

FIBA Game Stats

URU vs DOM GP302 2023 U16 AMERICAS



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		202	3 U16 AMERIO	CAS								
Game	* URU				DOM							
Score	76	16-14 /	20-19 / 19-24	/ 21-20	77							
Duration	00:14:59	00:20:50	00:20:50	00:26:55	01:23:34							
Fouls (IRS)	14 (0)				22 (0)							
	(SAME INFOR	MATION									
Stage/Round		GROU)		GP302							
Date		15	:00 07/06/20	23								
Place			MERIDA									
		REFEREEING	STAFF									
ANSELMO KRIVOKA (Argenti	ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH, Franco (Argentina) NEGRÓN REBOLLEDO, Sebastián ACHUTEGU (Chile)											
	FEB.											
Referee Inst	tructor S	tand-by Referee	Instructor	Video	o Operator							
CARRION DIA; (PUR)	z, Jose a)	N/A		А	xel Coll							




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams			Urugu	ay 76				Dor	ninican i	Republic	77				TOT	ALS		
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
сс	CC ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH, Franco (Argentina)		0	1	1	0	0	8	0	0	2	0	0	14	0	1	3	0	0
U1	NEGRÓN REBOLLEDO, Sebastián Andrés (Chile)	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	1	0	0	0	12	0	1	0	0	0
U2	ACHUTEGUI LOPEZ, Alberto (Mexico)	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL			17 (38	.64%)			27 (61.36%)								44 (10	00%)		
	CORRECT		16 (36.36%)				26 (59.09%)						42 (95.45%)						
	INCORRECT			0				0						0					
	INCONCLUSIVE			1 (2.2	?7%)					1 (2.2	27%)			2 (4.55%)					
	L2M C			1 (2.2	27%)					2 (4.5	55%)			3 (6.82%)					
	L2M I			0						0)			0					
	L2M?			0						0)			0					








Plays Situation Breakdown




			Ρ	lay ·	Situ	atio	ns '	Tab	le							
Composite		To		KRIV	ANSE OKAPI		anco		RÓN R tlán A			ACHUTEGUI LOPEZ, Alberto (Mexico)				
Concepts		Tect		rrect	-	rect		rrect		rect	-	rrect	-	rect	-	rrect
Continuous Movement/Drive	URU 6	DOM 13	URU	DOM 1	URU 2	DOM 4	URU	DOM 0	URU 1	DOM 4	URU	DOM 1	URU 3	DOM 5	URU	DOM 0
Continuous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shot	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perimeter play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Rebound	2	4	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Key area action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	12	20	1	1	4	7	1	0	3	6	0	1	5	7	0	0




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	To	tal
Duration	00:1	4:59	00:2	0:50	00:2	0:50	00:2	:6:55	01:2	3:34
Calls	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	4 (33%)	8 (67%)	7 (41%)	10 (59%)	17 (39%)	27 (61%)
Calls	8 (1	8%)	7 (16%)		12 (27%)	17 (39%)	44 (100%)	
Fouls	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	3 (30%)	7 (70%)	6 (43%)	8 (57%)	14 (39%)	22 (61%)
rouis	6 (17%)		6 (17%)		10 (28%)		14 (39%)	36 (82%)	
Travelling	0	2 (100%)	0	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)
Traveiling	2 (5	0%)		0		0	2 (5	60%)	4 (9%)
Other Violetiens	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)
Other Violations	(Ö	1 (2	25%)	2 (5	50%)	1 (2	25%)	4 (9%)	
Inc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IRS	(0	(0	(0	(0	()
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	(Ò	(0	(0	(0	0	













Calls by Referee

Quarters	Quarter 1					Quar	ter 2			Quai	ter 3				Quai	ter 4			TOTAL	
3 Referees	5	3"	1	0'	Ę	5'	10	0'	Į	5"	1	0'	5	5'		0"	Last 2'		10	IAL
ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	0	0	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	2 50%	2 50%	1 33%	2 67%	7 47%	8 53%
Franco (Argentina)	2 13	<u>2</u> 3%	7	1 %	_	2 3%	Ü)	Ü)		3)%	20	3)%		1 '%		3)%		5 !%
NEGRÓN REBOLLEDO Sebastián	0	1 100%	0	2 100%	0	1 100%	0	0	0	0	1 25%	3 75%	1 33%	2 67%	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	3 23%	10 77%
Andrés (Chile)	8	l %		2 5%	8	1 %	O)	()	31	4 %	23	3 3%		2 5%	()		3)%
ACHUTEGUI LOPEZ Alberto	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	2 67%	1 33%	0	2 100%	2 67%	1 33%	0	3 100%	2 100%	0	0	0	7 44%	9 56%
(Mexico)	6	l %	6	1 %	6	1 %		3 9%		2 3%		3 9%		3 9%		2 3%	()		6 %
TOTAL	2 50%	2 50%	1 25%	3 75%	1 25%	3 75%	2 67%	1 33%	0	2 100%	4 40%	6 60%	2 22%	7 78%	5 63%	3 38%	1 33%	2 67%	17 39%	27 61%
IUIAL	9'	-		4 %	9'	4 %	7'	3	_	2 %		0 3%	20)%		3%		3 %	4	4









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (78%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
C3	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
T1	3 (60%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5
Т3	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	6
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	6 (86%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9 (90%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	3	6	2	3	25	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	44







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	ANSELMO KRIV (Arge		NEGRÓN REBOL Andrés			OPEZ Alberto xico)	TO	[AL	
	CALLS	7 (47%)	8 (53%)	3 (23%)	10 (77%)	7 (44%)	9 (56%)	17 (39%)	27 (61%)	
	OALLS		34%)	,	30%)	16 (36%)	4	4	
	FOULS	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	14 (39%)	22 (61%)	
	10013		36%)		33%)		31%)	3	•	
	DEFENSIVE	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	2 (20%)	8 (80%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	13 (39%)	20 (61%)	
	DEI ENGIVE	13 (39%)	,	30%)	10 (30%)	3	3	
	OFFENSIVE	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	
	OTTENSIVE	()	2 (6	7%)	1 (3	3%)	3	8	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DOODLETOOL	()	(<u>, </u>	(0	()	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Ю	ONSI OKISIVIANLIKE	()	()		0	()	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	TEOTIMOAL	(<u>, </u>		0	()	
	DISQUALIFYING	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	2 (18%)	9 (82%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	13 (37%)	22 (63%)	
	DISQUALII TINO	13 (37%)	11 (31%)	11 (31%)	3	5	
	OTHER	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
	OTTLER	()	1 (10	00%)	(0	1		
	VIOLATIONS	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	
	VIOLATIONS	2 (2	5%)	1 (1	3%)	5 (6	3%)	8	3	
NS	TRAVELING	0	0	0	1 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	
TIO	TIONVELING	()	1 (2	5%)	3 (7	(5%)	4	l .	
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	
>	OTTLER	2 (5)		0%)	4		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	IIIO)	(כ	(0	Ö		

