1	7%)	1 (1	7%)		5		
	VIOLATIONS	2 (100%)	0	4 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	6 (86%)	1 (14%)		
	VIOLATIONS	2 (2	9%)	4 (5	7%)	1 (1	4%)		1		
NS	TRAVELING	0	0	3 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)		
TIO	TRAVELING	(	0	3 (7	5%)	1 (2	5%)	4	ļ		
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	2 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	3 (100%)	0		
<pre>N</pre>	UTILK	2 (6		1 (3			)	3	3		
	IRS	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0		
	1113	1 (10	00%)		)	(	)	1			



Total Violations by Ref

