

FIBA Game Stats

ECU vs VEN GP308 SOUTH AMERICAN U17 CHAMPIONSHIP WOMEN'S 2023



Contents

Note about the data:	
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	7
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	
Calls by Referee	
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuosuly working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition	SOUTH A	MERICAN U17 CHAN	IPIONSHIP WOM	EN'S 2023
Game	ECU			VEN
Score	56	17-19 / 12-7 / 1	3-21 / 14-13	60
Duration	00:16:14	00:17:00 00:19	:01 00:27:50	01:20:05
Fouls (IRS)	9 (0)			11 (0)
	G	AME INFORMATIC	N	
Stage/Round		GROUP		GP308
Date		20:30 28/1	1/2023	
Place		Bucaramanga	/ Colombia	
		EFEREEING STAP	F	
HUCK, I (ARG	van	NABRIA GONZALEZ, N Alejandra (PAR)		NTERO, Alejandra URU)
Referee Ins	structor S	tand-by Referee Instruc	tor Video	Operator
USLENGHI, (URU	Hector)	N/A	CÁCERES (,	S, Maximiliano ARG)




General Calls Evaluation


Defe	Teams			Ecuad	or 56					Venezu	iela 60			TOTALS					
Refs	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?
сс	HUCK, Ivan (ARG)	8	0	0	1	0	0	9	2	o	1	0	0	17	2	0	2	0	0
U1	SANABRIA GONZALEZ, Maria Alejandra (PAR)	4	0	0	1	0	0	10	2	0	0	1	0	14	2	0	1	1	0
U2	GODOY MONTERO, Alejandra (URU)	7	1	o	2	0	o	12	o	o	1	o	0	19	1	o	3	0	o
	TOTAL			20 (36	6,4%)					35 (63	3,6%)					55 (10	00%)		
	CORRECT			19 (34	,5%)					31 (56	6,4%)					50 (90	,9%)		
	INCORRECT			1 (1,	8%)					4 (7,	3%)				5 (9,1%)				
	INCONCLUSIVE			0						0)					0			
	L2M C			4 (7,	3%)					2 (3,	6%)					6 (10	,9%)		
	L2M I			0						1 (1,	8%)			1 (1,8%)					
	L2M ?			0						0)					0	0		








Plays Situation Breakdown




Play Situations Table																	
Occurate	Totals					СК, М	'an (A	RG)	GO	NZAL	ABRIA EZ, M ra (PA	laria	GOD	DOY M ejandi	MONTER(dra (URU)		
Concepts	Co	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Cor	rect	Inco	rrect	Co	Tect	Inco	rrec	
	ECU	VEN	ECU	VEN	ECU	VEN	ECU	VEN	ECU	VEN	ECU	VEN	ECU	VEN	ECU	VE	
Continuous Movement/Drive	1	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Continuous	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Shot	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Penetration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Perimeter play	2	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Transition	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Rebound	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Key area action	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Screen Action	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stopping the Clock	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals	8	8	1	2	3	3	0	0	2	3	0	2	3	2	1	0	






Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy

Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd G	luarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:1	6:14	00:1	7:00	00:1	9:01	00:2	7:50	01:20:05		
Calls	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	3 (23%)	10 (77%)	5 (36%)	9 (64%)	9 (45%)	11 (55%)	20 (36%)	35 (64%)	
Calls	8 (1	5%)	13 (24%)	14 (2	25%)	20 (3	36%)	55 (100%)		
Fouls	1 (100%)	0	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	6 (55%)	5 (45%)	9 (45%)	11 (55%)	
Fouls	1 (5	5%)	5 (2	.5%)	3 (1	5%)	11 ((55%)	20 (3	36%)	
Trevelling	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	
Travelling	(D	1 (5	60%)	1 (5	0%)	(0	2 (4	4%)	
Other Violations	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	1 (14%)	6 (86%)	4 (40%)	6 (60%)	3 (33%)	6 (67%)	10 (30%)	23 (70%)	
Other violations	7 (2	:1%)	7 (2	.1%)	10 (:	30%)	9 (2	.7%)	33 (6	60%)	
IDO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	(0		0	()	(0	(0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
No Call CNC/ICNC	(0		0	()	(0	0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quar	ter 1			Qua	rter 2			Qua	ter 3				Qua	rter 4			TO.	TAL
3 Referees		5	1	0'	5'		1	0'	5	5	1	0'	5	5	1	0"	La	st 2'		
HUCK Ivan (ARG)	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	1 33%	2 67%	1 100%	0	2 100%	0	1 25%	3 75%	2 50%	2 50%	1 33%	2 67%	1 50%	1 50%	8 42%	11 58%
(ARG)	1 5%		1 1 5% 5%		3 16%		1 5%		2 11%		4 21%		4 21%		3 16%		2 11%		19 35%	
SANABRIA GONZALEZ Maria Alejandra (PAR)	0	2 100%	1 100%	0	0	1 100%	0	3 100%	0	3 100%	2 67%	1 33%	0	1 100%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	1 50%	4 25%	12 75%
Alejandra (PAR)	2 13%		6	1 %	6	1 %		3 9%	3 3 19% 19%		6	l %		2 13%		2 13%		6 9%		
GODOY MONTERO Alejandra (URU)	2 67%	1 33%	0	0	1 33%	2 67%	0	2 100%	0	1 100%	0	1 100%	3 43%	4 57%	2 67%	1 33%	2 67%	1 33%	8 40%	12 60%
Alejandra (URU)	3 15%		0		3 15%		2 10%		1 5%		1 5%		7 35%			3 5%		3 5%	20 36%	
70711	2 33%	4 67%	1 50%	1 50%	2 29%	5 71%	1 17%	5 83%	2 33%	4 67%	3 38%	5 63%	5 42%	7 58%	4 50%	4 50%	4 57%	3 43%	20 36%	35 64%
TOTAL		6 I%		2 .%	13	7 3%		6 %		3 %		8 5%	1 22	2 !%		B 5%	13	7 3%	5	5









Pos/Zone	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	3 (27%)	5 (45%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (18%)	1 (9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11
СЗ	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (25%)	5 (63%)	0 (0%)	1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8
T1	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
ТЗ	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12 (75%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	2 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	16
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11 (85%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	13
Ct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
Lt	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1
TOTAL	3	6	4	2	30	3	1	4	0	0	2	0	55

Calls by Zone and Position





Page 10 of 11



Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	HUCK Iv	an (ARG)	SANABRIA Maria Aleja	GONZALEZ ndra (PAR)	GODOY N Alejandi		то	TAL		
	CALLS	8 (42%)	11 (58%)	4 (25%)	12 (75%)	8 (40%)	12 (60%)	20 (36%)	35 (64%)		
	UALLO	19 (;	35%)	16 (2	29%)	20 (3	36%)	5	5		
	FOULS	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	9 (45%)	11 (55%)		
		7 (3	5%)	7 (3	5%)	6 (3	0%)	2	0		
	DEFENSIVE	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	9 (50%)	9 (50%)		
		6 (3	3%)	6 (3	3%)	6 (3	3%)	1	8		
	OFFENSIVE	0	1 (100%)	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	2 (100%)		
		1 (5	0%)	1 (5	0%)	()		2		
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<i>(</i> 0	DOODLETOOL		0))		0		
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
FO			0	()	()		0		
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	1 EON MIO/AE		0	()		0		
	DISQUALIFYING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Biodonali Tino		0)		0		
	OTHER	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	9 (45%)	11 (55%)		
	OTTIER		5%)	7 (3		6 (3			0		
	VIOLATIONS	5 (42%)	7 (58%)	2 (22%)	7 (78%)	4 (29%)	10 (71%)	11 (31%)	24 (69%)		
			34%)	9 (2		14 (4		-	5		
NO	TRAVELING	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0	0	0	0	1 (50%)	1 (50%)		
ATI S			00%)	()		2		
VIOLATION	OTHER	4 (40%) 6 (60%)		2 (22%)	7 (78%)	4 (29%)	10 (71%)	10 (30%) 23 (70%)			
>	•		30%)	9 (2		14 (4			3		
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
			0	()	()	0			

