

FIBA Game Stats

BUC vs MED GP502 LBSF 2023



Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition		LB	SF 2023		
Game	BUC				MED MED
Score	69	25-19 / 18- ⁻	19 / 14-18 /	12-5	61
Duration	00:18:48	00:20:33 00	0:28:10	00:22:37	01:30:08
Fouls (IRS)	18 (0)				23 (1)
	(GAME INFORMA	TION		
Stage/Round		GP502			GP502
Date		19:30	12/05/2023	ł	
Place		MEDELLI	IN, COLOME	BIA	
		REFEREEING ST	AFF		
GARCIA NIEVES, D (Venezue		SELMO KRIVOKAPICH (Argentina)	H, Franco		RIGUES, Larissa Brazil)
Referee Inst	tructor S	tand-by Referee Inst	tructor	Video	Operator
MARANHO,Crist (BRA)		N/A		A	kel Coll




General Calls Evaluation


Defe	Teams			Bucar	os 69				Inde	portes A	ntioquia	ı 61				TOT	ALS		
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?	Correct	Incorrect	Inconcl.	L2M C	L2M I	L2M?
сс	GARCIA NIEVES, Daniel Alberto (Venezuela)	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0
U1	U1 ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH, Franco (Argentina)		0	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0
U2	J2 SALES RODRIGUES, Larissa (Brazil)		0	7	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	2	0	0	15	0	0	2
	TOTAL			21 (44	.68%)					26 (55	.32%)					47 (10	00%)		
	CORRECT			0	0 0						0								
	INCORRECT			0				0						0					
	INCONCLUSIVE			21 (44	.68%)					26 (55	.32%)			47 (100%)					
	L2M C			0						0)			0					
	L2M I			0						0)					0			
	L2M?			0						2 (4.2	26%)					2 (4.2	26%)		








Plays Situation Breakdown




	Play Situations Table GARCIA NIEVES, Daniel ANSELMO SALES RODRIGUES,															
Oraciate		To	tals			CIA NIE erto (\			KRIV	ANSE OKAPI	ELMO CH, Fr	anco		LES RO .arissa		
Concepts	Cor	Tect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Сог	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect
	BUC	MED	BUC	MED	BUC	MED	BUC	MED	BUC	MED	BUC	MED	BUC	MED	BUC	MEI
Continuous Movement/Drive	0	0	4	8	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	3
Continuous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shot	0	0	3	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Manufacturing	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perimeter play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rebound	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Post Play	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Key area action	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-In	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	14	23	0	0	5	9	0	0	6	7	0	0	3	7






Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Qu	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:1	8:48	00:2	0:33	00:2	8:10	00:2	2:37	01:3	0:08	
Calls	3 (30%)	7 (70%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	8 (47%)	9 (53%)	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	21 (45%)	26 (55%)	
Calls	10 (2	21%)	8 (17%)		17 (:	36%)	12 (2	26%)	47 (1	00%)	
Fouls	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	7 (47%)	8 (53%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	18 (44%)	23 (56%)	
rouis	9 (22%)		7 (1	7%)	15 (37%)		10 (24%)		41 (87%)		
Terres III e e	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
Travelling	0		()	1 (10)0%)	()	1 (2	2%)	
Other Welstiers	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	2 (100%)	0	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	
Other Violations	1 (2	0%)	1 (2	0%)	1 (2	0%)	2 (4	0%)	5 (11%)		
IDC	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
IRS	1 (10	00%)	(ว	0		0		1 (2	2%)	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	()	()	()	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Quar	rter 2			Qua	rter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO	TAI
3 Referees	Ę	5'	1	0'	5	5	1	0'	Ę	5	1	0'	ł	5'	1	0'	Last 2'		10	I AL
GARCIA NIEVES Daniel	0	2 100%	1 100%	0	1 50%	1 50%	0	0	0	3 100%	2 67%	1 33%	3 60%	2 40%	0	0	0	0	7 44%	9 56%
Alberto (Venezuela)	2 13%		6	1 %	-	2 13%)		3)%		3 19%		5 31%		0		0	16 34%	
ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH	0	2 100%	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	1 33%	2 67%	1 100%	0	2 50%	2 50%	2 67%	1 33%	0	0	0	0	7 44%	9 56%
Franco (Argentina)	2 13%		2 13%		1 6%		3 19%		1 6%			4 5%		3 9%	0		0		16 34%	
SALES RODRIGUES	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	1 25%	3 75%	2 100%	0	1 100%	0	0	3 100%	0	2 100%	7 47%	8 53%
Larissa (Brazil)		2 3%	7	1 %	ן 7'	l %	۔ ۲	1 %	27	1 '%	-	2 3%	7	1 %		3)%		2 3%		5 2%
TOTAL	1 17%	5 83%	2 50%	2 50%	2 50%	2 50%	2 50%	2 50%	2 25%	6 75%	6 67%	3 33%	6 67%	3 33%	0	3 100%	0	2 100%	21 45%	26 55%
TOTAL		5 3%		4 %	∠ 9'			4 %		3 '%		9 9%		9)%		3 %		2 ·%	4	7









Pos/Zones	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (71%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (14%)	7
C3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
T1	2 (20%)	4 (40%)	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	3 (30%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
Т3	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9 (82%)	2 (18%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	3	4	4	3	25	6	0	1	0	0	0	1	47

Calls by Zone and Position







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees) Daniel Alberto zuela)		OKAPICH Franco ntina)	SALES RODRI (Bra		то	TAL	
	CALLS	7 (44%)	9 (56%)	7 (44%)	9 (56%)	7 (47%)	8 (53%)	21 (45%)	26 (55%)	
	CALLS	16 (3	34%)	16 (3	34%)	15 (3	32%)	4	7	
	FOULS	6 (43%)	8 (57%)	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	6 (43%)	8 (57%)	18 (44%)	23 (56%)	
	TOOLS	14 (;	34%)	13 (32%)	14 (3	34%)	4	1	
	DEFENSIVE	5 (42%) 7 (58%)		5 (42%)	7 (58%)	6 (43%)	8 (57%)	16 (42%)	22 (58%)	
		12 (32%)	12 (32%)	14 (3	37%)	3	8	
	OFFENSIVE	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (100%)	0	0	0	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	
	OTTENSIVE	2 (6	7%)	1 (3	3%)		0		3	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	2 (100%)	
	DOUBLETOUL	1 (5	0%)	1 (5	0%)		<u>,</u>		2	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
БО	UNDI UKTOWANEIKE	(<u>,</u>	1 (10	00%)		<u>,</u>		1	
	TECHNICAL	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
	TECHNICAL		0%)		0		<u>,</u>		1	
	DISQUALIFYING	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	6 (43%)	8 (57%)	16 (42%)	22 (58%)	
	DISCOALII HINO		32%)		32%)	14 (37%)		-	8	
	OTHER	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (100%)	0	0	0	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	
	OTHER	· · ·	7%)		3%)		<u>,</u>		3	
	VIOLATIONS	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	1 (100%)	0	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	
	VIOLATIONS		3%)		0%)	1 (1			6	
NS	TRAVELING	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	
UI0	HEWEEING		<u>,</u>	1 (10	00%)		<u>,</u>		1	
VIOLATIONS	OTHER	1 (50%) 1 (50%)		0	2 (100%)	1 (100%)	0	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	
	OTTIER	- • •	0%)		0%)	1 (2			5	
	IRS	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
)	1 (10	00%)		0	1		

