

FIBA Game Stats

URU vs ARG GP410 SOUTH AMERICAN U17 CHAMPIONSHIP WOMEN'S 2023



Contents

Note about the data:	3
Global Evaluation:	3
Quality and Consistency:	4
Game – General Information:	5
General Calls Evaluation	6
Plays Situation Breakdown	6
Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy	
Accuracy by Quarter	7
Calls Summary	8
Calls by Referee	9
Calls by Zone and Position	10
Call Types by Referee	11



Note about the data:

The purpose of this report is to share OFFICIATING DATA with our referees. The intellectual property contained herein is the sole property of the FIBA Refereeing Department and must not be publicised. It is provided strictly FOR YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION. Thank you for not sharing this information outside of the referee team.

The data and statistics presented can be modified when reviewed by FIBA Refereeing Department. The latest version of the data will be used as a basis for individual and global assessments during a competition. It will also be used to detect any deviations. The data only pertains to the calls made by the referees, whether they are negative or positive, and doesn't reflect a global assessment. This report is only one part of all the variables used by FIBA Refereeing Department to help the referees to improve and grow.

Data is useful for FIBA Officiating because it provides a means to justify the quality of our calls. Numbers are not subjective. They are unquestionable fact that can be used as compelling arguments against complaints made by:

- Teams, Delegation members, Coaches, Players.
- Media
- Other parties

Global Evaluation:

To obtain a final global assessment of referree performance during a game or competition, some non-tangible variables must be taken into consideration. Data is never an absolute within the contextof an overall assessment, but it helps. The interpretation of the data is left to the discretion of the people responsible for the evaluation.

Most importantly, data can is used to:

- Improve referees' personal officiating skills.
- Create/adapt different training methods.
- Secure FIBA standard criteria.
- Know your level compared to FIBA standard



Quality and Consistency:

FIBA's Referee Department is continuously working to improve the coordination, quality, and consistency of our work. In order to attain this goal, the following people are key:






Game – General Information:


Competition	SOUTH A	MERICAN U17	CHAMPION	SHIP WOM	EN'S 2023
Game	*				400
	URU				ARG
Score	30	6-22 / 8	-20 / 4-23 / 1	2-25	90
Duration	00:19:39	00:21:44	00:15:53	00:17:27	01:14:43
Fouls (IRS)	17 (0)				8 (0)
	G	SAME INFOR	MATION		
Stage/Round		GROUP)		GP410
Date		15:3	30 29/11/202	3	
Place		Bucarar	nanga / Colo	mbia	
		REFEREEING	STAFF		
VELEZ, C (COL	anus	CARRENO PINZO Valentin (COL)	ON, Yezid	CHOQU (E, Jassmany BOL)
	}				
Referee Ins	tructor S	stand-by Referee	Instructor	Video	Operator
USLENGHI, (URU		N/A		CÁCERES (A	S, Maximiliano ARG)




General Calls Evaluation


Refs	Teams			Urugu	ay 30					Argent	ina 90			TOTALS					
Reis	Assessment	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconci	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?	Correct	Incorrec	Inconcl	L2M C	L2M I	L2M ?
СС	VELEZ, Carlos (COL)	11	0	0	2	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	2	0	0
U1	CARRENO PINZON, Yezid Valentin (COL)	12	0	0	2	0	0	11	1	0	0	0	0	23	1	0	2	0	0
U2	CHOQUE, Jassmany (BOL)	11	2	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	15	3	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL			36 (58	,1%)) 26 (41,9%) 62 (62 (10	100%)							
	CORRECT		34 (54,8%)				24 (38,7%)						58 (93,5%)						
	INCORRECT			2 (3,	2%)			2 (3,2%)						4 (6,5%)					
	INCONCLUSIVE			0						O)			0					
	L2M C			4 (6,	5%)					C)					4 (6,	5%)		
	L2M I			0						C)			0					
	L2M ?			0						0)			0					








Plays Situation Breakdown




			Ρ	lay	Situ	atic	ns '	Tab	le							
0		Totals \				VELEZ, Carlos (COL)				RENG J Vale	O PINA entin (ZON, COL)	CHOQUE, Jassmany (BOL)			
Concepts		Correct		Incorrect		Tect	Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect		Correct		Incorrect	
Continuous Movement/Drive	_	2	0	2	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	2	0	0	1
Continuous	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Shot	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manufacturing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunk, Tap or Alley oop	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Penetration	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
Perimeter play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fast break	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transition	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Rebound	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Post Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Key area action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Screen Action	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Double Team	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Help Defense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stopping the Clock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dead Ball	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free Throws	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Throw-in	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Toss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	14	6	2	2	5	2	0	0	4	4	0	1	5	0	2	1




Regular Call vs. L2M & OT Accuracy



Accuracy by Quarter











Calls Summary

Quarters	1st Q	uarter	2nd Q	uarter	3rd Q	uarter	4th Q	uarter	То	tal	
Duration	00:1	9:39	00:2	1:44	00:1	5:53	00:1	7:27	01:14:43		
Calls	12 (57%) 9 (43%)		10 (53%) 9 (47%)		5 (50%)	5 (50%)	9 (75%)	3 (25%)	36 (58%)	26 (42%)	
Calls	21 (34%)	19 (31%)		10 (16%)	12 (*	19%)	62 (1	00%)	
Fouls	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	7 (70%)	3 (30%)	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	17 (68%)	8 (32%)	
rouis	7 (2	28%)	10 (4	40%)	4 (1	6%)	4 (1	6%)	25 (4	10%)	
Travelling	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	0	0	1 (100%)	
Travelling		0	1 (10	00%))	(0	1 (2%)		
Other Violations	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	3 (38%)	5 (63%)	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	6 (75%)	2 (25%)	19 (53%)	17 (47%)	
Other violations	14 (39%)	8 (2	2%)	6 (1	7%)	8 (2	2%)	36 (58%)	
Ine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRS	(0	0		()	(0	0		
No Call CNC/ICNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
									0		













Calls by Referee

Quarters		Quai	rter 1			Quai	ter 2			Quar	ter 3				Quai	rter 4			TO.	FAI
3 Referees		5'	1	0'	5	5'	1	0'		5'	1	O ¹	5	;•	1	0'	Last 2'		10	IAL
VELEZ, Carlos (COL)	2 67%	1 33%	1 33%	2 67%	2 50%	2 50%	1 50%	1 50%	0	1 100%	0	2 100%	2 100%	0	3 100%	0	2 100%	0	11 55%	9 45%
(COL)	3 15%		3 15%		4 20%		2 10%		5	1 5%		2 10%		2 10%		3 15%		2)%	20 32%	
CARRENO PINZON Yezid Valentin (COL)	2 40%	3 60%	2 50%	2 50%	0	4 100%	2 67%	1 33%	3 75%	1 25%	0	0	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%	0	2 100%	0	12 50%	12 50%
Valentin (COL)	5 21%			4 17%		4 17%		3 13%		4 17%		0	2 8		2 8%		2 8%			4 9%
CHOQUE	4 80%	1 20%	1 100%	0	3 100%	0	2 67%	1 33%	2 100%	0	0	1 100%	1 33%	2 67%	0	0	0	0	13 72%	5 28%
Jassmany (BOL)	80% 20% 10 smany BOL) 5 28%		6	1 %		3 '%		3 7 %	2 11%		1 6%		3 17%		0		0			8 9%
TOTAL	8 62%	5 38%	4 50%	4 50%	5 45%	6 55%	5 63%	3 38%	5 71%	2 29%	0	3 100%	4 57%	3 43%	5 100%	0	4 100%	0	36 58%	26 42%
TOTAL		3 %		3%	_	1 3%		3 3%		7 %		3 %	11	%		5 %		4 %	6	2









Calls by Zone and Position

Pos/Zone	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	Zone 7	Zone 8	Zone 9	Zone 10	Zone 11	Zone 12	TOTAL
C1	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (50%)	3 (25%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	12
СЗ	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	2 (50%)	1 (25%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
T1	3 (43%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (43%)	1 (14%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7
Т3	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4
L4	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (18%)	13 (76%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	0 (0%)	17
L5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L6	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (15%)	8 (62%)	3 (23%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13
Ct	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2
Tt	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3
Lt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	4	2	1	10	28	9	3	2	2	0	1	0	62







Call Types by Referee

	Types/Referees	VELEZ, Ca	rios (COL)	CARRENO P Valentir	INZON Yezid n (COL)	CHOQUE Jas	smany (BOL)	то	ΓAL
	CALLS	11 (55%)	9 (45%)	12 (50%)	12 (50%)	13 (72%)	5 (28%)	36 (58%)	26 (42%)
	CALLS	20 (3	32%)	24 (3	19%)	18 (2	9%)	6	2
	FOULS	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	8 (89%)	1 (11%)	17 (68%)	8 (32%)
	10023	7 (2	8%)	9 (3	6%)	9 (3	6%)	2	5
	DEFENSIVE	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	6 (86%)	1 (14%)	14 (64%)	8 (36%)
	DEFENSIVE	6 (2	7%)	9 (4	1%)	7 (3:	2%)	2	2
	OFFENSIVE	1 (100%)	0	0	0	2 (100%)	0	3 (100%)	0
	OFFENSIVE	1 (3	3%)	C)	2 (6	7%)	:	3
	DOUBLE FOUL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	DOUBLE FOUL	()	C)	C		()
FOULS	UNSPORTSMANLIKE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-01	UNSPORTSWANLIKE)	C)	C		()
	TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TECHNICAL	()	C)	C		()
	DISQUALIFYING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	DISQUALIFTING)	C)	C		()
	OTHER	5 (71%)	2 (29%)	4 (44%)	5 (56%)	8 (89%)	1 (11%)	17 (68%)	8 (32%)
	OTHER	7 (2	8%)	9 (3	6%)	9 (3	6%)	2	5
	VIOLATIONS	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	8 (53%)	7 (47%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	19 (51%)	18 (49%)
	VIOLATIONS	13 (3	35%)	15 (4	11%)	9 (24	4%)	3	7
Z	TRAVELING	0	0	0	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (100%)
Ĕ	IRAVELING	Ū	כ	1 (10	00%)	C		•	1
VIOLATION S	OTHER	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	8 (57%)	6 (43%)	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	19 (53%)	17 (47%)
>	OTHER	13 (3	36%)	14 (3	9%)	9 (2	5%)	3	6
	IRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	II/O)	C)	c)

Total Calls by Ref

TOTALCALLSBYREF_PIE

