

FIBA Game Stats

CAN vs URU GP202 2023 U16 AMERICAS



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023	3 U16 AMERI	CAS	
Game	↓ CAN				WRU
Score	75	22-16 / 1	16-17 / 13-16	/ 24-16	65
Duration	00:17:45	00:27:01	00:17:31	00:26:53	01:29:10
Fouls (IRS)	14 (0)				20 (0)
	(SAME INFORM	MATION		
Stage/Round		GROUP			GP202
Date		15:	00 06/06/20	23	
Place			MERIDA		
		REFEREEING	STAFF		
ANAYA FREILE, (Panam	Julio Cesal	GRÓN REBOLLED Andrés (Chile)			DIAZ, Jesus (MEX)
Referee Ins	tructor S	tand-by Referee	Instructor	Video	o Operator
MOORE,1 (USA)	「erry)	N/A		А	xel Coll




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams			Canac	la 75					Urugu	ay 65					TOT	ALS		
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconci.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
сс	ANAYA FREILE, Julio Cesar (Panama)	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	13	0	1	0	0	0
U1	U1 NEGRÓN REBOLLEDO, Sebastián Andrés (Chile)		0	0	0	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	11	0	1	0	0	0
U2	U2 LOPEZ DIAZ, Jesus (MEX)		0	0	1	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	13	0	1	1	0	0
	TOTAL			17 (42.5%)					23 (57.5%)						40 (100%)				
	CORRECT		17 (42.5%)				20 (50%)						37 (92.5%)						
	INCORRECT			0				0						0					
	INCONCLUSIVE			0	1					3 (7.	5%)			3 (7.5%)					
	L2M C			1 (2.	5%)					C)			1 (2.5%)					
	L2M I		0					0						0					
	L2M?			0						0)			0					








Plays Situation Breakdown




			Ρ	lay ·	Situ	atio	ns '	Tab	le								
Companie		To	tals				EILE, J Panam			RÓN R tlán A			LOPEZ	LOPEZ DIAZ, Jesus (MEX)			
Concepts	Correct CAN URU		Incorrect CAN URU		Correct		Incorrect CAN URU		Correct CAN URU		Incorrect CAN URU		Correct		Incorrect CAN URL		
Continuous Movement/Drive	10	URU 8	CAN 0	URU 2	CAN 4	URU 4	CAN 0	URU 0	CAN 2	URU 3	CAN 0	URU 1	CAN 4	UKU 1	CAN	UKU 1	
Continuous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Shot	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	
Manufacturing	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landing	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Penetration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Perimeter play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transition	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Rebound	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	
Post Play	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Key area action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Screen Action	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	15	17	0	3	4	6	0	1	4	6	0	1	7	5	0	1	




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	To	tal
Duration	00:1	7:45	00:2	?7:01	00:1	7:31	00:2	:6:53	01:2	9:10
Calls	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	4 (33%)	8 (67%)	17 (43%)	23 (58%)
Calls	9 (23%)		12 (30%)		7 (1	8%)	12 (30%)	40 (100%)	
Fouls	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	2 (25%)	6 (75%)	14 (41%)	20 (59%)
rouis	7 (2	1%)	12 (35%)		7 (2	1%)	8 (24%)		34 (85%)	
Travelling	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)
Traveiling	1 (5	0%)	(0)	1 (5	60%)	2 (!	5%)
Other Violations	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)
Other violations	1 (2	5%)	(0	(5	3 (7	75%)	4 (10%)	
ine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IRS	()	(0	()	0		()
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	()		0	()	(0	0	













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Quai	rter 3				Quai	rter 4			TO	TAI
3 Referees	5	5'	10	D"	5	5'		0'	5	5"	1	0'	Ę	5'	10'		Last 2'		10	IAL
ANAYA FREILE Julio Cesar	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 33%	2 67%	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	6 43%	8 57%
(Panama)	2 14	2 %	14 14	2 %	21	} %	7'	1 %	7'	l %	7'	1 %		2 %	_	2 1%	()		4 5%
NEGRÓN REBOLLEDO Sebastián	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	2 100%	0	1 100%	2 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	0	3 100%	0	0	4 33%	8 67%
Sebastián Andrés (Chile)	()	17	<u>2</u> '%	17	<u>2</u> '%	8'	1 %	_	2 '%	_	2 '%	()		3 5%	()		2)%
LOPEZ DIAZ	0	0	1 33%	2 67%	0	0	3 60%	2 40%	0	0	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	2 50%	2 50%	1 100%	0	7 50%	7 50%
Jesus (MEX)	C)	21	3 ∣%	C)	36 36	5 5%	Ü)	7'	1 %	7	1 %		4 9%	7'	1 %		4 5%
TOTAL	1 50%	1 50%	3 43%	4 57%	1 20%	4 80%	4 57%	3 43%	2 67%	1 33%	2 50%	2 50%	1 33%	2 67%	3 33%	6 67%	1 100%	0	17 43%	23 58%
TOTAL	2 5	_	18	7 3%	13	5	18	7 3%		3 %	10	4)%		3 %		9	3'	1	4	0









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5
T1	3 (43%)	3 (43%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	12 (86%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	14
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (80%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	5
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
TOTAL	3	3	5	3	23	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	40







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	ANAYA FREII (Pana	E Julio Cesar ama)	NEGRÓN REBOL Andrés		LOPEZ DIAZ	Jesus (MEX)	TO	TAL	
	CALLS	6 (43%)	8 (57%)	4 (33%)	8 (67%)	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	17 (43%)	23 (58%)	
	GALLS	14 (3		12 (3		14 (3	35%)		0	
	FOULS	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	14 (41%)	20 (59%)	
	1002		32%)	11 (3		12 (3	35%)	-	4	
	DEFENSIVE	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	13 (42%)	18 (58%)	
	DEI ENSIVE	11 (35%)		9 (2		11 (3		3	1	
	OFFENSIVE	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	
	OFFENSIVE	()	2 (6	7%)	1 (3	3%)		3	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
	DOOBLETOOL	1 (10	00%)	()	()	•	1	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
Б	ONSI OKTSIVIANEIKE	1 (10	00%)	()	()	•	1	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	TECHNICAL	()	()	()	(ם כ	
	DISQUALIFYING	4 (36%)	7 (64%)	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	13 (42%)	18 (58%)	
	DISCOALII TING	11 (3	35%)	9 (2	9%)	11 (3	35%)	3	1	
	OTHER	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	
	OTTER	()	2 (6	7%)	1 (3	3%)	;	3	
	VIOLATIONS	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	
	VIOLATIONS	3 (5	0%)	1 (1	7%)	2 (3	3%)	(5	
NS	TRAVELING	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	
110	TRAVELING	1 (5	0%)	()	1 (5	0%)	:	2	
VIOLATIONS	OTHED	2 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	
Š	OTHER	2 (5	0%)	1 (2	5%)	1 (2	5%)		4	
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	IN-3	()	()	()	Ö		

