

FIBA Game Stats

URU vs BRA GP102 2023 U16 AMERICAS



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		202	3 U16 AMERI	CAS	
Game	* URU				BRA
Score	55	17-21 /	10-22 / 15-20	/ 13-20	83
Duration	00:20:44	00:25:54	00:23:19	00:23:10	01:33:07
Fouls (IRS)	25 (0)				21 (0)
		GAME INFOR	MATION		
Stage/Round		GROUI	P		GP102
Date		15	:00 05/06/20	23	
Place			MERIDA		
		REFEREEING	STAFF		
DE LA ROSA ALVAI (Puerto R		SELMO KRIVOKA (Argentir	NPICH, Franco na)		'. DIAZ, Jesus (MEX)
			Sea Control of the Co		
Referee Inst	tructor S	tand-by Referee	Instructor	Vide	o Operator
CARRION, Jos (PUR)	e Anibal	N/A		А	xel Coll




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams			Urugu	ay 55					Braz	II 83			TOTALS					
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
СС	DE LA ROSA ALVAREZ, Carmelo (Puerto Rico)		0	2	0	0	1	10	0	0	1	0	0	22	0	2	1	0	1
U1	ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH, Franco (Argentina)	4	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	9	0	1	1	0	0
U2	U2 LOPEZ DIAZ, Jesus (MEX)		0	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	14	0	1	0	0	0
	TOTAL			28 (57.14%)					21 (42.86%)						49 (100%)				
	CORRECT		25 (51.02%)				20 (40.82%)					45 (91.84%)							
	INCORRECT			0				0						0					
	INCONCLUSIVE			3 (6.1	2%)					1 (2.0)4%)			4 (8.16%)					
	L2M C			0						2 (4.0	08%)			2 (4.08%)					
	L2M I			0				0						0					
	L2M?			1 (2.0)4%)					0)			1 (2.04%)					








Plays Situation Breakdown




			Ρ	lay	Situ	atic	ns '	Tab	le								
Consents	Totals						A ALVA uerto		KRIV		LMO CH, Fr	anco	LOPEZ	LOPEZ DIAZ, Jesus (MEX)			
Concepts	Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		
Continuous Movement/Drive	URU 13	BRA 7	URU 1	BRA 0	URU 7	BRA 5	URU 1	BRA 0	URU 1	BRA 0	URU 0	BRA 0	URU 5	BRA 2	URU	BRA 0	
Continuous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Shot	3	4	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	
Manufacturing	4	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Penetration	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Perimeter play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transition	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Rebound	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Key area action	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Screen Action	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Throw-in	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	25	19	3	1	12	10	2	0	4	4	1	0	9	5	0	1	




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Quarter		2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:2	0:44	00:2	5:54	00:2	3:19	00:2	3:10	01:3	3:07	
Calls	8 (67%)	4 (33%)	8 (62%)	5 (38%)	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	28 (57%)	21 (43%)	
Calls	12 (2	24%)	13 (27%)		13 (2	27%)	11 (2	22%)	49 (100%)		
Fouls	8 (67%)	4 (33%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	25 (54%)	21 (46%)	
rouis	12 (26%)		12 (26%)		11 (24%)		11 (2	24%)	46 (94%)		
Travelling	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	2 (100%)	0	
Traveiling	(0	1 (5	0%)	1 (5	0%)	()	2 (4	4%)	
Other Violations	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
Other violations	(0	()	1 (10	00%)	()	1 (2%)		
Inc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	(0	()	()	()	()	
No Coll CNC /ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	(Ò	()	()	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quarter 1				Quar	ter 2			Qua	rter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO'	rai -
3 Referees	5	5"	10	D'	5	5"	10	0'	Ę		10	0'		; "	10'		Last 2'		2	AL
DE LA ROSA ALVAREZ	1 100%	0	3 75%	1 25%	0	1 100%	2 50%	2 50%	1 25%	3 75%	2 67%	1 33%	4 80%	1 20%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	14 58%	10 42%
Carmelo (Puerto Rico)	4	1 %	l	1 '%	4	1 %	17	4 7%		1 '%	13	3 3%	! 21	5 %		2 %	_	2 %	2 49	-
ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH	0	0	2 67%	1 33%	0	1 100%	1 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	5 50%	5 50%
Franco (Argentina)	()	30	3)%	10	l)%	10	1)%		2)%	10	l)%	10	l)%	10	1)%	10	1)%	1 20	0)%
LOPEZ DIAZ	1 33%	2 67%	1 100%	0	3 75%	1 25%	2 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	0	0	9 60%	6 40%
Jesus (MEX)	20	3)%	7'	l %	27	1 '%	13	2 3%		2 3%	7'	l %	13 13	2 3%)	Ú	0	1 31	5 %
TOTAL	2 50%	2 50%	6 75%	2 25%	3 50%	3 50%	5 71%	2 29%	3 38%	5 63%	3 60%	2 40%	5 63%	3 38%	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	28 57%	21 43%
TOTAL	8	•		3	12	2%	14	7 %		3	10	5)%	16	3		3 %	6	3 %	4	9









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (86%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
С3	0 (0%)	2 (10%)	3 (15%)	6 (30%)	7 (35%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	20
T1	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5
Т3	1 (14%)	4 (57%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	6
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	2	8	3	7	23	1	1	0	0	1	1	2	49







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	DE LA ROSA AL' (Puerte	VAREZ Carmelo o Rico)	ANSELMO KRIVO (Arge		LOPEZ DIAZ	Jesus (MEX)	TO	ΓAL		
	CALLS	14 (58%)	10 (42%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	9 (60%)	6 (40%)	28 (57%)	21 (43%)		
	CALLS	24 (4	19%)	10 (2	20%)	15 (3	31%)	4	9		
	FOULS	14 (58%)	10 (42%)	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	25 (54%)	21 (46%)		
	FOOL3	24 (52%)	9 (20%)		13 (2	28%)	4	6		
	DEFENSIVE	13 (59%)	9 (41%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	21 (58%)	15 (42%)		
	DEI ENSIVE	22 (6	51%)	8 (2	2%)	6 (1	7%)	3	6		
	OFFENSIVE	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (100%)	0	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)		
	OFFENSIVE	2 (2	0%)	1 (1	0%)	7 (7	0%)	1	0		
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)		
	DOOBLETOOL	1 (10	00%)	()	()				
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)		
Ю	O1451 OKTSIVIAI4EIKE	1 (100%)		()		<u>, </u>	•			
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	TECHNICAL	0		0		0		()		
	DISQUALIFYING	13 (59%)	9 (41%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	21 (58%)	15 (42%)		
	DISQUALII TING		51%)	8 (2	2%)	6 (1	7%)	36			
	OTHER	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (100%)	0	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)		
	OTHER	2 (2			0%)		0%)	1			
	VIOLATIONS	0	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	3 (100%)	0		
	VIODATIONS	(3%)		7%)	:			
SNS	TRAVELING	0	0	0	0	2 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0		
ATIO	TIVALLING	()	2 (10		2			
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0		
>	OTTLER	(00%))	1			
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	110	()	()	()	0			

