

FIBA Game Stats

DOM vs CAN GP101 2023 U16 AMERICAS



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		202	3 U16 AMERIO	CAS	
Game	DOM				↓ CAN
Score	57	15-16 /	16-15 / 12-15	/ 14-17	63
Duration	00:19:53	00:24:47	00:20:10	00:27:21	01:32:11
Fouls (IRS)	19 (0)				13 (0)
		GAME INFOR	MATION		
Stage/Round		GROU	P		GP101
Date		12	:30 05/06/202	23	
Place			MERIDA		
		REFEREEING	STAFF		
ANAYA FREILE, (Panam	Julio Cesar na)	Castellon Bar (CUB)	O, Leydys		JI LOPEZ, Alberto Mexico)
	TEM.				
Referee Ins	tructor S	tand-by Referee	Instructor	Video	o Operator
MOORE, (USA)	Геггу)	N/A			FEDE




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams		Dor	ninican F	Republic	57				Canad	da 63					TOT	ALS		
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconci.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
сс	ANAYA FREILE, Julio Cesar (Panama)	12	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	20	0	0	1	0	0
U1	CASTELLON BARO, Leydys (CUB)	9	1	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	15	1	1	1	0	0
U2	ACHUTEGUI LOPEZ, Alberto (Mexico)	5	0	1	3	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	7	1	2	3	0	0
	TOTAL			29 (61	,7%)			19 (40,43%)						47 (100%)					
	CORRECT		26 (55,32%)					17 (36,17%)						42 (89,36%)					
	INCORRECT			1 (2,1	3%)			1 (2,13%)						2 (4,26%)					
	INCONCLUSIVE			2 (4,2	(6%)					1 (2,1	13%)			3 (6,38%)					
	L2M C			3 (6,3	8%)					2 (4,2	26%)			5 (10,64%)					
	L2M I			0						0)			0					
	L2M?			0						0)			0					








Plays Situation Breakdown





			Ρ	lay	Situ	atic	ns '	Tab	le							
Community		To	tals				REILE, J Panama				ON BAI (CUB)		ACHUTEGUI LOPEZ, Alberto (Mexico)			
Concepts		rect	Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect	
		CAN			_		DOM									
Continuous Movement/Drive	6	4	1	2	4	1	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	2
Continuous	3	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
Shot	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	1	4	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perimeter play	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1		0		0	1	1	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	3	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Rebound	4	3	0	0	1	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Post Play	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Key area action	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Screen Action	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	26	17	3	2	12	9	0	0	9	6	2	0	5	2	1	2





Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy
















Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Qu	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:1	9:53	00:2	24:47	00:2	0:10	00:2	7:21	01:32:11		
Calls	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	10 (77%)	3 (23%)	29 (62%)	18 (38%)	
Calls	13 (2	28%)	11 (23%)		10 (21%)	13 (2	28%)	47 (100%)		
Fouls	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	7 (70%)	3 (30%)	19 (59%)	13 (41%)	
rouis	7 (22%)		8 (25%)		7 (22%)		10 (3	31%)	32 (68%)		
Travelling	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	3 (100%)	0	
Traveiling	1 (3	3%)	2 (6	7%))	()	3 (6	5%)	
Other Violations	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	0	1 (100%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	3 (100%)	0	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	
Other violations	5 (4	2%)	1 (8%)		3 (25%)		3 (25%)		12 (2	26%)	
Inc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	()	(0	()	0		()	
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
)	0		()	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Quar	ter 3				Quai	rter 4			TO	TAI
3 Referees	Ę	5'	10	D'	5	31	10	D'	Į.	5'	1	0'	į		10'		Last 2'		TOTAL	
ANAYA FREILE Julio Cesar	1 25%	3 75%	1 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%	0	0	1 100%	4 80%	1 20%	2 67%	1 33%	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	12 60%	8 40%
(Panama)	4 20%		5'	 %	_	2)%	2 10%		1 5%		5 25%		15	3 5%		2)%	5	1 %	20 43%	
CASTELLON BARO Levelus	2 100%	0	2 67%	1 33%	2 100%	0	0	2 100%	0	1 100%	2 67%	1 33%	2 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	11 65%	6 35%
BARO Leydys (CUB)	2 3 12% 18%		2 2 12% 12%		6	1 %	18	3	12	2		2 2%	1 6%		17 36%					
ACHUTEGUI LOPEZ Alberto	0	2 100%	1 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	0	0	0	0	1 100%	0	3 100%	0	3 100%	0	6 60%	4 40%
(Mexico)	2 1 20% 10%		l)%	_	2)%	10	l)%	(0	0		1 10%		3 30%			3)%		0 %	
TOTAL	3 38%	5 63%	4 80%	1 20%	4 67%	2 33%	2 40%	3 60%	0	2 100%	6 75%	2 25%	5 83%	1 17%	5 71%	2 29%	3 60%	2 40%	29 62%	18 38%
TOTAL		3 7%	11		13	5 3%	11	5		2 %	17	3	13	5		7 5%		5	4	7









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
C3	0 (0%)	3 (18%)	1 (6%)	4 (24%)	9 (53%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	17
T1	1 (25%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (75%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
Ct	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1	4	2	5	27	5	1	1	0	1	0	0	47







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees		LE Julio Cesar ama)	CASTELLON BAR	RO Leydys (CUB)		OPEZ Alberto xico)	TO	[AL	
	CALLS	12 (60%)	8 (40%)	11 (65%)	6 (35%)	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	29 (62%)	18 (38%)	
	CALLS	20 (43%)	17 (36%)	10 (2	21%)	4	7	
	FOULS	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	9 (60%)	6 (40%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	19 (59%)	13 (41%)	
	10013	12 (38%)	15 (4	47%)	5 (1	6%)	3	2	
	DEFENSIVE	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	9 (60%)	6 (40%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	18 (60%)	12 (40%)	
	DEI ENSIVE	11 (37%)	15 (50%)	4 (1	3%)	3	0	
	OFFENSIVE	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
	OFFENSIVE	1 (5	0%)		0	1 (5	50%)	2	2	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DOOBLETOOL	()	(0	(0	()	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
EQ.	UNSFORTSWANLIKE	0			0	(0	()	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	TECHNICAL		<u> </u>		0		0	()	
	DISQUALIFYING	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	9 (60%)	6 (40%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	19 (61%)	12 (39%)	
	DISQUALII TING	11 (35%)	15 (4	48%)	5 (1	6%)	3	1	
	OTHER	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
	OTHER		00%)		O .		0	1		
	VIOLATIONS	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	2 (100%)	0	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	10 (67%)	5 (33%)	
	VIODATIONS	8 (5			3%)		3%)	1		
NS	TRAVELING	2 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	3 (100%)	0	
OII)	TIOTVEEITO		7%)		0		3%)	3		
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	2 (100%)	0	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	
>	OTTLEN	6 (5			7%)		3%)	12		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	- 110	()	(0	(0	()	

