

FIBA POST GAME REPORT

SPF vs NAC GP309 2024 BCLA W1



Contents

Note about the data	3
Global Evaluation	
Quality and Consistency	4
Game Information	5
Calls Summary vs Referee	6
Calls vs Teams	
Calls vs Referee	8
Play Situations Break-down	9
Call Average vs Game	
Calls vs Zone and Position	11
IRS vs Game	12
Regular Call vs L2M & OT Accuracy	13



Note about the data

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicized. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams; Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other estates

Global Evaluation

To obtain a final global assessment of referee performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the context of an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can be used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality & consistency

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:





Game Information

	2024 BCLA W1												
	WINDOW 1 · GP309												
	20:40 17/12/2023 SANTIAGO												
	%	Total	Calls	Total	%								
SAO PAULO	N/A	22	Fouls	28	N/A	Club Nacional							
	N/A	1	Violations	7	N/A								
SPF	N/A	11	OOB	12	N/A	NAC							
84	N/A	0	Fake	0	N/A	89							
	N/A	0	DOG	0	N/A								
	N/A	34	Total	47	N/A								

Quarters	Quar	ter 1	Quar	ter 2	Quai	rter 3	Qua	rter 4	TO	TAL	
Score	8	17	23	26	24	21	29	25	84	89	
Duration	00:2	1:18	00:2	6:45	00:2	2:15	00:1	7:45	02:0	0:09	
Fouls	6	4	3	8	3	5	6	7	22	28	
Violations	0	4	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	7	
ООВ	2	2	2	2	1	3	3	2	11	12	
Fake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
DOG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	


	REFEREEING STAFF	
ANAYA, Julio (PAN)	RONCONI, Franco (ARG)	CARREÑO, Yezid (COL)
Company of the second of the s		


Referee Instructor	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video Operator
MOORE,Terry (USA)	N/A	BOELAERT, Federico (ARG)





Calls Summary vs Referee

CALLS 15 (43%) 20 (57%) 12 (52%) 11 (48%) 7 (30%) 34 (42%) 47 (58%) 35 (43%) 23 (28%) 22 (28%) 20 (40%) 15 (30%) 15 (30%) 50 DEFENSIVE A (44%) 10 (56%) 8 (53%) 7 (47%) 5 (33%) 10 (67%) 22 (44%) 28 (56%) 10 (50%) 15 (30%) 15 (30%) 10 (67%) 20 (46%) 25 (54%) OFFENSIVE 1 (50%) 1 (50%) 0 0 0 2 (100%) 4 (50%) 4 (50%) 13 (28%) DOUBLE FOUL UNSPORTSMANLIKE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Types/Referees	CC	U1	U2	TOTAL	CHAMPIONSHIP	FIBA
FOULS 9 (45%) 11 (55%) 8 (63%) 7 (47%) 5 (33%) 10 (67%) 22 (44%) 28 (55%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	CALLS					0	0
DEFENSIVE 8 (44%) 10 (56%) 8 (53%) 7 (47%) 5 (38%) 8 (62%) 21 (46%) 25 (54%) 18 (39%) 15 (33%) 13 (25%) 46 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1							
DEFENSIVE B (44%) 10 (56%) 8 (53%) 7 (47%) 5 (38%) 8 (62%) 21 (46%) 25 (54%) 18 (39%) 15 (33%) 13 (28%) 46 DFENSIVE 1 (50%) 1 (50%) 0 0 0 2 (100%) 1 (25%) 3 (75%) DOUBLE FOUL 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 UNSPORTSMANLIKE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DISQUALIFYING OOB 5 (56%) 4 (44%) 4 (50%) 4 (50%) 2 (33%) 4 (67%) 11 (48%) 12 (52%) 9 (39%) 8 (35%) 6 (26%) 23 STEP ON SIDE LINE OTHER 5 (56%) 4 (44%) 4 (50%) 4 (50%) 2 (30%) 3 (60%) 11 (50%) 2 (30%) 4 (50%) 3 (60%) 11 (50%) 11 (50%) FIRALE OO OO OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO	FOULS					0	0
18 (39%)							
OFFENSIVE 2 (50%) 0 0 0 2 (100%) 1 (25%) 3 (75%) 0 0 2 (50%) 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	DEFENSIVE					0	0
DOUBLE FOUL 0	OFFENON/F	1 (50%) 1 (50%)			1 (25%) 3 (75%)		
DOUBLE FOUL UNSPORTSMANLIKE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OFFENSIVE	2 (50%)	Ö	2 (50%)	4	J V	V
UNSPORTSMANLIKE 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	DOLIBLE FOLI	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	_	•
TECHNICAL DISQUALIFYING O O O O O O O O O O O O O	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	· ·	<u> </u>
TECHNICAL 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	UNSPORTSMANLIKE				-	. 0	0
TECHNICAL DISQUALIFYING O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Ortor Ortrono a tente						
DISQUALIFYING OOB 5 (56%) 4 (44%) 4 (50%) 4 (50%) 2 (33%) 4 (67%) 11 (48%) 12 (52%) 9 (39%) 8 (35%) 6 (26%) 23 STEP ON SIDE LINE O O O O 1 (100%) 1 OTHER 5 (56%) 4 (44%) 4 (50%) 4 (50%) 2 (40%) 3 (60%) 11 (50%) 11 (50%) 9 (41%) 8 (36%) 5 (23%) 22 VIOLATIONS 1 (17%) 5 (83%) 0 O O 2 (25%) 8 TRAVELING O 2 (100%) 0 O O 1 (100%) 0 4 (100%) 2 (50%) 0 O 2 (25%) 8 OTHER 1 (25%) 3 (75%) 0 O O O 1 (25%) 3 (75%) A (100%) 0 O O O O O O O O DOS O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	TECHNICAL		-	-	-	0	0
DISQUALIFYING 0 <		_			_	•	
OOB 5 (56%) 4 (44%) 4 (50%) 4 (50%) 2 (33%) 4 (67%) 11 (48%) 12 (52%) 0 0 STEP ON SIDE LINE 0 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0	DISQUALIFYING		-		-	0	0
STEP ON SIDE LINE 0 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 1 (100%) OTHER 5 (56%) 4 (44%) 4 (50%) 4 (50%) 2 (40%) 3 (60%) 11 (50%) 11 (50%) 9 (41%) 8 (36%) 5 (23%) 22 VIOLATIONS 1 (17%) 5 (83%) 0 0 0 2 (100%) 1 (13%) 7 (88%) 6 (75%) 0 2 (25%) 8 TRAVELING 0 2 (100%) 0 0 0 2 (100%) 0 4 (100%) 2 (50%) 0 0 0 0 1 (25%) 3 (75%) OTHER 1 (25%) 3 (75%) 0 0 0 0 0 1 (25%) 3 (75%) OTHER 1 (25%) 3 (75%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DOG 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 IRS 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 IRS 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 IRS 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				•			
STEP ON SIDE LINE 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 1 (100%) OTHER 5 (56%) 4 (44%) 4 (50%) 4 (50%) 2 (40%) 3 (60%) 11 (50%) 11 (50%) 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 2 (40%) 3 (60%) 11 (50%) 11 (50%) 0 0 0 2 (40%) 3 (60%) 11 (50%) 1 (50%) 0 0 0 2 (100%) 0 0 2 (100%) 0 0 0 2 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 2 (25%) 0	OOB					0	0
STEP ON SIDE LINE 0 0 1 (100%) 1 OTHER 5 (56%) 4 (44%) 4 (50%) 4 (50%) 3 (60%) 11 (50%) 11 (50%) 9 (41%) 8 (36%) 5 (23%) 22 0 0 VIOLATIONS 1 (17%) 5 (83%) 0 0 2 (100%) 1 (13%) 7 (88%) 0 6 (75%) 0 0 2 (50%) 8 0 0 0 2 (100%) 0 4 (100%) 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
OTHER	STEP ON SIDE LINE		-	. (.0070)	1	0	0
OTHER 9 (41%) 8 (36%) 5 (23%) 22 VIOLATIONS 1 (17%) 5 (83%) 0 0 0 2 (100%) 1 (13%) 7 (88%) 6 (75%) 0 2 (25%) 8 0 0 2 (100%) 0 4 (100%) 0 0 0 4 (100%) 0					11 (50%) 11 (50%)		
VIOLATIONS 6 (75%) 0 2 (25%) 8 TRAVELING 0 2 (100%) 0 0 4 (100%) 0 0 0 4 (100%) 0 <	OTHER					0	0
TRAVELING 0 2 (100%) 0 0 0 2 (100%) 0 4 (100%) 2 (50%) 0 0 2 (50%) 4 OTHER 1 (25%) 3 (75%) 0 0 0 0 1 (25%) 3 (75%) 4 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Fake 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DOG IRS 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	VIOLATIONS	1 (17%) 5 (83%)	0 0	0 2 (100%)	1 (13%) 7 (88%)		•
TRAVELING 2 (50%) 0 2 (50%) 4 1 (25%) 3 (75%) 0 0 0 0 0 1 (25%) 3 (75%) 4 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 DOG IRS 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	VIOLATIONS	6 (75%)	0	2 (25%)	8] "	U
OTHER 1 (25%) 3 (75%) 0 0 0 0 1 (25%) 3 (75%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TRAVELING		0 0	0 2 (100%)	0 4 (100%)	0	0
THER 4 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TRAVELING		_			· ·	
Fake 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OTHER					0	0
Fake 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OTTIER						
DOG 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Fake				•	0	0
IRS 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		_	_	_			
IRS 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	DOG					0	0
1 (100%) 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		•					
1 (100%) 0 0 0 1 (100%) 0	IRS		-			0	0
			_				
1 (100%) 0 0 1	HCC	1 (100%)	0	0	1	0	0














Calls vs Team

Re	Teams			SPF	- 84				NAC - 89					TOTALS							
fs	Assessment	Corre	Incorr	Incon	L2M	L2M I	L2M ?	Corre	Incorr	Incon	L2M	L2M I	L2M ?	Corre	Incorr	Incon	L2M	L2M I	L2M ?		
cc	ANAYA, Julio (PAN)	15	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	20	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	35	N/A	N/A	9	N/A	N/A	CHA MP.	ГІБА
U1	RONCONI, Franco (ARG)	12	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	11	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	23	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	AVG	AVG
U2	CARREÑO, Yezid (COL)	7	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	16	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	23	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A		
	TOTAL			34 (4	12%)					47 (5	47 (58%)			81 (100%)					0.0	0.0	
	CORRECT			N.	/A					N/	Ά			N/A						0.0	0.0
	INCORRECT			N.	/A					N/	Ά					N.	/A			0.0	0.0
	INCONCLUSIVE			N.	/A					N/	Ά					N.	/A			0.0	0.0
	L2M C			N.	/A					N/	Ά					N.	/A			0.0	0.0
	L2M I	N/A					N/A				N/A						0.0	0.0			
	L2M ?	N/A					N/A				N/A						0.0	0.0			











Calls vs Referee


Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	ter 2			Qua	ter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO	TAL		
3 Referees		5	1	0'	ï	5'	1	0'		5"	1	0'	Ę	5"	1	0'	Las	st 2"	2	IAL		
ANAYA Julio (PAN)	0	2 100%	0	3 100%	0	2 100%	3 60%	2 40%	2 67%	1 33%	1 20%	4 80%	1 50%	1 50%	8 62%	5 38%	6 67%	3 33%	15 43%	20 57%		
(PAN)	2 6%		3 9%		9%			2 %		5 %		3 %	ı	5 4%	_	2 %		3 %	26 26	9 6%		35 3%
RONCONI	2 100%	0	3 60%	2 40%	0	2 100%	2 40%	3 60%	0	0	0	1 100%	2 67%	1 33%	3 60%	2 40%	1 50%	1 50%	12 52%	11 48%		
Franco (ARG)	2 9%			5 2%	2 9%		5 22%		()	1 4%		1	3 8%	5 22%		2 9%		23 28%			
CARREÑO	1 33%	2 67%	2 67%	1 33%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	0	1 25%	3 75%	0	3 100%	3 38%	5 63%	3 43%	4 57%	7 30%	16 70%		
Yezid (COL)		3 3%		3 3%	4	1 ·%	4	1 %	(0		4 17%		3 3%		3 5%		7)%		23 3%		
TOTAL	3 43%	4 57%	5 45%	6 55%	0	5 100%	5 45%	6 55%	2 67%	1 33%	2 20%	8 80%	3 38%	5 63%	14 54%	12 46%	10 56%	8 44%	34 42%	47 58%		
TOTAL	9	7 9%		1 !%		5 %	1 14	1 %		3 10 4% 12%		_						ε	31			







Play Situations Break-down



Play Situations Table												
Concepts	ANAYA,	Julio (PAN)	RONCOL	NI, Franco RG)		NO, Yezid OL)	Totals					
	SPF	NAC	SPF	NAC	SPF	NAC	SPF	NAC				
Continuous Movement/Drive to basket	1	2	1	1	1	0	3	3				
Continuous Movement/Moving Shot	1	3	2	1	1	0	4	4				
Shot	0	2	2	0	1	0	3	2				
Manufacturing	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0				
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Landing	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1				
Penetration	2	1	1	0	0	2	3	3				
Perimeter play	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	5				
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Transition	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	1				
Rebound	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2				
Post Play	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	4				
Key area action	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1				
Screen Action	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	3				
Double Team	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	2				
Help Defense	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	1				
Stopping the Clock	2	0	1	0	1	1	4	1				
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Free Throws	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2				
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Totals	10	16	8	7	5	12	23	35				





Call Average vs Game



Calls vs Zone and Position

Pos/Zon	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL	CHAMP.	FIBA
C1	4 (31%)	3 (23%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (23%)	3 (23%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13	0.0	0.0
С3	0 (0%)	3 (19%)	1 (6%)	4 (25%)	8 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	16	0.0	0.0
T1	6 (60%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10	0.0	0.0
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2	0.0	0.0
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (21%)	11 (79%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14	0.0	0.0
L5	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1	0.0	0.0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (43%)	7 (50%)	0 (0%)	1 (7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	14	0.0	0.0
Ct	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3	0.0	0.0
Tt	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (25%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	8	0.0	0.0
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	12	7	5	8	29	13	2	2	1	1	1	0	81	0.0	0.0
CHAMP.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
FIBA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		







IRS vs Game

IRS	TODICO	IR	S	INIT	TAL	FOOTA	FIN	IAL	DURATI PROTOCOL		
SITUATIO	TOPICS	REF	HCC	CCM	ICCM	INCO	CCM	ICCM	AVG	CCM	ICCM
	IRS vs COMPETITION										
	8" Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
500	Basket or not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
EOQ (5)	Foul or Not	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
(0)	OOB Shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Shot Clock Violation	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Goaltending / Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
L2M	ООВ	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
(4)	Shot Clock Violation &	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Foul away from the shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	2/3 Points Basket	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Act of Violence / Fight	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Free throw shooter	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	Game Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
A N D / TIN 4F	Shot Clock Adjust	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
ANY TIME (11)	PF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
(,	UF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	PF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	UF to DQF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	DQF to UF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	DQF to PF	0	0	0	0	0	0"	0	0	0	0
	IRS vs GAME										



Regular Call vs I2M&OTAccuracy

