

FIBA Game Stats

DOM vs MEX FP202 2023 U16 AMERICAS



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		202	3 U16 AMERIO	CAS	
Game	DOM				MEX
Score	78	15-21 /	26-14 / 13-18	/ 24-15	68
Duration	00:15:46	00:24:18	00:17:50	00:28:38	01:26:32
Fouls (IRS)	21 (0)				16 (0)
	(GAME INFOR	MATION		
Stage/Round		FP202	2		FP202
Date		15	:00 10/06/20	23	
Place			MERIDA		
		REFEREEING	STAFF		
ANSELMO KRIVOKA (Argenti		TOPPINGS, ((Canada	Cooper a)		N BARO, Leydys (CUB)
	FBR		GLO		
Referee Inst	tructor S	tand-by Referee	Instructor	Vide	o Operator
CARRION, Jos (PUR)		N/A		А	xel Coll




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams		Dor	ninican F	Republic	78				Mexic	:0 68			TOTALS					
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconci.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
сс	ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH, Franco (Argentina)	8	0	1	1	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	0	15	0	1	2	0	0
U1	TOPPINGS, Cooper (Canada)	7	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0
U2	CASTELLON BARO, Leydys (CUB)	7	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	1	0	0
	TOTAL			23 (54.76%)					19 (45.24%)						42 (100%)				
	CORRECT		22 (52.38%)					19 (45.24%)						41 (97.62%)					
	INCORRECT			0				0						0					
	INCONCLUSIVE			1 (2.3	8%)					0)			1 (2.38%)					
	L2M C			2 (4.7	(6%)					1 (2.3	38%)			3 (7.14%)					
	L2M I			0						0)			0					
	L2M?			0						0)			0					








Plays Situation Breakdown




			Ρ	lay ·	Situ	atic	ns '	Tab	le							
0		To	tals		KRIV		ELMO ICH, Fr	anco	то	PPING (Car	S, Coo ada)	рег	CASTELLON BARO, Leydys (CUB)			
Concepts		rect	Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect DOM ME	
Continuous Movement/Drive	14	MEX 8	DOM 1	O O	DOM 4	MEX 3	DOM 1	O O	DOM 5	MEX 2	DOM 0	MEX 0	DOM 5	MEX 3	DOM	ME)
Continuous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shot	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perimeter play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	1	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0
Post Play	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Key area action	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	20	15	1	0	8	4	1	0	6	6	0	0	6	5	0	0




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	To	tal	
Duration	00:1	5:46	00:2	4:18	00:1	7:50	00:2	8:38	01:26:32		
Calls	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	7 (78%)	2 (22%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	23 (55%)	19 (45%)	
Calls	11 (2	26%)	12 (29%)		9 (2	1%)	10 (2	24%)	42 (100%)		
Fouls	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	7 (78%)	2 (22%)	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	21 (57%)	16 (43%)	
rouis	9 (24%)		10 (27%)		9 (24%)		9 (2	4%)	37 (88%)		
Travelling	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	2 (100%)	
Traveiling	1 (5	60%)	1 (5	50%))	()	2 (!	5%)	
Other Violations	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	
Other violations	1 (3	3%)	1 (3	3%)	(5	1 (3	3%)	3 (7%)		
Inc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	(0	(0	()	0		()	
No Coll CNC /ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	(Ò	(0	(Ó	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Qua	rter 3				Quai	rter 4			TO	TAI
3 Referees	5	3	10)'	5	5'	1	0'	5	•	1	0'	5'		10'		Last 2'		2	IAL
ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH	1 33%	2 67%	1 100%	0	0	2 100%	3 75%	1 25%	2 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	9 56%	7 44%
Franco (Argentina)	19	3 9%	1 69	%	_	2 3%		4 5%	2 13	-		2 3%	()	_	2 3%	_	2 3%		6 3%
TOPPINGS	1 33%	2 67%	2 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	0	2 100%	2 100%	0	0	0	7 54%	6 46%
Cooper (Canada)	3 2 23% 15%		2 15% 0)	1 8%		8	1 %		2 5%		2 5%	0		13 31%				
CASTELLON BARO Levdys	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	0	3 100%	1 100%	0	3 100%	0	0	0	0	1 100%	2 67%	1 33%	1 100%	0	7 54%	6 46%
BARO Leydys (CUB)		<u>2</u> 5%	c)		3 3%	8	1 %	23		(0	8	1 %		3 3%	8	1 %		3 %
TOTAL	3 38%	5 63%	3 100%	0	1 14%	6 86%	4 80%	1 20%	6 100%	0	1 33%	2 67%	0	3 100%	5 71%	2 29%	2 67%	1 33%	23 55%	19 45%
TOTAL	19	3	3 79			7 7%		5 2%	6 14			3 %		3		7 7%		3	4	2









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	9 (75%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
T1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (89%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	9
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
TOTAL	1	0	4	3	30	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	42







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	ANSELMO KRIVO (Arge		TOPPINGS Co	oper (Canada)	CASTELLON BAI	RO Leydys (CUB)	TO	ΓAL		
	CALLS	9 (56%)	7 (44%)	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	6 (46%)	23 (55%)	19 (45%)		
	CALLS	16 (3	38%)	13 (31%)	13 (31%)	4	2		
	FOULS	9 (64%)	5 (36%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	21 (57%)	16 (43%)		
	FOOLS	14 (3	38%)	12 (32%)	11 (30%)	3	7		
	DEFENSIVE	9 (64%)	5 (36%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	21 (58%)	15 (42%)		
	DELEMOIAE	14 (3	39%)	11 (31%)	11 (31%)	3	6		
	OFFENSIVE	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)		
	OFFEINSIVE	()	1 (1	00%)		0	•			
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)		
	DOUBLE FOUL	()	(0	1 (1	00%)	•			
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)		
G	UNSFORTSWANLINE	()	(0	1 (1	00%)	•			
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	TECHNICAL	()	(0		0	()		
	DISQUALIFYING	9 (64%)	5 (36%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	21 (58%)	15 (42%)		
	DISQUALII TING	14 (3	39%)	11 (31%)	11 (31%)		3	6		
	OTHER	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)		
	OTTLER	()	1 (1	00%)		0	•			
	VIOLATIONS	0	2 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (40%)	3 (60%)		
	VIOLATIONS	2 (4	0%)	1 (2	20%)	2 (4	10%)	Į.	i		
NS	TRAVELING	0	2 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	2 (100%)		
TIO	TIONVELING	2 (10	00%)		0		0		2		
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)		
>	OTTLER	(3%)	,	57%)	3			
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	INO.	()	(0		0	Ö			

