

FIBA Game Stats

USA vs URU FP103 2023 U16 AMERICAS



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		2023	3 U16 AMERI	CAS	
Game	USA				* URU
Score	123	35-16 /	30-12 / 25-9	/ 33-8	45
Duration	00:18:10	00:20:32	00:15:40	00:16:30	01:10:52
Fouls (IRS)	11 (0)				20 (0)
		GAME INFORM	MATION		
Stage/Round		FP103			FP103
Date		17:	30 09/06/20	23	
Place			MERIDA		
		REFEREEING	STAFF		
ANAYA FREILE, (Panan		CASTELLON BAR (CUB)	O, Leydys	RAMOS JIMÉ (Domini	NEZ, Johan Miguel can Republic)
Referee Ins	tructor S	tand-by Referee	Instructor	Video	Operator Operator
CARRION,Jos (PUR	se Anibal)	N/A		A	xel Coll




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams			USA	123					Urugu	ay 45			TOTALS					
Keis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
СС	ANAYA FREILE, Julio Cesar (Panama)	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	10	0	0	1	0	0
U1	CASTELLON BARO, Leydys (CUB)	7	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	1	0	0	17	0	0	1	0	0
U2	RAMOS JIMÉNEZ, Johan Miguel (Dominican Republic)	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL		15 (40.54%)					22 (59.46%)						37 (100%)					
	CORRECT		15 (40.54%)					22 (59.46%)					37 (100%)						
	INCORRECT			0				0						0					
	INCONCLUSIVE			0				0						0					
	L2M C			0						2 (5.4	11%)			2 (5.41%)					
	L2M I			0				0						0					
	L2M?			0						0				0					








Plays Situation Breakdown




			Ρ	lay ·	Situ	atic	ns '	Tab	le							
Concents		Tot	tals				EILE, J Panam			STELL(Leydys			RAMOS JIMÉNEZ, Johan Miguel (Dominican			
Concepts	Correct USA URU		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect USA URU		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect USA URI	
Continuous Movement/Drive	USA 4	11	USA 0	ORU O	USA 0	URU 2	OSA O	OKU O	USA 3	UKU 5	OSA	ORU O	USA 1	UKU 4	USA 0	UKU 0
Continuous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shot	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perimeter play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	3	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Post Play	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Key area action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	11	17	0	0	5	2	0	0	5	10	0	0	1	5	0	0




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd C	luarter	4th Q	uarter	To	tal	
Duration	00:18:10		00:2	0:32	00:1	15:40	00:1	6:30	01:10:52		
Calls	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	6 (43%)	8 (57%)	0	5 (100%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	15 (41%)	22 (59%)	
Calls	9 (2	4%)	14 (38%)		5 (1	14%)	9 (2	4%)	37 (100%)		
Fouls	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	3 (30%)	7 (70%)	0 4 (100%)		4 (50%)	4 (50%)	11 (35%)	20 (65%)	
rouis	9 (29%)		10 (32%)		4 (13%)		8 (2	6%)	31 (84%)		
Travelling	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
Traveiling	()	(0	1 (1	00%)	()	1 (3%)	
Other Violations	0	0	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	
Other violations	()	4 (8	30%)		0	1 (2	0%)	5 (14%)		
Inc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	()	(0		0	0		(0	
No Coll CNC /ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	()		0		0	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Quar	ter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO.	ra i
3 Referees	Į.	5'	1	0'	5	5"	10	0'	Į	5"	1	0'		3 1	1	0'	Las	st 2'	TOTAL	
ANAYA FREILE Julio Cesar	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	1 33%	2 67%	2 100%	0	0	0	0	0	2 100%	0	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	6 60%	4 40%
(Panama)		2 20%		ס	3 30%		2 20%		0		0		2 20%		1 10%		1 10%		10 27%	
CASTELLON BARO Leydys	2 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	1 25%	3 75%	0	2 100%	0	1 100%	2 67%	1 33%	0	2 100%	0	1 100%	7 41%	10 59%
(CUB)	2 12%		2 12%		1 6%		4 24%			2 2%	6	1		3	2 12%		1 6%		17 46%	
RAMOS JIMÉNEZ Johan Miguel	0	2 100%	0	1 100%	1 33%	2 67%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	0	0	0	2 20%	8 80%
Johan Miguel (Dominican Republic)		2)%	10	1)%	1	3	10	1)%	10	1	10	1 0%	()	10	1		0		0
TOTAL	3 50%	3 50%	1 33%	2 67%	3 43%	4 57%	3 43%	4 57%	0	3 100%	0	2 100%	4 80%	1 20%	1 25%	3 75%	0	2 100%	15 41%	22 59%
TOTAL		5 5%		3	19	7 9%	19	7		3 %		2 %	1	5 %		4 1%		2 %	3	7









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	5
C3	0 (0%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	4 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
T1	2 (33%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (33%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
Ct	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
Tt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
TOTAL	2	3	3	5	18	3	1	0	1	0	0	1	37







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	ANAYA FREIL (Pana		CASTELLON BAI	RO Leydys (CUB)		EZ Johan Miguel n Republic)	TOTAL		
	CALLS	6 (60%)	4 (40%)	7 (41%)	10 (59%)	2 (20%)	8 (80%)	15 (41%)	22 (59%)	
	CALLS	10 (2	27%)	17 (46%)	10 (27%)	3	7	
	FOULS	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	5 (33%)	10 (67%)	1 (14%)	6 (86%)	11 (35%)	20 (65%)	
	1000	9 (2	9%)	15 (48%)	7 (2	23%)	3	1	
	DEFENSIVE	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	5 (36%)	9 (64%)	1 (17%)	5 (83%)	11 (38%)	18 (62%)	
	DEI ENSIVE	9 (3	1%)	14 (48%)	6 (2	21%)	2	9	
	OFFENSIVE	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	
	OFFENSIVE	()	1 (5	0%)	1 (5	50%)		2	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DOOBLETOOL	()	1	0		0	()	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
EQ.	UNSFORTSWANLIKE	()		0		0)	
	TECHNICAL	TECHNICAL 0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
	TECHNICAL	Ö		0		0		()	
	DISQUALIFYING	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	5 (36%)	9 (64%)	1 (14%)	6 (86%)	11 (37%)	19 (63%)	
	DISCOALII TING	9 (3	0%)	14 (47%)	7 (2	23%)	30		
	OTHER	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
	OTTER	()	1 (1	00%)		0	•		
	VIOLATIONS	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	
	VIOLATIONS	1 (1	7%)	2 (3	3%)	3 (5	0%)	(5	
NS	TRAVELING	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	
VIOLATIONS	TIONVEELING	()		0	1 (1	00%)	•	1	
OLA	OTHER	OTUED 1 (100%) 0		2 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	4 (80%) 1 (20%)		
>	OTTLIK	1 (2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10%)		10%)		5	
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	110	()		0		0	0		

