

FIBA Game Stats

BIG vs CCS GP802 LSB 2023



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.


Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


	LSB 2023		
₩ BIG			e CCS
76	18-24 / 15-28 / 20-16	/ 23-11	79
00:17:58	00:24:19 00:20:36	00:22:17	01:25:10
18 (0)			20 (0)
(SAME INFORMATION		
	GROUP		GP802
	21:10 22/10/202	23	
	BARRANQUILL	Α	
	REFEREEING STAFF		
UEZ III, Jorge	ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH, Franco (ARG)	LOPEZ I (N	DIAZ, Jesus MEX)
Email and appropriate for the control of the contro	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE		
structor S	Stand-by Referee Instructor	Video	Operator
ose Anibal ()	N/A	CÁCERES (A	S, Maximiliano ARG)
	BIG 76 00:17:58 18 (0) UEZ III, Jorge Structor see Anibal	BIG 76 18-24 / 15-28 / 20-16 00:17:58 00:24:19 00:20:36 18 (0) GAME INFORMATION GROUP 21:10 22/10/202 BARRANQUILL REFEREING STAFF JEZ III, Jorge ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH, Franco (ARG) Structor Stand-by Referee Instructor se Anibal	BIG 76 18-24 / 15-28 / 20-16 / 23-11 00:17:58 00:24:19 00:20:36 00:22:17 18 (0) GAME INFORMATION GROUP 21:10 22/10/2023 BARRANQUILLA REFEREING STAFF UEZ III, Jorge ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH, Franco (ARG) (ARG) LOPEZ III, Jorge Structor Stand-by Referee Instructor Video See Anibal




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams		Club Big	guá de \	/illa Bia	rritz 76			Ca	ribbean	Storm 7	79		TOTALS					
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?
cc	VAZQUEZ VAZQUEZ III, Jorge (PUR)	11	0	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	0
U1	ANSELMO KRIVOKAPICH, Franco (ARG)	6	1	1	0	0	0	10	4	0	1	2	0	16	5	1	1	2	0
U2	LOPEZ DIAZ, Jesus (MEX)	9	1	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	16	1	0	1	0	0
	TOTAL		29 (50%)							29 (5	0%)					58 (10	00%)		
	CORRECT		26 (44,8%)					25 (43,1%)						51 (87,9%)					
	INCORRECT			2 (3,	4%)			4 (6,9%)						6 (10,3%)					
	INCONCLUSIVE			1 (1,	7%)			0						1 (1,7%)					
	L2M C			2 (3,	4%)			1 (1,7%)						3 (5,2%)					
	L2M I		0					2 (3,4%)						2 (3,4%)					
	L2M ?			0						0)			0					








Plays Situation Breakdown




	Play Situations Table															
Concepts		To	tals		VAZO III	QUEZ , Jorg	VAZO e (PU	QUEZ R)	KF F	ANSE RIVOR ranco	(APIC) ;H, 3)	LOF	EZ DI (MI	AZ, J EX)	esus
Concepts	Correct		Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Correct		Incorrect	
	BIG	ccs	BIG	ccs	BIG	ccs	BIG	ccs	BIG	ccs	BIG	ccs	BIG	ccs	BIG	ccs
Continuous Movement/Drive	7	9	1	3	4	4	0	0	2	4	1	3	1	1	0	0
Continuous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shot	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Perimeter play	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Rebound	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Key area action	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	16	18	2	4	7	5	0	0	3	8	1	4	6	5	1	0




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:1	7:58	00:2	24:19	00:2	0:36	00:2	2:17	01:2	5:10	
Calls	8 (57%)	6 (43%)	7 (47%) 8 (53%)		4 (29%)	10 (71%)	10 (67%)	5 (33%)	29 (50%)	29 (50%)	
Calls	14 (2	24%)	15 (26%)		14 (2	24%)	15 (2	26%)	58 (100%)		
Fouls	6 (75%)	2 (25%)	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	2 (20%)	8 (80%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	18 (47%)	20 (53%)	
rouis	8 (21%)		11 (29%)		10 (26%)		9 (24%)		38 (6	66%)	
Travelling	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Travelling	(0	(0)	()		0	
Other Violations	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	10 (53%)	9 (47%)	
Other violations	6 (3	32%)	3 (1	6%)	4 (2	1%)	6 (32%)		19 (33%)	
IDE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	(0	(0	()	()	()	
No Coll CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	(0	0)	()	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters	Quarter 1					Quai	rter 2			Quai	ter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO	TAI.
3 Referees	5	3 ¹	1	0'	5	5"	1	0'		5"	1	0'	5	,	1	O¹	Las	it 2"	2	IAL
VAZQUEZ VAZQUEZ III	1 50%	1 50%	3 75%	1 25%	2 100%	0	2 50%	2 50%	0	2 100%	1 33%	2 67%	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	0	11 58%	8 42%
Jorge (PUR)	2 11	2 %		4 %	_	2 %		4 %	_	2 %		3 6%	59 59	 %	5	1 %	5	1 %		9 8%
ANSELMO KRIVOKAPI	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	2 67%	1 33%	1 25%	3 75%	0	2 100%	1 33%	2 67%	1 100%	0	2 33%	4 67%	0	3 100%	8 36%	14 64%
KRIVOKAPI CH Franco (ARG)	2 1 9% 5%		1 %	3 14%		4 18%			2 %		3 %	59 59	 %	6 27%		3 14%		22 38%		
LOPEZ DIAZ Jesus (MEX)	2 50%	2 50%	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	1 100%	0	1 33%	2 67%	1 50%	1 50%	4 100%	0	1 100%	0	10 59%	7 41%
(MEX)	24	4 ∤%	6	1 %	6'	1 %	6	1 %	6	1 %		3 3%	12 12	_	1	4 %	6	1 %	_	7 9%
TOTAL	4 50%	4 50%	4 67%	2 33%	4 67%	2 33%	3 33%	6 67%	1 20%	4 80%	3 33%	6 67%	3 75%	1 25%	7 64%	4 36%	2 40%	3 60%	29 50%	29 50%
TOTAL	14			6)%	10	6)%		9 6%		5 %		9	79	-	1 19	1		5 %	5	8









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zone	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (67%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	9
СЗ	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	7 (70%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (20%)	0 (0%)	10
T1	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
Т3	0 (0%)	5 (63%)	1 (13%)	2 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	9 (90%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	10
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (13%)	10 (63%)	3 (19%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	16
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1	7	2	6	33	5	0	1	0	0	3	0	58







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	VAZQUEZ \ Jorge		ANSELMO K Franco		LOPEZ DIAZ	Jesus (MEX)	то	ΓAL	
	CALLS	11 (58%)	8 (42%)	8 (36%)	14 (64%)	10 (59%)	7 (41%)	29 (50%)	29 (50%)	
	CALLS	19 (3	33%)	22 (3	38%)	17 (2	9%)	58		
	FOULS	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	4 (29%)	10 (71%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	18 (47%)	20 (53%)	
	1 0020	12 (3	32%)	14 (3	37%)	12 (3	2%)	3	8	
	DEFENSIVE	7 (64%)	4 (36%)	4 (29%)	10 (71%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	17 (47%)	19 (53%)	
	DEFENSIVE	11 (3	31%)	14 (3	39%)	11 (3	31%)	3	6	
	OFFENSIVE	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
	OFFENSIVE	1 (5	0%)	()	1 (5	0%)	2	2	
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		()	()	C)	()	
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ē.	ONO OKTOWANEIKE	()	()	C		()	
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	TECHNICAL	(()	C		()	
	DISQUALIFYING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DIOGOALII TIIVO	()	(<u> </u>	C		()	
	OTHER	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	4 (29%)	10 (71%)	7 (58%)	5 (42%)	18 (47%)	20 (53%)	
	OTTER	12 (3			37%)	12 (3	2%)	3	8	
	VIOLATIONS	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	10 (53%)	9 (47%)	
	VIOLATIONS	7 (3	7%)	7 (3	7%)	5 (2	6%)	1	9	
S	TRAVELING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Ĕ	HAVELING	()	(<u>) </u>	C)	()	
VIOLATION	OTHER	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	10 (53%) 9 (47%)		
>	OTHER	7 (3	7%)	7 (3	7%)	5 (2	6%)	19		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	IINO	())	C		0		

