

# **FIBA Game Stats**

MED vs UDJ GP402 LBSF 2023



# Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



# Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

# **Global Evaluation:**

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



# Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






# Game – General Information:


Competition		LBSF 20	23	
Game	MED			UDJ
Score	66	12-11 / 26-7 / 11	-20 / 17-11	49
Duration	00:17:13	00:16:14 00:17:0	04 00:18:01	01:08:32
Fouls (IRS)	14 (0)			12 (0)
	(	GAME INFORMATION		
Stage/Round		GP402		GP402
Date		21:30 11/05	/2023	
Place		MEDELLIN, CO	lombia	
		REFEREEING STAFF		
BARTEL MAINA, Ar (Urugua		SELMO KRIVOKAPICH, Fran (Argentina)		NCHAUSPE, Ramiro (Brazil)
	None of the second seco			
Referee Inst	tructor S	tand-by Referee Instructor	· Vide	o Operator
MARANHO,Crist (BRA)		N/A	A	xel Coll




#### **General Calls Evaluation**


Defe	Teams		Inde	portes A	ntioquia	66			Unlór	n Deport	lva Juver	nii 49				TOT	ALS				
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M1	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?		
сс	BARTEL MAINA, Andrés Gaston (Uruguay)	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0		
U1	ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH, Franco (Argentina)	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0		
U2	MARQUES INCHAUSPE, Ramiro (Brazii)	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	10	0	0	1		
	TOTAL			18 (52.94%)						16 (47	.06%)					34 (10	00%)				
	CORRECT		0						C	)				0							
	INCORRECT			0						C	)				0						
	INCONCLUSIVE			18 (52	.94%)					16 (47	.06%)					34 (10	)0%)				
	L2M C			0						C	)					0					
	L2M I			0						C	)					0					
	L2M?			0						1 (2.9	94%)					1 (2.9	94%)				








### **Plays Situation Breakdown**




Play Situations Table																
Concente		Totals BARTEL M/ Gaston							KRIV		elmo ICH, Fr	anco			INCHA (Brazi	
Concepts	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	Correct		Incorrect		Correct		rrect	Correct		Incorrect	
	MED	UDJ	MED	UDJ	MED	UDJ	MED	UDJ	MED	UDJ	MED	UDJ	MED	UDJ	MED	UDJ
Continuous Movement/Drive	0	0	6	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	1
Continuous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shot	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Manufacturing	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perimeter play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Post Play	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Key area action	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	8	8	0	0	3	3	0	0	4	3	0	0	1	2






#### Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

#### **Accuracy by Quarter**











#### **Calls Summary**

Quarters	1st Qu	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:1	7:13	00:1	6:14	00:1	7:04	00:1	8:01	01:0	8:32	
Calls	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	18 (53%)	16 (47%)	
Calls	7 (2	1%)	6 (1	8%)	10 (2	10 (29%)		11 (32%)		00%)	
Fouls	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	14 (54%)	12 (46%)	
rouis	6 (2	3%)	5 (1	9%)	6 (2	3%)	9 (3	5%)	26 (1	76%)	
Travalling	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	
Travelling	0		1 (3	3%)	1 (3	3%)	1 (3	3%)	3 (	9%)	
Other Welstiers	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	
Other Violations	1 (2	0%)	(	)	3 (60%)		1 (20%)		5 (15%)		
IDC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	(	)	(	ว	(	D	0		(	)	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	(	)	(	)	(	0	(	0	0		











Page 8 of 11



### **Calls by Referee**

Quarters		Quar	<b>ter 1</b>			Qua	rter 2			Qua	rter 3				Qua	rter 4			то	TAL
3 Referees	5	5	1	0'	5	5	1	0"	5		1	0"	ł	5'	1	0'	Las	st 2'	10	I AL
BARTEL MAINA Andrés	2 100%	0	0	1 100%	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	1 25%	3 75%	2 50%	2 50%	0	0	0	0	7 47%	8 53%
Gaston (Uruguay)	2 13	<u>2</u> 3%	7	1 %	13	-	7'	l %	7	l %		1 7%		4 7%		0	(	0		5 1%
ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH	0	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	0	0	0	1 100%	0	1 33%	2 67%	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	0	0	4 44%	5 56%
Franco (Argentina)	1 11	l %	(	)	1 11	l %	(	)	1 11	l %		3 3%		2 2%	1.	1 1%	(	0		7 5%
MARQUES INCHAUSPE	1 50%	1 50%	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	0	0	2 67%	1 33%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	7 70%	3 30%
Ramiro (Brazil)		<u>2</u> )%	10	1 )%	1 10	l )%	- 10	l )%	1 10	l )%	(	)		3 )%	1(	1 0%	1(	1 )%	-	0 %
TOTAL	3 60%	2 40%	1 50%	1 50%	3 75%	1 25%	2 100%	0	2 67%	1 33%	2 29%	5 71%	5 56%	4 44%	0	2 100%	0	1 100%	18 53%	16 47%
TOTAL	-	5 5%	-	2 %	12	-	-	2 %	; 9'	-		7  %		<del>)</del> 5%		2 %	3	1 %	3	4









Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	2 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8 (67%)	2 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
T1	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
Т3	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	4 (57%)	1 (14%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	2	2	5	1	21	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	34

### **Calls by Zone and Position**





Page 10 of 11



### **Call Types by Referee**

	Types/Referees		Andrés Gaston guay)		OKAPICH Franco ntina)		HAUSPE Ramiro azil)	то	TAL		
	CALLS	7 (47%)	8 (53%)	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	7 (70%)	3 (30%)	18 (53%)	16 (47%)		
	UNLLS	15 (	44%)	9 (2	26%)	10 (29%)			4		
	FOULS	5 (50%)	5 (50%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	5 (63%)	3 (38%)	14 (54%)	12 (46%)		
	TOOLS		38%)		1%)		1%)	-	.6		
	DEFENSIVE	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	12 (50%)	12 (50%)		
			8%)	8 (3	3%)	,	.9%)		.4		
	OFFENSIVE	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0		
	GITENSIVE	1 (5	0%)		0	1 (5	0%)		2		
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)		
	DOUDLETOUL	1 (1	00%)		0	(	0		1		
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)		
FO		1 (100%)			0		0		1		
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	TECHNICAE		0		0	0			0		
	DISQUALIFYING	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	12 (50%)	12 (50%)		
	DISQUALITING	9 (3	8%)	8 (3	3%)	7 (29%)		2	24		
	OTHER	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	2 (100%)	0		
	OTTIER		0%)		0	,	0%)		2		
	VIOLATIONS	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	0	1 (100%)	2 (100%)	0	4 (50%)	4 (50%)		
	VIOLATIONS		3%)	1 (1	3%)		.5%)		8		
NS	TRAVELING	0	1 (100%)	0	0	2 (100%)	0	2 (67%)	1 (33%)		
TIO	HAVELING	1 (3	3%)		0	2 (6	57%)		3		
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	2 (40%)	3 (60%)		
N	UTILK	4 (8	0%)	1 (2	.0%)		0		5		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	into "		0		0		0		0		

