

FIBA Game Stats

MED vs AGU FP202 2023 LSBF F4



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:

Competition		2	023 LSBF F4		
Game	MED				AGU
Score	82	27-16 / 1	7-24 / 15-16	/ 23-13	69
Duration	00:19:03	00:27:21	00:17:31	00:30:04	01:33:59
Fouls (IRS)	22 (1)				22 (0)
		GAME INFORM	IATION		
Stage/Round		FINAL 4			FP202
Date		21:0	00 11/06/202	23	
Place			LSBF		
		REFEREEING S	STAFF		
PAEZ ARTEAGA (ECU)		DOS SANTOS, Ala (BRA)	an Tiago		Micaela Ayelen (ARG)
	FSS	Na de la constant de			
Referee Inst	tructor S	tand-by Referee I	nstructor	Video	o Operator
USLENGUI, (URU)		N/A			FEDE




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams		Inde	portes A	ntloqula	82				Aguad	la 69			TOTALS					
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
сс	PAEZ ARTEAGA, Kristian (ECU)	8	1	0	1	0	0	10	1	0	3	0	0	18	2	0	4	0	0
U1	DOS SANTOS, Alan Tiago (BRA)	9	1	1	1	1	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	13	2	1	1	1	0
U2	PRADO, Micaela Ayelen (ARG)	5	3	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	2	0	0	11	4	0	2	0	0
	TOTAL			28 (54	1,9%)		23 (45,1%)						51 (100%)						
	CORRECT		22 (43,14%)				20 (39,22%)						42 (82,35%)						
	INCORRECT			5 (9,	8%)			3 (5,88%)						8 (15,69%)					
	INCONCLUSIVE			1 (1,9	96%)					0	1			1 (1,96%)					
	L2M C			2 (3,9	2%)					5 (9,	8%)			7 (13,73%)					
	L2M I			1 (1,9	96%)					0				1 (1,96%)					
	L2M?			0						0				0					








Plays Situation Breakdown





	Play Situations Table															
Community	Totals				PAEZ		IGA, KI CU)	ristian	DOS SANTOS, Alan Tlago (BRA)				PRADO, Micaela Ayelen (ARG)			
Concepts	-	rect	Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect	
		AGU			_				MED							
Continuous Movement/Drive	1	4	1	2	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	0	1	1
Continuous	3	3	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
Shot	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Perimeter play	4	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	2	4	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Rebound	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Post Play	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Key area action	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	22	20	6	3	8	10	1	1	9	4	2	1	5	6	3	1





Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

















Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:1	9:03	00:2	7:21	00:1	7:31	00:3	0:04	01:3	3:59	
Calls	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	8 (47%)	9 (53%)	7 (78%)	2 (22%)	8 (53%)	7 (47%)	28 (55%)	23 (45%)	
Calls	10 (2	20%)	17 (33%)		9 (1	8%)	15 (2	29%)	51 (100%)		
Fouls	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	7 (47%)	8 (53%)	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	22 (50%)	22 (50%)	
rouis	8 (18%)		15 (34%)	7 (1	6%)	14 (3	32%)	44 (86%)		
Travelling	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	
Travelling	1 (25%)		1 (25%)		1 (2	5%)	1 (2	5%)	4 (8%)		
Other Violations	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	3 (100%)	0	
Other violations	1 (3	3%)	1 (33%)		1 (33%)		0		3 (6%)		
Inc	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	
IRS	()	(0	()	1 (100%)		1 (2	2%)	
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NO CAIL CINC/ ICINC	()		0	()	Ö		()	













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Qua	rter 3				Quai	rter 4			TO	TAI
3 Referees	5	5'	10	0'	5'		10'		5	•	1	0'	5'		10¹		Last 2'		10	IAL
PAEZ ARTEAGA	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	3 100%	2 50%	2 50%	3 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	2 40%	3 60%	1 25%	3 75%	9 45%	11 55%
Kristian (Ecuador)	0 2 10%		3 15%		4 20%		3 15%		_	2 1 10% 5%		I %	5 25%		4 20%		20 39%			
DOS SANTOS	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	3 75%	1 25%	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	2 100%	0	2 100%	0	11 69%	5 31%
Alan Tiago (Brazil)	2 2 13% 13%		4 25%		2 13%		69	%	_	2 3%	6	l %		2 3%	2 13%		16 31%			
PRADO Micaela	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	2 67%	1 33%	0	1 100%	1 100%	0	0	0	3 75%	1 25%	0	2 100%	0	2 100%	8 53%	7 47%
Ayelen (Argentina)		2 3%	_	2 3%	20	3)%	1 7%		1 79	%	()	I	1 '%		2 3%		2 3%		5 %
TOTAL	2 50%	2 50%	3 50%	3 50%	5 50%	5 50%	3 43%	4 57%	5 100%	0	2 50%	2 50%	4 67%	2 33%	4 44%	5 56%	3 38%	5 63%	28 55%	23 45%
IOTAL	8'	-		5 2%	1 20	0		7 %	10			4 %		5		9 3%		3	5	1









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
C3	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	5 (71%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
T1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Т3	0 (0%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (30%)	6 (60%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
L5	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	7 (78%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
Ct	1 (14%)	4 (57%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
Tt	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
TOTAL	3	10	5	5	22	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	51







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	PAEZ ARTE/ (Ecu:		DOS SANTOS AI	lan Tiago (Brazil)	PRADO Mic (Arge		TO	ΓAL	
	CALLS	9 (45%)	11 (55%)	11 (69%)	5 (31%)	8 (53%)	7 (47%)	28 (55%)	23 (45%)	
	CALLS	20 (39%)	16 (31%)	15 (2	29%)	5	1	
	FOULS	7 (39%)	11 (61%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	8 (57%)	6 (43%)	22 (50%)	22 (50%)	
	10025		11%)	,	27%)	14 (3		4		
	DEFENSIVE	5 (38%)	8 (62%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	19 (53%)	17 (47%)	
	DEI ENSIVE		36%)	11 (31%)		12 (3		3	6	
	OFFENSIVE	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	
	OFFENSIVE	5 (6	3%)	1 (1	3%)	2 (2	5%)	8	3	
	DOUBLE FOUL	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	
	DOOBLETOOL	2 (5	0%)	(0	2 (5	0%)	4	1	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	
Ð	UNSFURTSWANLIKE	2 (6	7%)	(0	1 (3	3%)	:	3	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	
	TECHNICAL	0		0		1 (10	00%)			
	DISQUALIFYING	5 (36%)	9 (64%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	19 (50%)	19 (50%)	
	DISQUALII TING	14 (37%)	11 (29%)	13 (3	34%)	38		
	OTHER	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	
	OTTER	4 (6	7%)	1 (1	7%)	1 (1	7%)	(5	
	VIOLATIONS	2 (100%)	0	4 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	6 (86%)	1 (14%)	
	VIOLATIONS	2 (2	9%)	4 (5	7%)	1 (1	4%)			
NS	TRAVELING	0	0	3 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	
110	TIONVEELING	()	3 (7	(5%)	1 (2	5%)	4	1	
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	2 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	3 (100%)	0	
>	OTTLEN	2 (6			33%))	3		
	IRS	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
	ino-	1 (10	00%)	(0	()	1		

